



FRISH ITAS COLLECTION Barry Redmon Barry Henny Barry

FAIR REPRESENTATION

OF THE

PRESENT POLITICAL STATE

OF

IRELAND;

IN A COURSE OF STRICTURES ON TWO PAMPHLETS,

ONE ENTITLED

' THE CASE OF IRELAND RE-CONSIDERED;'

THE OTHER ENTITLED.

CONSIDERATIONS ON THE STATE OF PUBLIC AFFAIRS IN THE YEAR 1799,-IRELAND;

WITH Obfervations on other modern Publications on the Subject of AN INCORPORATING UNION OF GREAT BRITAIN AND IRELAND,

Particularly on a Pamphlet entitled THE SPEECH OF LORD MINTO IN THE HOUSE OF PEERS, APRIL II, 1799.

BY PATRICK DUIGENAN, L. L.D. ONE OF THE REPRESENTATIVES OF THE CITY OF ARMACH IN PARLIAMENT.

Semper ego auditor tantum ? Nunquamne reponam Vexatus toties ?----JUV. SAT. I.

LONDON: PRINTED FOR J. WRIGHT, PICCADILLY. 1799.

Printed by S. GOSNELL, Little Queen Street, Holborn.

Wards Barry

CONTENTS.

	Page
STRICTURES on a Pamphlet entitled . The Cafe of	
 Ireland Re-confidered' — — — — 	9
Observations on a Pamphlet entitled ' Arguments for	
• and against an Union considered'	94
Continuation of the Strictures on the ' Case of Ireland	
• Re-confidered'	103
Strictures on a Pamphlet entitled & Confiderations on the	
• State of Public Affairs in the Year 1799.—Ireland'	138
Observations on a Pamphlet entitled ' The Speech of	
· Lord Minto in the House of Lords, April 11, 1799'	174
Observations on a Pamphlet entitled ' Substance of the	
• Speech of Lord Sheffield, April 22, 1799'	207
Observations on a Pamphlet entitled ' The Speech of the	
• Right Honourable William Pitt'	211

APPENDIX.

Calculatio	n of the Ni	umber of 1	nhabitants	in Ireland	235
Relative 1	Numbers of	Protestant	s and Rom	anists in Ire-	
land		-	Dumber laws	-	240
Comparati	ve Property	of Irifh P	rotestants a	nd Romanists	242

A FAIR

Digitized by the Internet Archive in 2015

https://archive.org/details/fairrepresentati00duig_0

FAIR REPRESENTATION

A

OF THE

PRESENT POLITICAL STATE

OF

IRELAND.

AN Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland is a Meafure, the magnitude and importance of which have not only engaged the most ferious confideration of the subjects of the British Empire, but have attracted the attention of many of the other states of Europe, as well friends as enemies.

Although I have been for many years the avowed friend of the meafure, and in the year 1793 declared in the Irifh Houfe of Commons my fettled opinion on the fubject, and was then the only man who did fo, ftating at the fame time fome of the reafons on which my opinion was founded; and although I have, by the occurrences of every day fince, been more and more confirmed in my fentiments upon it, and convinced not only of the expediency, but of the neceffity of the meafure; yet I do not mean to trouble my readers with any arguments on the fubject:

my

my defign in the prefent publication is, to expose the bafe falschoods and malignant misrepresentations of the State of Ireland, contained in fome pamphlets which have lately appeared, profeffedly written on the fubject of the Union, but in truth for a very different purpose ; and to add a few observations on other pamphlets published in England, as the fubstance of Speeches spoken in the British Houses of Parliament, on the fubject of an Incorporating Union, by men in the higheft ftations in Britain ; from which it would feem, that thefe great men entertained very erroneous ideas of the Prefent State of Ireland, and of the Strength, Views, and Interests of the different classes of its inhabitants : and I am not without hope that I may, by fair and honeft reprefentations, conduce to the fuccefs of a measure, which has for many years been the object of all my feeble exer- . tions in the political world.

In England the project of an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland has been entertained with an almost universal approbation; the good fense of the nation has clearly pointed out to every honeft mind, the manifest advantages to the British Empire in general of fuch a meafure; it has been there oppofed only by a handful of Jacobins. In Ireland the cafe is different; it has been there oppofed by many men of great loyalty, abilities, and rank, and has given rife to much difcontent and diffenfion among perfons of the first political influence. It is remarkable, however, in Ireland, that all traitors and Jacobins are unanimous in their reprobation of the meafure, while the well-affected are divided in their opinions, some (I think the majority) approving, and others difapproving of it. Perhaps I may be in fome measure able in the conclusion to account for this difference of opinion among 4.

among the Irifh Loyalist; at prefent, however, it is fufficient to remark, that their diffenfion has given an advantage to the Jacobins which they have eagerly availed themfelves of. All their confpiracies detected, their falfe treasonable libels exposed and confuted, their rebellion suppressed, their French allies chased out of the ocean, they lay grovelling on the earth, difabled, difmayed, and dejected, till the heat of this contest between their conquerors on the fubject of the Union again vivified them, as the heat of the fun does the infects on a dunghill; again the buzz of these hornets was heard in every part of the nation; and of all their nefts, Popery fent forth the bufieft and most numerous swarms.

A class of writers of that perfuasion have of late been indefatigable both in England and Ireland, in publishing the most audacious falsehoods respecting Irish Protestants, their religious and political conduct, principles, numbers, ftrength, and influence; nor are their falfehoods lefs daring and notorious respecting the fame qualities in the Irifh Romanifts, debafing and vilifying the first class, and magnifying the laft, with the most fingular contempt of truth. No artifice of malignant milrepresentation, of flander, of degradation on the one fide, or of applaule, celebration, aggrandizement, and exaggeration on the other, has been omitted.

These writers never entertained the least hope that their calumnies would gain any credit in Ireland ; their falfehood was too notorious there : they published them for the meridian of England, where the ignorance of the bulk of the nation of the real state of Ireland might cause a temporary belief of fictions fo boldly and fo impudently ftated :

B 2,

flated : this temporary belief in the prefent crifts they knew they could turn to the advantage of the political purfuits of their fect; becaufe it would naturally incline the leading men in the Britifh Administration to favour their ruinous claims in the negotiation of an Union of the two nations, and enable the Irifh Romanists to obtain a fupport in the treaty for pretensions radically inimical to every Protestant government, but more particularly fo, where part of the fovereignty is a popular affembly; and this treaty might be brought to a conclusion before the Britist nation should be apprized on what a rotten foundation their pretensions were erected.

The degradation and abufe of the Irifh Proteftants by thefe Romifh writers, and vaunts of the ftrength and importance of their own fect, were particularly adapted to alienate the minds of the Britifh Proteftants from their brethren in Ireland, and to induce them to acquiefce, perhaps to affift, in the fubverfion of the Proteftant eftablifhment in that kingdom, which if they can once effect, they very juftly confider that the fcheme of the Separation of the two countries will be more than half accomplifhed, though a treaty for an Union fhall be concluded : the Proteftants of Ireland, as the Romanifts well know, composing the principal links of the chain of connexion between the two nations.

I fhould have left thefe malicious Romifh fables to merited contempt and oblivion, nor would I have condefeended to have taken the flighteft notice of them, had I not perceived, from reading the fubftance of certain Speeches of very eminent perfonages, delivered in the Britifh Houfes of Lords and Commons, lately published on the

the fubject of Union, that the großs falfehoods and mifreprefentations of these Romish writers had been adopted by thefe great men, and that they had been fo far duped by them, as to repeat them in the British Senate, and to reafon upon them, as if they had been facts ; grounding fome of their arguments in favour of an Union on fuch deceitful phantoms conjured up by these magicians of fraud and malice, and deferting the powerful, convincing, and irrefragable arguments for an Incorporating Union, grounded on the fituation and prefent connexion of the two islands, the flate of Europe in general, and the manifest benefits which must necessarily accrue to each island in particular, and to the British empire in general, from such an Union; and thereby raifing in the breafts of all the Protestants of that empire, the faithful fubjects of his Majefty, the most alarming apprehensions of innovation in their constitution both in church and state, and rendering Irith Protestants particularly, in whom is for the prefent vefted, exclusively, the whole political power of that kingdom, difinclined to an Union, by giving them caufe to fufpect that fuch an Union is projected folely for the depreffion of their religion in Ireland, and the elevation of Popery on its ruins.

Two of these pamphlets, manifestly the productions of Romifh writers, though they have concealed their names, I shall particularly notice, because they contain a general collection of all the falsehood and misrepresentation respecting the flate of Ireland, the numbers, physical and political ftrength, the influence, principles, and defigns of the different classes of its inhabitants, which have been published in all the pamphlets, magazines, reviews, annual registers, newspapers, &c. by Romish writers and their allies, the Infidels, Republicans, and Jacobins, from the

the time Mr. Edmund Burke, the modern apofile of Popery, began his operations for the fubverfion of the Proteftant religion in Ireland, to the prefent day. One of thefe pamphlets is entitled, ' The Cafe of Ireland Re-con-'fidered, in Anfwer to a Pamphlet entitled, " Arguments for " and against an Union considered." The other is entitled, Considerations on the State of Public Affairs in the Year '1799.—Ireland.' The author of the first of these performances pretends to argue against the Union of the two kingdoms; the author of the fecond, to support it with the utmost zeal; but the real purpose of both is, to degrade, vilify, and traduce the Protestant, and magnify, aggrandize, and elevate the Romish inhabitants of Ireland, by every species of falsehood, missing and malice.

The reputed author of the first is a Romish gentleman of a competent landed effate in Ireland, who was educated from his early years in France, where the rudiments of difaffection to the religion, conftitution, and government of his country, early implanted in his mind at home, were carefully cherisched, cultivated, and reared to maturity : deeply read in the works of D'Alembert, Voltaire, Rouffeau, Diderot, Condorcet, and other philosophers of the new French fchool, on his return to his native country, he took care further to improve his flock of modern philofophy, by a diligent perufal of the works of Paine, Price, Prieftley, Godwin, &c. Though by the laws of every country in Europe, and by the common law of the British empire, a natural-born fubject, who fights in the ranks of a hostile nation against the troops of his natural Sovereign, is a traitor; and although by the flatute law of Great Britain and Ireland, a natural-born fubject, British or Irish, ferving

ferving in the French or Spanish armies, even in time of peace, is a traitor ; yet this philosopher's hostility to his country obliterated from his mind all ideas of natural allegiance, and even of common prudence, and impelled him, in the course of the French and American war, to ferve in the armies of France, and fight against his King and country in the Weft Indies, though he at the fame time drew the revenue of a competent eftate out of Ireland; which eftate, had the law been properly executed, would have by his treason become a forfeiture to the Crown. The Irifh gentlemen ferving in the armies of France, on the fubverfion of the monarchy, withdrew from that fervice almost generally, and joined the coalesced powers with the exiled French princes; they were all foldiers of fortune, and had no fubfistence fave what they could carve out by their fwords: they excufed their ferving in the French armies, by alleging, that they were generally poor gentlemen, who were precluded by the laws of their country from ferving in its armies (thefe laws are now repealed in Ireland), and that they ferved in the French armies for bread; yet they abandoned the fervice of the infamous French ufurpers, braved penury and diftrefs, and preferred poverty to difgrace. This gentleman had no fuch excufe; he had a competent fortune in his own country, yet on the French revolution he did not follow the example of his aforefaid gallant countrymen. As a thorough initiated French philosopher, he inlifted in the fervice of the French usurpers, and fought in the ranks of their fanguinary pillaging hordes in the prefent war in Flanders. Notwithftanding all his treasons, he returned to his native country, and still enjoys his estate unmolested. Perhaps it may be prudent in Government, if it is determined not to profecute him for his treafons, to keep

keep a strict watch over his conduct; for a perfon taking up his refidence within the British dominions, under such circumstances, may be reasonably suspected of connexion and correspondence with that enemy, in whose fervice he has heretofore risked his life and fortune.

I have flated this gentleman to be a Romanift; indeed he states himself, in page 58 of his pamphlet, to be so; and I may be perhaps accufed of inconfiftency in fuppofing any man, inflituted as he has been, to be a Romanist, which implies his being a Christian : to clear myfelf of any imputation of that kind, it is neceffary that I should briefly explain my meaning, which I cannot do better than by nearly copying part of Swift's character of the Earl (afterwards Duke) of Wharton, in his Hiftory of the Four last Years of Queen Ann : he there observes, that the Earl's father was a rigid Presbyterian, that the Earl adopted his father's principles in government, but dropt his religion, and took up no other in its room, but that in all other respects he was a firm Presbyterian. Now the gentleman's father I am writing of was a rigid Romanist; he dropt his father's religion, and took up no other in its room, but in all other respects he is a firm Romanist. And fuch a character is not fingular; I have wasted fome attention on feveral perfons bred Romanists, who have conformed to the Protestant religion, and who have attained honourable, confidential, and lucrative appointments by their conformity; and on others bred in that perfuasion, who both in theory and practice have profeffed Deifm; and fcarce ever knew one of them, who, in all political purfuits of that fect, did not prove himfelf to be a firm Romanist : fome of them, in whofe breafts a few half-fmothered fparks of Christianity still glimmered, I

glimmered, have had them kindled into a fort of lambent flame of devotion by the unequivocal fymptoms of approaching death, and they have uniformly died in the Romifh perfuation.

The author of the fecond pamphlet I have mentioned is not afcertained; many have been the candidates, proclaimed by the posterior trumpet of Fame, for the eminent turpitude of being the parent of this deteftable production. The author of the first endeavours to conceal the natural deformity of falfehood and malice, by clothing them in fomething of a gentlemanly garb; the author of the fecond aggravates that natural deformity, by arraying them in all the fhaggy horrors of the favage : his unrelenting malignant abuse of Irish Protestants, from the beginning to the end of his performance, fufficiently demonstrates his political creed at least to be the fame with that of the author of the first pamphlet. But I have perhaps detained the reader too long by perfonal remarks on thefe two authors; I will therefore now proceed to examine the contents of their pamphlets.

The author of the first grounds all his arguments, for Comadvancing Irish Romanists to an equality of political mencement of the power in the British empire in general with their Prostrictures testant fellow-subjects, on two politions. The first and pamphlet principal is, that men sincerely attached to the whole Romists 'The Case creed may be as good and faithful subjects of the British empire as Protestants. The fecond is, that property by the dered.' British Constitution entitles the posses to political power in proportion to the property, and that it is therefore unconstitutional to exclude Romanists from a share of political power in the state proportioned to their property. These are the two grand grand pillars on which he propofes to rebuild the gaudy palace of Romifh tyranny and ufurpation in the Britifh empire, at the fame time not neglecting to prop it with numberlefs buttreffes of menaces, flanders, malice, falfehood, fophiftry, and deceit.

His argument on the first of these propositions he introduces in the following modest manner :

The next is a very old objection, which I never could
understand how any well-informed man could make twice.

The most numerous religious fect (i.e. Romanists)
does not acknowledge the supremacy of the state, but
profess to be subject to a foreign jurifdiction. Their
religion could not be established without destroying the
constitution, which is founded on the principles of
civil and ecclessifical liberty, and the exclusion of soreign interference and jurifdiction.'

After thus flating the objection, and with no finall degree of petulance obferving that no well-informed man could fupport it, or urge it twice, he argues thus: ' This may ' be an objection to their having a religious effablifh-' ment, but not to their being admitted to a fhare in the ' Legiflature, the King and Peers being Proteftants, and ' (property being the balis of reprefentation) nine-tenths ' of the Houfe of Commons. Romanifts do not deny the ' fole right of the flate to manage the concerns, effablifhment, ' faith, and difeipline of the Church of England; but they ' do not admit the King of England to be fpiritual head ' of the Roman Catholic Church, nor do the Prefhyterians ' admit him to be the head of theirs. This was not a rea-' fon fon for excluding the Scotch from a fhare in the legif-· lature of the country they were united to ; why should " it exclude the Irifh? The (pilitual authority which · the Romifh Church poffess has no fanction, no co-" ercive power in this life, and can in no way come in ' contact with civil existence. The Pope nominates the · Irifh Romifh bifhops, but this gives him no real or effen-· tial jurisdiction in the Irish state; he and the whole · Roman Catholic Church have not in the Irifh ftate, " nor pretend to have, the power of the meaneft veftry. · Cujas, a French lawyer, and Cardinal Fleury, have 6 declared, that the Pope, nor the whole Church together, cannot inflict any coercive punifhment on any e man, whatfoever his crimes may be, unlefs the Emperor gives him power to do it. The power which · Popes have been accused of arrogating over the princes of · Europe was entirely foreign to their fpiritual authority, and to the Roman Catholic religion. In no country in • the world can any tribunal exift deriving from the · Roman Catholic religion, or any fentence be enforced affecting a man in any way whatfoever in his liberty, · life, property, or any part of his civil or natural ex-· iftence, without the permiffion of the Sovereign of " that country: fuch is the doctrine of Spain, Portugal, and all the Roman Catholic countries in the world. ⁶ Magna Charta, the foundation of civil liberty, as well as the Statutes of Præmunire, which fecured ecclefiaff tical liberty, were acts of Roman Catholic Parliae ments. If a foreign jurifdiction exift, to that confent, and not to the Roman Catholic religion, of " which it is no inherent part, are the inconveniencies of it ⁴ to be afcribed.' All this the author concludes with an

to be afcribed.' All this the author concludes with an appofite and most delightful allusion, purloined from Monsteur Monfieur Voltaire, with a triffing alteration; to wit, ⁶ Philofophy enters as much into the common concerns ⁶ of life as divinity. It would be a ftrange objection to ⁶ the fyftem of Ariftotle or Copernicus, that it was a ⁶ foreign interference.' Bravo!

Before I proceed to the expolure of this diffusive kind of argument, partly false and partly fophistical, it will be neceffary to infert here the Oath of Supremacy, which all Romanists absolutely refuse to take, and have done fo fince the first framing of it; and also an Extract from the Decrees of a general Council, the decisions of which all Romanists now hold as articles of faith, immutable and irreversible, not being the decrees of Popes, but of their universal church; the Oath taken by Romish Bisthops at their confectation: and I shall also add a few extracts from the recent publications of their most authentic writers respecting the present immutable Articles of the Romish Creed.

The Oath of Supremacy is as follows:

I —— do fwear, that I do from my heart abhor,
deteft, and abjure, as impious and heretical, that damnable doctrine and polition, that Princes excommunicated or deprived by the Pope, or any authority of the
See of Rome, may be depofed or murdered by their
fubjects, or by any other perfon whatfoever: and I do
declare, that no foreign Prince, Prelate, State, or Potentate, hath, or ought to have, any jurifdiction, power,
fuperiority, pre-eminence, or authority, ecclefiaftical or
fpiritual, within this realm. So help me God.'

Extras?

Extract from the third Chapter of the fourth Council of Lateran, held under Pope Innocent III. in 1215, translated into English.

• We excommunicate and anathematize all herefy, • raifing itfelf up againft the holy, orthodox, catholic • faith, which we have above fet forth, condemning all • heretics, of whatfoever titles, having divers faces, but • connected and knitted together by their tails; for in • refpect to the vanity of their pretentions they agree in • the fame thing.

• We leave the condemned to condign puniforment by • the prefent fecular powers or their magiftrates, fuch of • them as are clergymen being first degraded: the • goods of fuch of them as are laics being confifcated; • of fuch as are clergymen, applied to the use of the • churches of their respective cures.

• But we decree, that fuch as are only fufpected of • herefy be ftruck with the fword of excommunication, • till they fhall prove their innocence refpectively, ac-• cording to the nature of the fufpicion and the quality • of each perfon, by a proper purgation; and let them • be avoided by all perfons till they fhall make fufficient • fatisfaction: and if they fhall continue excommuni-• cated for the fpace of one year, let them be confidered • as condemned heretics.

Let all fecular powers, whatfoever be the nature
and rank of their refpective offices, be admonifhed,
perfuaded, and, if neceffary, compelled, by ecclefiaftical
cenfures, that as they defire to be reputed and effeemed
faithful,

faithful, they publicly take an oath, that they will, to
the utmost of their power, endeavour to exterminate all
fuch as shall be denounced heretics by the church, out
of all their dominions and places subject to their jurisfdiction; and let them take this oath respectively, the
moment they shall be invested with either spiritual or
temporal power.

· But if any temporal Lord shall neglect to purge his dominions of fuch heretical corruption, after being · required and admonished by the Church, by his Me-" tropolitans and his other provincial Bifhops fo to do, · let him be immediately bound in the chains of excommuni-" cation ; and if he shall contumaciously refuse to make · fatisfaction and fubmit himfelf to the Church within · the year, let this be fignified to the Pope, who shall there-" upon declare his subjects absolved from their allegiance, and · proclaim his territories open to the just feizure and occu-· pation of Catholic Powers, who, after they shall have ex-· terminated the heretics, shall possible is them without control, and preferve them in the purity of the faith, fill preferv. s ing the title of the principal Lord, provided he shall give · them no interruption, or oppose' any impediment to their · proceedings; and let the fame rule be observed with · refpect to those who have no principal lords, i.e. · republics.

Let all Catholics who fhall undertake a *crufade* for
the extermination of heretics have the fame indulgence,
and the fame holy privilege, as those who undertake
the crufade for the expulsion of the infidels from the
Holy Land.

"We decree, that not only those who profess heretical stenets, but all receivers, protectors, and favourers of " heretics, are ipfo facto excommunicated; and we " ftrictly ordain and command, that after any fuch fhall ^c be publicly branded with excommunication, if they · fhall refuse to make fatisfaction and fubmit themselves ' to the Church within a year, they shall be infamous, nor · shall they be admitted to any public office or council, nor to · elect any perfons to fuch, nor to give testimony in any cause; · neither shall they be capable of making wills, nor of fuc-· ceffion, as heirs or representatives, to any eftate : they fall · be incapable of fuing in any court, but may themfelves be " fued: if any fuch perfon shall happen to be a judge of any " court, his fentence shall be null and void, nor shall any · caufe be profecuted before him : if he shall happen to be an advocate, he shall not be admitted to practife; if a notary, ' instruments drawn up, prepared, witneffed, or executed by · him, shall alfo be void and of no effect, but condemned " with their guilty framer : and we command that the fame " rule be observed in all similar cases. But if he be a · clergyman, let him be deposed both ab officio et beneficio, ⁶ that, as his crime is the greater, fo the greater may · be his punifhment.

And if any fuch, after they have been publicly denounced by the Church, fhall contumacioufly neglect
to fubmit and make fatisfaction, let them be compelled
and driven to it by the inceffant operation of the fentence of excommunication. Let no clergyman adminifter to fuch peftilent wretches the facraments of the
Church, nor permit their bodies to Chriftian burial,
nor receive their alms and oblations. If they fhall act
otherwife, let them be fufpended from officiating, and
let

let them not be reftored but by the fpecial indulgenceof the Pope.

"We also add, that every Archbishop or Bishop, by · himfelf or his archdeacon, or other respectable per-" fons, shall twice, or at least once, in every year, · vifit each parish in his diocese, in which it is reported · that any heretics dwell, and shall there oblige three or · more credible perfons, or, if he fhall think proper, the " whole vicinage, to fwear, that if any of them shall know any heretics, or any perfons holding or frequent-· ing fecret conventicles, or affecting either in life or · manners to differ from the common conversation and · practice in life of the faithful, they will endeavour · effectually to difcover and point them out to the Bifhop; and the Bishop shall call the accused into his prefence, • and if they shall not effectually purge themselves from • the crimes laid to their charge, or if, after they shall · have made their purgation, they shall perfidiously re-· lapfe into their former guilt, they fhall be canonically · punished. And if any, through damnable obstinacy declining to bind themfelves by the religious obligation of an oath, shall perhaps refuse to swear, let them be · from that inftant reputed heretics.'

The Oath fworn by every Romifh Bifhop at his confecration is as follows. See the first vol. of Burnet's Hiftory of the Reformation, p. 123.

I, —, Bifhop of —, do fwear, that from this
hour forward I fhall be faithful and obedient to St. Peter,
and to the holy Church of Rome, and to my Lord the Pope
and his fucceffors canonically entering. I fhall not be of

counfel

counfel nor confent that they shall lose either life or " member, or shall be taken or fuffer any violence or any wrong by any means. Their counfel to me credited by them, their meffages or letters, I shall not ' willingly difcover to any perfon. The Papacy of · Rome, the rules of the holy Fathers, and the regality of St. Peter, I thall help, maintain, and defend against all men. The Legate of the See apostolic, going and coming, I shall honourably entreat : the rights, hoonours, privileges, and authorities of the Church of Rome, and of the Pope and his fucceffors, I shall caufe to be conferved, defended, augmented, and pro-" moted I shall not be in council, treaty, or any act, · in which any thing thall be imagined against him or " the Church of Rome, their rights, feats, honours, or · powers; and if I know any fuch to be moved or com-• paffed, I thall refift it to my power; and as foon as I can I shall advertife him, or such as may give him? ' knowledge. The rules of the holy Fathers, the decrees, ' ordinances, fentences, dispositions, refervations, pro-' visions, and commandments apostolic, to my power I fhall keep, and caufe to be kept of others. Heretics, · schismatics, and rebels to our holy father and his success, · I shall refist and perfecute to my power. I shall come to the fynod when I am called, except I be letted by a ' canonical impediment. The thresholds of the apostles • I shall visit yearly, perfonally or by my deputy. I fhall onot alienate or fell my poffeffions without the Pope's ' counfel. So help me God and the holy Evangelifts.'

In 1793, Dr. Troy, Romith Archbithop of Dublin, published a pamphlet, which he ftyled 'A Pastoral Let-' ter:' it is in truth a political tract, containing arguments

ments not a little hoftile to the eftablished constitution im church and state. He endeavours to prove the justice. expediency, and even neceffity of the admiffion of Romanifts into the Legislature, and into all offices of truft and confidence; and takes special care to support, not without fome degree of addrefs, his arguments, by menaces of the effects which he infinuates mult flow from the numbers and puiffance of the Irith Romanists, magnified by him infinitely beyond reality. Any reasonable man would expect that the Doctor would have endeavoured rather to extenuate than openly to affert and justify the papal jurifdiction in Ireland, which he knew Protestants held to be one great impediment to the admiffion of the Romifh claims; but it is quite otherwife. In page 31 of this pretended Pastoral Letter is the following paffage: ' It is a fundamental article of the Roman · Catholic faith, that the Pope or Bilhop of Rome is fucceffor to St. Peter, Prince of the Apoftles, in that · See ; he enjoys by divine right a fpiritual and ecclefiaffical primacy, not only of honour and rank, but of · real jurisdiction and authority, in the universal church. · Roman Catholics conceive this point as clearly efta-^c blifhed in the fcriptures, and by the conftant tradition of the Fathers in every age, as it is by the express deci-· fions of their general councils, which they confider as in-· fallible authority in points of doctrine.'

Same author, page 97.—' Catholics cannot confcien-' tioufly abjure the ecclefiaftical authority of the Bi-' fhop of Rome. He is guardian of the general ca-' nons, and can alone difpenfe with them *proprio jure*, ' or by inherent right. Others enjoy that power by de-' legation from him.' The erection, fuppression, and ' union ⁶ union of bifhoprics and other benefices; the elevation ⁹ of fees to the metropolitical dignity and jurifdiction; ⁶ the inflitution of general fafts and holidays; difpenfa-⁶ tions from their obfervance; the regulation and in-⁶ fpection of our liturgy; appeals from inferior ecclefiafti-⁶ cal courts to his fupreme tribunal; the fufpenfion and ⁶ reftoration of bifhops, and numberlefs other particulars of ⁶ our general church difcipline mentioned in the canons, ⁶ depend on the Pope as our ecclefiaftical fuperior, and ⁶ are connected with his primacy of jurifdiction in the ⁶ univerfal church. Henry VIII. of England was the firft ⁶ Chriftian prince that affumed ecclefiaftical fupremacy, and ⁶ commanded an enflaved Parliament to enact it as a law of ⁶ the ftate. The Catholics confider it an ufurpation.⁷

Same author, page 102.—' The Catholic laity of Ireland refpect their clergy, and confider it a duty to be regulated by their determinations in all points of religious doctrine. They are attached to their paftors and fpiritual guides, who love them as their children in Chrift. Clergy and laity are united by the most tender and interesting confiderations. Every effort to diffolve this union must prove ineffectual; interest and duty continue to render it indiffoluble. In adversity and prosperity they must rife and fall together.'

The fame author, in another place, flates, ' that the ' religious principles of Roman Catholics being un-' changeable, they are applicable to all times.'

It is needlefs here to infert any other extracts from recent publications of Romifh writers: I fhall barely obferve, that the fame doctrines are held in a modern

.

pub-

publication of Mr. Huffey, a Romith prieft, who informs us in it, that he had been appointed Bithop of Waterford by the Pope. This publication he alfo ftyles a Paftoral Letter, though it is perhaps as feditious a publication as any which has appeared in modern times, provoking the Irifh Romanifts to infurrection, and drawing a line of eternal demarcation between them and their Proteftant fellow-fubjects, fupported by the whole Romifh hoft of anathemas and excommunications.

I will now proceed to answer the argument of the author of the ' Cafe of Ireland Re-confidered,' in fupport of his first position, to wit, that men fincerely attached to the whole Romish creed may be as good and faithful subjects of the British empire as Protestants, and confequently as fafely admissible to the supreme legislative and superior executive capacities. His diffusive argument on this head, already mentioned, may be thus condenfed : " In a · Romish state, the supremacy of the Church, or its " fpiritual authority, has no fanction, no coercive power in this life, and can in no way come in contact with · civil existence; nor can its tribunal exist, so as to affect any man in his liberty, life, or property, without the · permiffion of the fovereign of that country; and if a · foreign jurifdiction exifts in fuch country, it is to the ⁶ confent of the fovereign power, and not to the Roman Catholic religion, of which it is no inherent part, it is • to be afcribed. In a Protestant state, such as Ireland, the King, Peers, and nine tenths of the Commons-· being Protestants, the confent of the state never can be · obtained to the exercife of Romifh ecclefiaftical jurif-· diction ; therefore in fuch state no mischief can arise from the Romish tenet of the supremacy of the Pope, though

4 though Romanists should fit in Parliament, and be admitted to the highest offices of the state.' Though the premifes in this fyllogiftic argument be admitted to be true, yet the conclusion will by no means follow, that no mifchief will arife in a Protestant state by the admiffion of Romanists into the supreme legislative body, and to the exercise of the highest executive offices of the state, as I shall hereafter prove; yet the premises require examination. The major proposition, to wit, the spiritual authority of the Pope not being an inherent part of the Romish religion, has no fanction, and cannot be exercised even in Romish states, but by the consent of the state, is extremely fophiftical; it confifts in fact of two propofitions; the first is, the spiritual authority of the Pope is not an inherent part of the Romish religion. This proposition is already clearly proved to be falle, by the extracts from the Lateran Council, and from Doctor Troy, and by the rejection of the Oath of Supremacy by all Romanists. The fecond part of it, that this authority has no fanction in this life, and cannot be exercifed, even in Romifb Aates, but by the confent of the flate, requires explanation.

In a Romish state, the fovereign power, whether it be a monarchy or a republic, being vested in Romanists, its confent to the execution of the decrees of their own church, of which they admit the Pope to be fupreme head, is certain. Romish monarchs, and governing members of Romish republics, are, by the tenets of their religion, bound, not only to confent to the execution, but to execute the decrees of their church, as well on the perfons as on the properties of their fubjects: hence in Romifli states the fupremacy of the Pope in spirituals amplifies itself into the exercise of a most extenfive

tenfive temporal jurifdiction, the flate either refigning to ecclesiaftical officers the execution of the decrees of the Church on the perfons and properties of its fubjects, or becoming itfelf the executioner of fuch decrees: for instance, in most Romish countries, such as the Church deems heretics, or even fuspects of being fo, are imprifoned by ecclefiaftical officers in ecclefiaftical prifons, and they are burned by temporal officers when the Church pronounces them heretics irreclaimable, and delivers them over to the fecular arm; as was the cafe in England before the Reformation and during the reign of Queen Mary: therefore in Romish countries, the Church of Rome, and its fupreme head the Pope, have real jurifdiction, efficient tribunals, and fanctions to their decrees of the most powerful efficacy; and their decrees reach to, and are executed upon, the liberties, lives, and properties, and most of the temporal concerns of the fubjects; and fuch states are themselves the executioners of their decrees. This jurifdiction is an inherent part of the Roman Catholic religion, and its title is founded in the very effence of that religion. Dr. Troy flates, ' that • the real jurifdiction and authority of the Pope is clearly eftablished by the scriptures and the constant tradition of the Fathers, and the express decisions of general · councils, which Roman Catholics confider as infallible ' authority: it is a fundamental article of the Roman Ca-" tholic faith.' This doctrine is conformable to the Lateran Council, and to the opinions of all Romish writers, ancient or modern, on the subject. If a Romish state fhould refuse to execute the decrees of the Romish Church in what are deemed fpiritual matters, fuch as herefy, and many others extending to the liberties, lives, and properties of the fubjects, which are certainly temporal concerns,

concerns, fuch ftates would ceafe to be Roman Catholic. In fuch ftates it is of no moment whether fuch power or jurifdiction be exercifed by the Church itfelf, or by the temporal power under the orders and direction of the Church, or by the permiffion of the temporal power, as long as the acquiefcence and obedience of the temporal power are enfured by the very tenets of their religion.

The Romifh doctrine of the fupremacy of the Pope in ecclefiaftical matters is supported in effect and operation by Romith states, however in political theory statefmen or lawyers may fometimes prefume partially to diffent from it, admitting it in practice, and being them-But in a Protestant state, such a felves its executioners. tenet directly militates against the very existence of the flate, is utterly inconfistent with the nature and effence of the government, and contrary to its vital principles, both in theory and practice. In Romish states, the exclufive jurifdiction of the Pope in all fpiritual matters, fo far as the cognizance of the caufe and pronouncing fentence, either in the first instance or on appeal, is admitted to exift; but, fays this author, he is not permitted to execute his fentence, where it affects temporals, without the approbation of the temporal fovereignty of the Romish states; therefore his supremacy is not admitted in fuch states. This is a miserable sophism; for as long as fuch flates continue Romifh, they are bound by the tenets of their religion to execute, and do execute his fentences, affecting both the lives and properties of their fubjects, that is, their temporal concerns and interefts, and do thereby effectually admit his fupremacy, and become his ministers, fervants, and executioners.

As

As to the minor propolition of this author's fyllogiftic argument, to wit, that in Ireland, a Protestant state, the confent of the flate cannot be procured to the exercise of the jurifdiction of the Romifb Church, and of its head the Pope; I believe it is now true, and I hope it will always continue fo; becaufe I hope our rulers in this Protestant British empire never will be induced by falsehood, fraud, and fophiftry, to transfer to Romanifts the political power of the flate, which they would not, nor could not, if they continued Romanists, fail to use for the introduction of their own faith as orthodox, and the suppression of the Protestant faith as heretical, and confequently for the establishment of the Pope's supremacy among their other religious errors. To give this minor proposition the effect which this author intends, it is not fufficient that it be admitted to be now true, but it must be supposed that in Ireland it will always continue true, that is, that though Romanists be admitted into the supreme legislative and executive authorities of the flate, yet they never will be able, on account of their prefent weakness and poverty, to overturn the Protestant establishment, and confequently that it cannot be hurtful to the flate to admit them. This is at best a negative argument; it does not tend to prove that it would be useful to the flate to admit them, but that it would not be pernicious or detrimental to do fo; and it at the fame time, in fome measure, admits, that if they were powerful it would be dangerous for Protestants to admit them, and confequently that Protestants have a good right to believe that they would ufe any political power they may acquire, for the fubverfion of the prefent establishment; and this the author more explicitly admits in more than one paffage, intimating to Irish Protestants, that if they will admit Romanists to the

the fupreme legiflative and executive capacities, they may have the guaranty of England for the fecurity of their church eftablifhment; that is, in other words, 'Your church eftablifhment, which in the prefent conflitution of the ftate is fecure, will be rendered infecure by your admiffion of us into the fupreme legiflative and executive capacities; and in that cafe you muft apply to your friend and neighbour for that fecurity which you had in your own hands, but which you have foolifhly relinquifhed.' And this argument he makes ufe of, when he is apparently endeavouring to diffuade the Irifh nation from confenting to an Incorporating Union with Great Britain, and confequently to loofen the bands of connexion of the two countries.

The conclusion, however, drawn by this author from these premises, is not warranted by them, though the truth of the premifes be admitted ; for, even in that cafe, it can be proved that much milchief may arife to the Protestant state of Ireland from the admission of Romanifts to the legiflative and higheft executive capacities; and first from this author's own statement. In the second page of his pamphlet he states the proportion of Irish Romanists to Irish Protestants to be four or five to one. This is a gross exaggeration; but I am now arguing from his own affertions. In a commercial country, property is continually changing hands: landed eflates, where there is no reftraint of alienation, change mafters, not fo rapidly as perfonal property, but though in a flower, yet not lefs certain progrellion : in the courfe of trade, the industrious and indigent are continually emerging into opulence; landed property in this country is every day at market, and by purchase comes to the polleffion

poffestion of the fuccefsful merchant, mechanic, and farmer : hence if in Ireland the mass of the people, that is, five to one, be Romanists, though at prefent the bulk of the property of the nation be in the hands of Proteftants, yet it will shift into those of Romanist, by a progression certain, and, confidering the alledged difproportion of numbers, not very flow. The Irifh Romanifts have been very lately admitted to every civil franchife enjoyed by the Irifh Protestants, except to the capacity of fitting in Parliament, and of occupying about thirty of the greatest offices of the state; they are even rendered capable of becoming members of all corporations in the kingdom. If then they shall be admitted to fit in Parliament, they must at a period not very distant, as property, admitted by this author to be the basis of reprefentation, fhifts to their fcale, be the reprefentatives in Parliament of all the counties, and of all the boroughs diffinguifhed by the name of pot-walloping, and, by lefs rapid degrees, of all or most of the other boroughs in the kingdom; that is, they will in a fhort time compose the whole, or at least a vast majority of the House of Commons. Their Peers (at prefent indeed very few) will fit in the Houfe of Lords; fo that in our fupreme legislative body they will form one, and infinitely the most powerful branch, and have a confiderable influence in the fecond, and will have power fufficient to force the third or regal branch to a compliance with all their fehemes, and oblige it to fill all the great offices of the flate with Romanifts, and introduce as many of them as may amount to a majority into the Houfe of Peers. Hence it is obvious, from this author's own statement, that by admitting Romanifts to form part of the supreme legislative power of the flate, they will by degrees fwallow up the whole, awe

awe the executive into their measures, and subvert the Proteftant eftablishment in Ireland from the foundation: for a Romanift is bound, by the tenets of his religion, to the best of his power to exterminate all heretics, and destroy all heretical establishments; and this author will not, I believe, deny, that all Romanists hold all Protestants to be heretics; and as he is alfo by the fame religion bound to establish it in the room of the one subverted. and to execute the decrees of the Church of Rome and of its head the Pope, the fupremacy in fpirituals, to which a vaft temporal power is annexed, and from which it is infeparable, will be in effect and reality torn from the state, and vested in the Pope, with his confistory, nuncios, and ministers; and the halcyon days of Queen Mary, and of the bonfires and triumphs of Popery, will return ; the writ de Heretico comburendo will again receive the fanction of Parliament. Such is the flatement by which this author endeavours to perfuade Irith Protestants to betray the political power of the state, and the fecurity of their own lives and properties, into Romith hands!

But waving all advantage which the groß exaggerations and miftatements of this author, or the weaknefs of his arguments, may afford, I will expofe the mifchief to the Protestant state of Ireland which must arife by the admission of Romanists into Parliament, from the very nature of its constitution and government, and the true state of its population, relative numbers, and views of the different classes of its inhabitants.

The fovereign power of Ireland is vefted in the King, Lords, and Commons; and whatever prince wears the diadem diadem of Great Britain, he is ipfo facto King of Ireland : its population cannot much exceed three millions of people ; one third at least of the inhabitants are Protestants, two thirds only are Romanists : upwards of two millions of the inhabitants are in fuch a ftate of poverty, that they cannot pay a yearly tax to the ftate of four-pence per head without the greateft diffrefs, and nine tenths of thefe are Romanists. (See Appendix, No. 1.) All subjects of a state, who deny that the fupreme power by which that flate is conflitutionally governed is exclusively entitled to enact and execute all laws for the good government of that ftate, and who maintain, as part of their religious creed, that a power exifts extraneous and feparate from that flate, and not under its control and dominion, which can make laws, and enforce their execution among the fubjects of that flate in many particulars, are enemies to its independence, and traitors. Irifh Romanifts univerfally maintain, as an immutable tenet of their religion, the fupremacy of the Pope in all fpiritual matters within this kingdom. See the above quotations from Dr. Troy (who flyles the power of the flate to determine within itfelf, and by its own judicatories, all ecclefiaftical caufes, an ufurpation), and all other Romish writers on the fame subject. Romanists will not take the Oath of Supremacy before flated. It is utterly impossible and impracticable to feparate a vast portion of temporal power and influence from spiritual fupremacy : herefy is of fpiritual cognizance, fo is matrimony; Romanists hold it to be a facrament; and as to its validity, their canons are in many inftances different from ours, they declaring marriages null and void, which by our laws are valid, and vice verfa. What degree of temporal power and influence does the fupremacy in fpirituals derive from the exclusive jurifdiction respecting herefy ?

5

herefy ? Look to the Lateran Council already quoted ! What 'degree" of 'temporal power and 'influence does the exclusive matrimonial jurifdiction confer? Legitimacy and fucceffion to property real and perfonal, and almost every combination of circumflances under which fuch fucceffion might be claimed, depend on the matrimonial jurifdiction. A thoufand other inftances can be adduced of the infeparability of fupreme jurifdiction in fpiritual, from vaft power and influence in temporal concerns. The Popes for ages have usurped temporal authority as incident to their fpiritual fupremacy in various Chriftian flates, and under that title alone; and as to the extent and influence of this jurifdiction, the decline of the Pope's power as a temporal prince does not in the leaft diminith them : as a temporal prince, the Pope never was confiderable; the effence of his ulurpation is in his dominion over the minds of Romanists in the state; if they are very numerous, they must be dangerous in proportion to their numbers, wealth, and influence in a Protestant state, though the Pope fhould be completely ftripped of all his dominions and territories. The tyranny exerted by the Pope in temporals, under colour of his fpiritual fupremacy, is painted in our hiftories, and in the preambles of the flatutes enacted both in England and Ireland for abolishing his usurpation. See the English statutes 25th Henry VIII. chap 21.; 32d Henry VIII. chap. 38.; Ift of Elizabeth, chap. 1.; and Irifh statutes 28th Henry VIII. chap. 13. 19 ; 33d Henry VIII. chap. 6.; 2d of Elizabeth, chap. 1. The late Lord Chefferfield, whom no man will accuse of much prejudice in religious matters, when Lord Lieutenant of Ireland in 1745, expressed himself, in his speech from the Throne to the Irish Parliament, in the following manner : ' However, · I leave

· I leave to your confideration whether nothing further · can be done, either by new laws, or the more effectual execution of those in being, to fecure this pation against · the great number of Papifts, whole speculative errors · would only deferve pity, did not their pernicious influence on civil fociety require and authorize restraint? This Lord was one of those men who, according to the author of 'The Cafe of Ireland Re-confidered,' was prejudiced and ill-informed, when compared with himfelf! And now I afk this author, whether he can produce a fingle instance in which a state having fufficient power to exclude all traitors from its fovereignty, voluntarily called them to the exercife of fupreme power? This would be the cafe, if Romanists in Ireland were admitted into the Houfes of Lords and Commons; for in thefe Houfes, in conjunction with the King, and not in the King alone, is lodged the fupreme power of the flate; and fuch is the peculiar characteriftic of our conflitution, which is a limited monarchy: if the Government of Ireland were guilty of fuch folly, it would richly merit political diffolution, and might be juftly termed felo de fe. Shall we give voices in the fupreme legislative affembly, invefted with the fovereign power, to those who are taught by their religion not only to renounce and difobey, but to vilify and traduce the fupremacy of that Legiflature, and who thus ally fuperfition with treafon, and, as it were, fanclify rebellion? In the British empire, experience has fhown the folly, nay madnefs, of invefting Romanifts with fupreme power. King James the Second, who was perverted from the Protestant religion to Popery during his exile, no fooner afcended the Throne, than he fet every engine to work, for the purpose of establishing Popery on the ruins of the religion of his country: he was a prince not

4

not deflitute of qualities which might have fecured to him the affection of his fubjects, both as a man and a monarch; but his unfortunate attachment to Popery made him fet at nought all political and moral obligation; regardlefs of his coronation oath, he made ufe of all the advantages which his fituation afforded him, to violate every principle of the conflitution, for the fole purpole of furrendering the fupremacy of the flate to a foreign judicatory, attempting to fubjugate the independent British empire to the Papacy, to fhare the regal authority with the Pope, and to reign partly as his vallal: the obligation of a folemn oath yielded to his bigotry, and he reduced to practice the Romifh doctrine, that all oaths, the obligations of which militate in any refpect against the tenets of their church, are in themfelves impious, unlawful, and void. His conduct is a perpetual leffon to Protestants, not to rely with too much confidence on the oaths of Romanifts, the obligations of which operate against their religious opinions.

The above arguments also prove the mischief which must arise from committing to Romanists the higher executive offices of the state; they would be thereby entrusted with the execution of laws, which they are bound in conficience to refiss, and which they look upon as impious and heretical. Common fense exclaims against fuch monstrous and destructive projects of innovation ! All Protestants must for ever deprecate and oppose the granting any part of the supreme power of the state, whether legislative or executive, to Romanist, who must ever direct that power unceasingly to the destruction of the Protestant religion, and the establishment of their own; and this they can never have any reasonable hope to essent. fave by a total change in the conffitution. It is impoffible that any Romanift can honeftly and zealoufly adminifter the affairs of a Proteftant flate; he would ceafe to be a Romanift, if he did not perfeveringly aim at fupremacy, and the paramount eftablishment of the Romifh religion. Romanifts could not be content to fhare equal power with those they believe to be heretics: the most facred engagements (as we fee, among a thousand inflances, in the conduct of James the Second), if contrary to, or not coinciding with, the interest of their church, are by that church diffolved, and declared void.

The argument of this author, that reprefentation by the British constitution is in the fame ratio with property, that Protestant property is to that of Romish property in Ireland in the proportion of ten to one, and that confequently no danger can accrue to the Protestant interest by the admission of Romanists into Parliament, I have anfwered pretty fully already, from the fluctuation of property in a commercial flate, from the relative numbers of Irith Protestants and Romanists, and the avowed political creed of the latter class. But though I were to admit, that property and confequent reprefentation would always remain in the fame ratio, yet I can point out many mifchiefs to the establishment in church and state, which would moft certainly flow from the admiffion of Romanifts to feats in Parliament. When King James the Second undertook the fubversion of the Protestant establishment in England and Ireland, the two great branches of the fupreme authority of both nations, to wit, the Houfes of Lords and Commons, confifted of Protestants only. The fupreme executive, being only one branch of the fovereign authority of the empire, attempted to carry into execution

execution this desperate project : history informs us it was very near fucceeding, and would with certainty have fucceeded, at least in Ireland, had not a great continental power, nearly allied to the Throne, interfered for our prefervation, roufed the energy of the empire, and defeated a defign founded in bigotry, and confequent perjury. At that time one branch of the fupreme authority was near effecting fo defperate an enterprife ; what mifchiets and innovations may not be expected, in cafe the other two branches, or either of them, and particularly the popular branch, the Houfe of Commons, should become even partially corrupted with Romish bigotry, hostile, and incurably fo, to the conftitution both in Church and State ! All perfons know that the Houfe of Commons, if uninfluenced by the monarchy and ariftocracy, could fubvert the flate; it did fo once, and if led by desperate and wicked politicians, might do fo again : the very exiftence of our political establishment depends on the constitutional influence of the King and Peers among the Commons; and is it confiftent with the rules of political wifdom, to fuffer any part of that affembly to be composed of the determined, deadly, irreclaimable enemies of the con-Ritution, and of the independence of the empire? This author supposes that a tenth part of that House would be immediately occupied by Romifh reprefentatives, in cafe they were admitted to fit in Parliament; but whatever their number might be at first, it certainly would conftantly increase, from the shifting of property in commercial countries to that clafs of fubjects which is most numerous. The conceffion then to Romanifts of the capacity of fitting in Parliament, would be the immediate. admission of thirty members into the House of Commons, determined enemies of the constitution in Church and State, who

D

who would be always ready to join any difcontented party in Parliament in opposition to the Crown and its Minifters; any fet of profligate desperadoes; the professed patrons of two opposition measures, dignified with the fpecious and imposing titles of Emancipation and Reform, fignifying, in the vocabulary of the Irifh Rebels, Popery and Republicanifm (as is fully proved in the Reports of the Secret Committees of the British and Irish Houses of Lords and Commons), or in any other measures for the destruction of the conflitution. Romifh Irifh members of Parliament would support emancipation from the principles of their religion, and reform as ancillary to emancipation, becaufe most of the boroughs are at prefent under the influence of Protestants; and though Romanists are rendered capable of being members of corporations by the act of 1793 in their favour, yet it will take fome time before its operation can enfure to them any domineering intereft in boroughs: befides, the Irifh Romanists are now almost universally determined Republicans, as I shall hereafter flow.

It is pretty certain, if Romanifts were admiffible into Parliament, that a greater number of them would obtain feats, than their property entitles them to expect, on the fcale laid down by this author; for the loweft orders of the Irifh population are almost all Romanifts, and particularly the Irifh peafantry. Mr. Tone, in his State of Ireland, drawn up for the ufe of the French Convention, ftates, that the whole of the Irifh peafantry may be faid to be Romanifts, and femi-barbarous. The Irifh Protestant Nobility and Gentry, after the paffing of the act which enabled Romanifts to vote at elections of members of Parliament, fcemed to be feized with a mania for making

ing forty-fhilling freeholders, each vying with his neighbour for qualifying the greateft number of voters at county elections; and most of their tenantry being Romanists, they made forty-fhilling freeholders of a vaft number of them, under the vain opinion, that they would be always able to command their votes : hence, in many counties, the forty-fhilling Romifh freeholders exceed in numbers the Protestant freeholders, and woful experience has now proved to the Protestant landlords their mistake, in fuppofing that the votes of their Romish tenants would be at their difpofal; for in the late Romish Rebellion it was remarkable, that the infurgent peafants purfued their landlords with the greateft inveteracy, murdered fuch of them as fell into their hands, burned their houses, and wasted their property : in fact, thefe half-favages are mostly under the direction and influence of their priefts, who would generally fway county elections; and I need not make ufe of many arguments to prove, that the perfons elected as reprefentatives for most of the counties would very foon be all Romanists, whether they had property or not: the fame would be the cafe in all or most of the boroughs diftinguished by the name of Pot-walloping; of which, I think, there are eight in the kingdom : fo that the Romifh reprefentation would immediately amount to much more than a tenth of the Houfe of Commons; and this would be an evil daily increasing. All these Romish representatives would most certainly unite together, and act in conjunction with every difcontented party against the Government, whilft it continued Proteftant ; and as all fuch parties would join the Romanists in making breaches in the conflitution favourable to their views, to procure their co-operation in their own defigns, what incalculable mifchiefs must arife, and what certain ruin to the prefent eftablifh-D 2

eftablifhment in Church and State, from the admiffion of Romanifts into Parliament! I am firmly perfuaded that His Majefty, a truly pious prince, will never be induced to concur in fo fatal a meafure, but will conceive that his concurrence would be a violation of his coronation oath, inafinuch as it would directly tend to the overthrow of that religious eftablifhment which he has folemnly fworn to maintain and defend.

The fecond general argument of this author on which he refts the Romifh claims of political power, viz. that Romanifts have a right to feats in Parliament in proportion to their property, because property is, by the Britifly conflitution, the bafis of reprefentation, is very eafily re-Admitting property to be the bafis of reprefentfuted. ation, yet by no force of argument can it be proved, that a clafs of people, from religious principle the determined enemies of the flate, traitors in theory, and always in practice, when they dare, be their property what it may, have a right to be admitted into the fupreme legislative power of the flate; they ought to be excluded by every principle on which civil focieties are founded : and to far from being entitled to the enjoyment of any political power in a flate, they ought to be extremely well contented with, and, if they have any fparks of gratitude, very thankful for, being permitted to remain within the territories of the flate, and enjoy the protection and benefits of it. Protestant states affording fuch indulgence to their Romish fubjects act on a true Christian principle; they forgive their enemics, perfecutors, and flanderers, heap benefits upon them, and deprive them of nothing, except the power of injuring their protectors. The greater part of the above reasoning applies as well to the rejection of Romanifts

manists from feats in the United Parliament, when an Incorporating Union shall take place between Great Britain and Ireland, as from feats in the Jrifh Parliament : their admiffion into either would be a fatal breach in the conftitution : the confequence of fuch an innovation in Eng. land I fhall hereafter enlarge on.

I fhall conclude this part of my anfwer to the author's fophistical argument, to prove the Romish principle of Papal fupremacy to be no reafonable objection to Romanifts enjoying part of the fovereign authority of the Protestant state of Ireland by fitting in Parliament, and being admitted to the higheft executive offices, with obferving that his petulant affertion, that no well-informed man would make it twice, is in fact an affertion, that all English and Irish statesmen and fenators in the reigns of Henry the Eighth, Edward the Sixth, Elizabeth, and ever fince, were ignorant politicians when compared with himfelf, and have drawn on themfelves the contempt of the whole world, by excluding Romanists from fovereign power, as he in one place expresses himfelf. Such prefumption and ignorance demonstrate him to be an Irifhman, bred in an academy of modern French philosophers, the only rival of whole characteristic presumption is their ignorance.

It is very difficult to determine, whether this author's next argument betrays most ignorance or malice. He begins it by flating, ' that Roman Catholics do not deny the fole right of the flate to manage the concerns, eftablifh-"ment, faith and discipline of the Church of England;" thereby, indirectly, but not lefs decifively, afferting, that the faith and discipline of the Church of England are mere creatures

creatures of fate policy, and that fuch faith is not founded on, nor its difcipline regulated by, the holy Scriptures, the revealed word of God, but is of mere human invention, or rather imposition :---most condescending admission, of this Romish writer! At the very time he is endeavouring to perfuade Protestants to acquiefce in the Romifh claims, he cannot, in the true spirit of Popery, forbear introducing, in almost every paragraph, fome fneer or malignant falfehood against the established church. The ftate has not affumed the power in the British empire to manage the faith of its fubjects, fo far as that faith relates merely to fpiritual concerns, if by managing is meant the determining the articles of faith : all fuch are determined by convocations of the Clergy, according to the doctrines laid down in the holy Scriptures : the fame is true with refpect to the difcipline of the Church, which is fettled and regulated by the canons agreed on in convocation, which convocation fits by the authority of the Crown. As to the establishment and revenues of the Church, the Britifh flate does always interfere, as they relate to the temporal concerns of the Clergy and Laity both; and hence the canons agreed on at convocation, relating neceffarily to temporal matters, as well as fpiritual, indiffolubly connected, must, to give them a temporal authority, be approved of by the fovereign power; but they bind the Clergy, without the fanction of Parliament, in all points of faith and discipline. The authority of Parliament is alfo neceffary to warrant and enforce the public exercife of religion; and fuch interference of the flate the encroaching fystem of the Romith church on the temporal power of princes and flates throughout the Chriftian world made abfolutely neceffary, multiplying corruptions in that particular for a feries of centuries. After thus fneering malicioufly

Ą

malicioufly at the eftablished church, this author proceeds : · Roman Catholics do not admit the King of England to be " spiritual head of the Roman Catholic church, nor do the · Prefbyterians admit him to be head of theirs : this was not " a reason for excluding the Scotch from a share in the Legis-· lature of the country they were united to; why then should it " exclude the Irifh ?" It is to be observed first, that he infinuates the Romifh church is the church of the Irifh in general; I shall hereafter expose the fraud of that infinuation ; but I must first examine his argument, admitting, that I verily believe from fome other paffages in his pamphlet, it is partly founded on the author's profound ignorance of the laws of his country, which he manifests in more than one inftance, when writing on the repealed Irith Popery code, and its effects in fociety.

The original Oath of Supremacy, as ordained to be taken by the 28th of Henry VIII. chap. 13. contained the following claufe : ' shall accept, repute, and take the King's Majesty to be the the only supreme head on earth ' of the Church of England and Ireland.' This oath was complained of, as an acknowledgment of a facerdotal power in the temporal fovereign. Queen Elizabeth, after her acceffion, when the caufed the laws against the Pope's authority, which had been repealed by her fifter Mary, to be re-enacted, altered Henry's Oath of Supremacy, or rather caused an entirely new Oath to be enacted in the room of Henry's: in this new Oath of Supremacy is the following claufe : ' I ---- do utterly teftify and declare ' in my confcience, that the Queen's Highnefs is the only " Supreme governor of this realm, and of all other her Highe nefs's dominions and countries, as well in all spiritual • or ecclefiaftical things or caufes as in temporal.' (See Irifla Aa,

A&, 2d Eliz. chap. 1. fe&. 7.) And to prevent all cavil, the Queen published an explanation of this Oath of Supremacy, declaring, ' that fhe did not under colour " thereof claim any prieftly power, but merely under God to have the fovereignty and rule over all manner of · perfons born within her dominions, of what effate, whe-" ther ecclefiaftical or temporal, foever they be, fo as no ' other foreign power shall or ought to have any superi-' ority over them.' (See 1ft vol. Carte's Hiftory of the Duke of Ormond, page 38.) This Oath of Supremacy was afterwards abrogated by the English act of the 1st of William and Mary, and a new Oath was fubflituted in its place: for it was found that King James the Second, under the fanction of this Oath, had affumed a most unconftitutional power in the affairs of the Church, and ufed it for the fubversion of the established constitution in Church and State. By this new Oath all perfons, to whom it was by law administered, only disclaimed and abjured all foreign authority or jurifdiction in ecclefiafical matters within the realm, and did not fivear that the Monarch was either supreme head, or supreme governor of the Church of the realm. It is enacted, that this Oath fhall be taken in Ircland as well as in England. I have given this Oath at large before. Hence it is obvious, that Irifh Romanifts are not excluded from Parliament, and from occupying the highest offices in the state, by their not admitting the King to be fupreme head of the Church, as this author ignorantly flates, but they exclude themfelves by refuling to abjure the authority of a foreign prince and prelate within the realm,

The Reformation in Scotland was introduced by divines who were the difciples of Calvin: his doctrines were 5

were univerfally received by the Reformed in Scotland; and though James the First and Charles the First did introduce epifcopacy into that kingdom, it was almost univerfally oppofed by the mafs of the people, both high and low. Epifcopacy was fubverted, and Prefbyterianifm eftablished in the great civil war, which commenced in the year 1641. On the Reftoration, epifcopacy was refored, and held its place with great difficulty till the Revolution in 1688, when Prefbyterianism was again introduced in Scotland on the mins of Epifcopacy, and was eftablished by the King and Parliament, as the religion of the state. The Union of the two kingdoms of England and Scotland took place long afterwards, in the 5th year of Queen Anne; and previous to the Union, and preparative thereto, an act was paffed in England for the fecurity of the Church of England, whereby the Acts of Uniformity, as they then flood, are declared perpetual; as alfo all other acts then in force for the prefervation of the Church of England : and it is enacted, that every fubfequent King and Queen shall take an oath inviolably to maintain the fame, within England, Ireland, Wales, and the town of Berwick upon Tweed : and a fimilar act, previous to the Union, and preparative thereto, was paffed in Scotland, for the perpetual fecurity of Prefbyterianifm in that kingdom, being then, and long before, its established religion. These two acts are inferted in the body of the Act of Union of the two kingdoms; and by that act it is enacted, that the aforefaid two acts shall for ever be obferved as fundamental and effential conditions of the Union. The twenty-fecond article of the conditions of that Union inferted in, and ratified by, the A& of Union, provides, that all members of the United United Parliament, Englifh and Scotch, fhall, previous to their voting in Parliament, take the oath already mentioned, fubfituted by the 1ft of William and Mary in the room of the former Oath of Supremacy, and the Oath of Abjuration of the defeendants of the late King James the Second, and repeat and fign the Declaration againft Popery. (See Defoe's Hiftory of the Union, and the Englifh Statute 5th Anne, chap. 8.) Sir William Blackftone obferves on this Act of Union, that any alteration in the conffitution of either of thefe churches, or in the liturgy of the Church of England, would be an infringement of thefe fundamental and effential conditions, and greatly endanger the Union. (See Blackftone's Commentaries, octavo edit. vol. i. page 98.)

As to particulars refpecting the discipline of the Kirk of Scotland, I confess myfelf not well informed, nor can I fay whether it does, or does not, admit the King to be its supreme head; but this I will venture to advance, that the King's Commiffioner fits in every general affembly of the Kirk of Scotland, and the flate exercifes pretty much the fame controlling power over that Kirk, as it dees over the Church of England. The admiffion, that the King is supreme head over the Kirk of Scotland, is not required as a qualification for a Scotch member to fit in the Parliament of Great Britain, nor for any member, whether Scotch or English: but the abjuration of all forcign ecclefiaftical or fpiritual jurifdiction within the realm is required as a qualification : this abjuration all Scotch members actually make, and therefore they are admitted to fit in Parliament; but all Irith Romanifts abfolutely refuse to make this abjuration, and therefore exelude themfelves from that privilege : and the author's argument,

argument, that Irifh Romanists should be qualified to fit in Parliament, because Scotch Presbyterians are qualified fo to do, is a compound of ignorance and fraud.

It is here proper to take notice of another argument of this author fomewhat of the fame kind with the former. ⁶ Scotland' (he obferves, in page 10) ⁶ has preferved her ⁶ religious eftablifhment in oppofition to that of England, ⁶ and an epifcopal party at home;' and from thence he deduces, in many parts of his pamphlet, ⁶ that Popery ⁶ fhould be the eftablifhed religion in Ireland, in cafe of ⁶ an Union, in oppofition to that of England, and a Pro-⁶ teftant party in Ireland.'

The Churches of England and Scotland differ but little in points of faith; their chief difagreements relate to points of discipline : they are both Protestant churches, both difavow the spiritual supremacy of the Pope, both disclaim all partnership of dominion within the realm between the flate and any foreign power; and as to this cardinal point, on which all political authority and legitimate government reft in the Britifh Empire, thefe two Churches are fo far from being in opposition, that there is the most perfect harmony between them : no dangerous convultions in the body politic by the clathing of jurifdictions can arife from the one Church being established in one part, and the other in another part of the united kingdom; nor can the allegiance of the fubject be diftracted by the conflict of contending authorities. Prefbyterianifm was the eftablished religion of Scotland at the time of the Union, and long previous to it ; confequently Scotland did not preferve it in opposition to England, for England did not attempt to fubvert the religion of Scotland, or claim any right fo to do; and it is a very strange and

and unwarranted affumption of this author, that the prefervation of that which I am in full undiflurbed poffeffion of by a lawful title, and the enjoyment of which by me is not and cannot be in any fhape detrimental to my neighbour, is retained by me in oppofition to him, on my concluding a treaty with him for a clofer connexion and alliance.

Let us now compare the Prefent State of Ireland as to Religion, with that of Scotland at the time of the Union with England, which I have already flated. The prefent eftablifhed religion in Ireland is the Proteflant; and this author's argument for the eftablifhment of Popery in Ireland, in cafe of an Union, deduced from the religious flate of Scotland at the time of its Union with England, is thus: At the Union, Scotland retained her eftablifhed religion in oppofition to England; ergo, Ireland, on an Union with England, fhould fubvert her eftablifhed religion, being the fame with that of England, and fet up Popery as her eftablifhment in oppofition to England! Such reafoning puts me in mind of Swift's defeription of a lady's arguments:

- · Her arguments directly tend
- · Against the fide she would defend.'

The inevitable deduction from the author's flatement is directly contrary to his. It follows from the conduct of Scotland at the Union, as flated by him, that Ireland fhould, on an Union with Great Britain, preferve her eftablished religion as Scotland did, and not fuffer it to be fubverted, impaired, or changed; and the more fo, as it is the fame with that of England.

The

The modefly of this author, discoverable from his pamphlet, is as remarkable as any other of his qualities: he with great condefcention tells the people of Great Britain that be will confent to an Union of Ireland with their kingdom, provided the eftablishment of Popery in Ireland be one of the conditions; and he calls fuch an Union a fair and broad Union. In page 6 he thus expreffes himfelf: " I think it right to declare that I ' am no enemy to this measure, provided it be a fair and broad Union;' and this his most gracious and conciliating opinion he more fully explains in many paffages of his pamphlet, evidently aiming at striking a bargain with the British Government for an Union; the price which he demands for the confent of Irith Romanifts to the measure being no lefs than the subversion of the Protestant religion in Ireland by the power of Great Britain; and he very audacioufly urges the expediency of fuch a proceeding on the part of Great Britain, by magnifying the frength of the Irifh Romanifts, by the most impudent threats of their rebellion, and the powerful interference of his old affociates, the French affaffins, on their behalf, in cafe his terms be rejected. This traitorous part of his arguments I (hall more particularly notice hereafter, and refer to the paffages in his pamphlet which contain them.

As this writer thinks fit in fome few paffages to affume the mafk of what he effective moderation, and pretend that all he requires for Irith Romanifts is a full equality of all kind of privileges, and the enjoyment of part of the fovereignty of the flate with Proteflants; (very moderate requifitions indeed!) which he endeavours to flow from

from the superiority of the wealth and estates of Protestants, cannot be dangerous to the state; it may not be amifs here to take a peep under his mask, where may be eafily discovered the hideous and ferocious features of the French Revolutionist, in all their terrific deformity, and that he really confiders all the claims he makes on behalf of Irifh Romanists (and which he asks fometimes as boons in a flyle of flurdy folicitation, and fometimes demands them with infult and menace), merely as the means of enabling his party to overturn the conflictution in Church and State. I will for this purpofe felect one paffage, though his real views may be difcovered by almost every passage in his pamphlet. In page 40 he writes thus: ' I am now come to that important truth, which 6 modern political writers on religious eftablishments, as " I am informed, lay down as a principle, that every flate · ought to establish the religious feet which is most numerous. " If the necessity, or even the bare utility of Religion in a State · be admitted, this truth forces itfelf on the mind most ad-" verse to conviction, as imperiously as those axioms which ' no arguments can render clearer.' He then proceeds to fhow, that no religious establishment is necessary in a flate, ' becaufe Popery has anfwered all the true purpofes " of religion in Ireland without an eftablifhment." He then argues, that the flate may justly refuse any support to the ministers of the established Church ; because, as he afferts ' the revenue enjoyed by the Church is part of • the common flock left to the diferentian of the flate to employ to the beft advantage of the community; and ⁶ the Irifh Legiflature have a right to determine whether ⁶ it be right or wrong to apply it to the effablishment of 6 the Protestant Church; and that it would be both wife (and and generous for the Government to apply part of it

* at least to the support (that is, establishment) of Irish 6 Romish priest.

The polition of this author, the incontestable truth of which he afferts with fuch dogmatic affurance, to wit, that every flate ought to effablish the religious feet which is most numerous, if the utility of Religion in a State be admitted, is first to be examined; because, as the author has frequently stated the Irish Romanists to be most numerous in Ireland, he in fact lays it down as a polition, the truth of which cannot be disputed, that Popery ought to be established by the Irish Government, and the revenues of the Church applied to the support of Romish priests. Here then he tells us fairly and openly, that equality of privileges, and a fhare in the fovereignty of the flate, will not content Irith Romanists; that the Protestant establishment must and ought to be destroyed, and Popery placed in its room; and that the truth of this last proposition is incontestable. But I cannot agree in the alleged inconteftable truth of this position. I admit that Religion is both useful and neceffary in a State; it disposes the minds of men to the exercife of all the moral virtues, and to a cheerful fubmiffion to that degree of fubordination which is the principal link of connexion in all focieties: it has prime influence in curbing unruly paffions, and reftraining the turbulence of inordinate appetites and defires; it therefore ought to be cherished and encouraged by all rulers of States and Empires : but I cannot admit that a religious establishment is to be always regulated by the number of the fubjects of a flate maintaining particular dogmas, if fuch dogmas are found, by the beft informed and most respectable part of the flate, to be inconfiftent

confiftent with the nature and conflitution of the fociety, and fubverfive of the very frame of it: I cannot admit, that Christianity is to be treated as a mere engine of state, though true Christianity is certainly a great prop of the flate: nor can I ever admit, that ufurpation of part of the fovereignty of the state, or translation of it to a foreign power, are any parts of Christianity; and although the rabble of a country fhould maintain fuch a pernicious doctrine as a tenet of religion, and although the rabble in every country exceed the wealthy and informed part of the community, I cannot conceive that the government of a country is obliged to abdicate part of its fovereign authority, and confent to the eftablishment of such a divided power, of an imperium in imperio, though the rabble fhould be defirous, on the fcore of religion, to establish fuch a kind of mixed government, as in itself, in its very nature, contains the feeds of anarchy and confution.

The defires or wiftes of the majority of the fubjects of a State cannot be complied with, without evident deftruction of the flate; in many inflances. In all States in the world, the poor exceed the rich in number, and they univerfally wifh for a division of the property of the wealthy; yet laws for an equal division of property, real and perfonal, of the nature of agrarian laws, are held to be deftructive to all flates, and fubverfive of all industry, arts, and fedences: and furely property, its acquisition and prefervation, act as powerfully on the paffions and prejudices of the people in general as religion, or the maintenance or fubverfion of religious eftablishments: and political writers might as well maintain that laws for the equal division of property floud be enacted

1

enacted by the State, as that it should establish that Sect of Religion, whofe votaries among its fubjects were most numerous.

This author admits, that the reprefentatives of the people in Parliament, or the Commons, the popular and most efficient branch of the fupreme authority of the State, are to be elected by the people, reckoned according to their property, not their number. He afferts, that the fupreme authority of the State can justly apply the Revenues of the Church to the fupport and eftablishment of any religion it may think proper; and yet afferts, in contradiction to these premises, that the State is bound to establish that feet whose votaries are most numerous, and not that whole votaries poffels molt property. How infinitely greater does the abfurdity of fuch deduction appear, when we reflect that the expediency of the establishment of a Religion subversive of the independence and the very existence of the State is supported by fuch arguments !

Governments certainly act wifely in fuiting their laws to the established opinions of the mass of their subjects; but in collecting the opinions of that mafs, number is not fo much to be regarded as property, flation, rank, and refpectability. The multitude is even proverbially ignorant. Information and judgment, the refult of education, which the generality of mankind never attain, and which is not in fact neceffary for their stations and occupations in life, are almost peculiar to the wealthy, or at least to those who are above indigence or the avocations of manual labour. In Ireland, if the opinion of the mais of the fubjects, collected in the manner before

before mentioned, is to determine the religious eftablishment, the weight of the Protestant body, though inferior in number, would infinitely outweigh that of the Romanists; and the Proteflant faith must continue to be the established religion, if the predominant opinion of the fubjects, afcertained by the common rules of reafon and true political wifdom, is to be the regulator of the conduct of the State in point of religion. Forty-nine parts out of fifty of the landed eftates in Ireland are in the poffeilion of Protestants, and nineteen parts out of twenty of perfonal eftates; fo that they exceed the Romanists in wealth in the proportion of forty to one at leaft (See Appendix, No. 1.), and not in the proportion of ten to one only, as this author, and the writer he undertakes to answer, have affumed. Of two millions of Irish inhabitants, fo indigent as not to be able to pay a tax of four-pence per head yearly to the State, nine tenths are Romanists; in fact, almost the whole beggary of the kingdom are Romanists: how fuperlative, then, is the audacity of this Romifh writer, in maintaining that Popery ought to be and must be the established religion in Ireland, because it is the religion of the greateft number of Irifh fubjects! Is the mob of a nation to determine its religious eftablishment ? Is the mob to fubject the nation to a foreign power?

But this felf-evidently true polition, in the opinion of this writer, that Popery ought to be the eftablished religion in Ireland, because Irish Romanists out-number Irish Protestants, may be very easily refuted even on the fcore of numbers, and even admitting that superiority of number of subjects, reckoned not by property and respectability, but by the poll, should determine the establishment of the religion of the State; for Ireland is not a kingdom

kingdom separate and distinct from Great Britain. Even in its prefent state it is fo closely connected with Great Britain, that the ableft writers againft an Incorporating Union of the two nations found their ftrongeft arguments on this polition, that the prefent connexion between Great Britain and Ireland is fo ftrong as to be nearly indiffoluble, and they deduce, that therefore no further Union is neceffary. Certain it is, that the prefent connexion of the two iflands approaches very near to a complete Union. The kingdom of Ireland, by the laws of the land, is for ever annexed to, dependant upon, and infeparably united to, the imperial crown of Great Britain. Whoever is King of Great Britain, is ipfo facto King of Ireland. No Act of Parliament can pass in Ireland till after it has been fent into England, and has there the great feal of England affixed to it. Ireland is notorioufly part of the British empire : the King of Great Britain is obliged at his coronation to fwear that he will maintain the Protestant religion in Ireland. The inhabitants of Great Britain are almost all Protestants. If my memory does not deceive me, an inquiry into the number of Romanists in England was a few years ago made with great accuracy, by the authority of Government, on complaints of fome zealous Protestants, that Romanists were increasing in number. It appeared on that inquiry, that the complaints were ill-founded; that the number of Romanists had confiderably decreafed in England fince the Revolution, and that the whole number of Romanists in England, when the inquiry was made, did not exceed eighteen thoufand. Adding the population of Great Britain and Ireland together, the Protestants will be found to outnumber the Romanists at least in the proportion of fix to one in the British Empire in Europe; and hence this author's

author's argument for the eftablishment of Popery and fubversion of the Protestant religion, on the score of numbers, in any part of the British European dominions, will be found, like many of his other arguments, to make directly against his purpose.

As this author could not ferioufly entertain the hope, fanguine as he is, that the rulers of the British Empire would be duped by his abfurd arguments to overturn the Protestant establishment in Ireland for the purpose of fubflituting Popery in its place, he proceeds to allege, that the establishment of any religion by the State is utterly unneceffary, withing at least to destroy what his party is hopelefs of obtaining; and this modern political principle, as he flates it, he fupports by the following affertion : Popery has answered all the true purposes of religisn in Ireland without an establishment. From his confederates, the Atheifts of France, he has borrowed this modern political principle; but his fupport of it from the efficacy in Ireland of uneftablished Popery, alleging that it has anfwered all true purpofes of religion, is as glaring, as notorious a falfehood, as any contained in his pamphlet, or in any other publication, ancient or modern. The author admits, in the fame page (40), that Religion is a great help to Morality, Good Order, and Gavernment. Let us now examine the effects of Popery in Ireland by this criterion. The English fettled in Ireland before the Reformation, were for the molt part always attached to England: they depended on England for fupport. A conftant war was kept up between the English fettlers in a confiderable diffrict of Ireland, called the Pale, and the native Irith: they would not mix or intermarry with them. The native Irifh and thefe English fettlers

fettlers and their descendants, though inhabiting that part of Ireland for some centuries before, remained two diftinct and feparate nations in the fame island, and perfecuting each other, in a predatory and deftructive war, with the utmost rancour; but no fooner had the Reformation been introduced into Ireland, which it was not with any very material effect till the reign of King James the First, than the natives and these English fettlers began to unite and form alliances. Their mutual enmity abated, and they joined in a rancorous hatred of the English nation, and hoftility to it ; the old English fettlers growing as inveterate as the native Irish against the nation from which they fprung, joining in all fchemes for fevering the island from England for ever, and rendering it an Independent State. Such a project indeed had been always cherifhed by the native Irifh, but was always oppofed by the English fettlers till after the Reformation. From this darling pursuit of the native Irish before the Reformation, ftrengthened by the junction of the English fettlers with them after that period, a junction entirely effected by their common attachment to the doctrines of the Romifh Church; and from fuch confederacy, cemented by bigotry, and inflamed by religious fury against their fellowfubjects, fprung Defmond's and Tyrone's rebellions in the reign of Queen Elizabeth, the horrible rebellion and maffacre in that of Charles the First, and the obstinate and deftructive rebellion in the reign of William and Mary. In each of thefe rebellions the most horrible cruelties were exercifed by the Romifh infurgents on all Protestants who fell into their hands; and the nation was three times fucceffively defolated, and the Romanists, as yanquished rebels, reduced to abject poverty, from which they have not yet emerged. Above one half of the inhabit-

£ 3

ants,

ants, at each time, perifhed by famine and the fword ; multitudes deferted the kingdom; all improvements were de-Aroyed; the progrefs of industry was effectually impeded; arts and fciences were banished; and Ireland, by fuch means, though intimately connected with the richeft, moft civilized and industrious nation in the world, is now a century behind the reft of Europe in civilization and every fpecies of valuable improvement, all owing to the superstitious attachment of a confiderable portion of its inhabitants to the Romish faith, in opposition to the Protestant establishment. A large portion of its natives, all Romanists, is by the same cause continued in a semibarbarous state. (See Tone's State of Ireland, for the Use of the French Convention.) In fhort, all the calamities which, for a courfe of two hundred years paft and upwards, have overwhelmed this unhappy country, in the catalogue of which must be included the late rebellion (which this author, with fufficient confidence, afferts was not a Romish rebellion), and the murder in cold blood of all Protestants who fell into the hands of the infurgents, have had their real fource in the Popery of part of the inhabitants of Ireland. Such is the affifiance which Popery without an establishment has afforded to Morality, Good Order, and Government, within this kingdom ! and fuch the true purpofes of Religion which it has answered !

This author's next polition is, that the Revenue of the Church is part of the common flock left to the diferetion of the State to employ to the beft advantage of the *Gommunity*; from whence he deduces that it may juftly withhold it from the fupport of the Protestant establishment; not without throwing out a flrong hint of the wisdom and generofity of applying it, or at least a part of it, to 4 the fupport or establishment of Romish priests in Ireland.

The Revenues and Property of the Church are by the British Constitution just as far disposable of by the State as the revenues and property of the Laity, and no further. The State has a right to demand a reasonable part of the property of all its fubjects, laity and clergy, by way of tax, for the support of civil and military establishments, fufficient to fecure the nation in peace at home, and caufe it to be respected by foreign nations, to repel and punish their aggreffions. Until of late years, when the regular fittings of convocations of the clergy came to be difcontinued, they taxed themfelves, and were not fubject to taxation by the Commons. But this author means by his position, that the State has a right to feize on all the revenues and property of the Church at its pleafure, and to apply it to what use it pleases, that is, to confiscate it. This doctrine he very explicitly avows and maintains in the 31st page of his pamphlet. The State, being establifhed for the protection, and not for the deftruction "of property, has no more right, by the British Constitution, to act in fuch manner in respect to the Church, than it has to feize on and confifcate all the eftates and property of the Laity; neither has the State, by the fame Conftitution, any right to lay any greater tax on ecclefiaftical than on lay property. Some of our countrymen, who have been educated in France, are conftantly debafing our language by introducing Gallicifms into our phrafeology : in the fame way, those who have learned their politics in the modern French school, are for ever obtruding the flagitious, anarchical, political principles of the French Atheists upon us, as if they were part of our constitutional E 4

tional principles, endeavouring thus to corrupt and debase our constitution. This author betrays his French inflitution and politics, and his utter ignorance of the conftitution of his country, in numberlefs inftances, one of which is, his doctrine refpecting the inexpediency and inutility of a church establishment, and the justice of the confifcation of all church revenues. Under the influence, or rather pretended influence, of this doctrine, the French Atheifts robbed their national clergy of all fupport, and then exterminated them, and all Christianity, with fire and fword ; justifying their robbery and facrilege by this doctrine; their murders and banifhments, by the neceffity of ridding themfelves of the people they had robbed: indeed it has been, in all ages, the practice of French robbers to murder those they have plundered. Such are a few of the unconflitutional doctrines which this Romifh writer has published for the perusal of the subjects of the British Empire! and fuch the arguments by which he attempts to recommend and justify the fubversion of our conflitution in Church and State, and the erection of Popery on its ruins !

Further to convince my readers, that admiffion into the Senate and the great offices of the State will not content the Romanifts, and that they aim at nothing lefs than the overthrow of the Protestant establishment in Ireland, I will quote fome of this author's complaints on the fubject of tithes, almost the whole fupport of the parochial Protestant clergy of Ireland; and will at the fame time note their falfity and malice, thinking this the proper place for doing fo, as I am exposing his unfounded affertions respecting the property of the Church. In page 30 he observes, 'The religion of one man out of ' four ? four is Protestant. This religion is endowed with the ' tithe of the whole kingdom, befide great property in " land, an immense church establishment." In page 31 he writes thus : ' Among the peafantry, the proportion · of Roman Catholics is much greater (than four to one). " After paying a tithe, exacted generally with great rigour, · to fupport the eftablished religion, of which they never · hear but by the tithe proctor, they must out of their po-' verty pay fomething to their own prieft, who, nearly ' as poor as themfelves, lives with them, and renders " them many fervices.' In page 56, with respect to tithes, he thus expresses himfelf: 'This tax, and the · feverity with which it is collected, is one of the greateft grievances the people labour under ;' fo that, according to this writer, the payment of tithes to the Protestant clergy by the Irifh Romanifts is a grievance to them as heavy at least as exclusion from the Senate and the great offices of the State, and confequently must be alfo redreffed before they will confent to an Union. Very happy it is for Protestants, and fufficient to put them on their guard, that thefe Romifh writers in general, when they plead for an extension of privileges to Romanists, before a Protestant tribunal, are fo far transported by the rancour of their fect, that they cannot refrain from venting their venom against the Protestant religion and its pastors, even by the very interest of the sect whose caufe they are pleading, nor conceal their projects of fubversion of the Protestant establishment on the attainment of thefe privileges, at the very time they are foliciting for them; nor their intentions to use them, in cafe they shall be invested with them, for the destruction of the very people from whom they are foliciting them, Their indifcreet impetuofity makes their claims appear

25

as reafonable as the folicitation of a murderer would be, who thould humbly requeft you to give him your fword for the purpofe of plunging it into your heart. I will now proceed to examine the complaints of this writer refpecting tithes.

In the first place he styles Tithes a Tax, to expose them to popular odium; the mass of the people in every country being adverse to the payment of Taxes. Taxes are cerrain fums of money affeffed on, and levied from, the fubjects of the realm, by the lawful authority of Parliament, to be applied to the fupport of Government and other public purpofes. Tithes predial and mixt, the only tithes paid in this kingdom, are certain duties to be paid out of the produce and profits of lands, and beafts fed on lands, in nature of rent; but to be paid in kind, as all rents were heretofore paid in this nation, before money became fo plentiful in Europe as in the prefent, and for a few immediately preceding ages. In fact, tithes are a rent with which all the lands in the kingdom are chargeable, for time immemorial, by the common law of the realm; and the clergy have been endowed with them by a title more ancient by ages than the title of any fubject of this or any other kingdom in Europe, to his particular landed effate. The only difference be, tween tithes as a rent, and the rent of any man's landed eftate, is, that the rents referved on landed eftates in this kingdom are certain fums of money to be paid in lieu of a share of the produce; and tithes are an uncertain duty, being one tenth of the produce payable in kind, and therefore varying in quantity every year, as the crop varies, being greater or lefs, as the crop is greater or lefs annually. Every perfon whofe lands are fubject to tithes.

sithes, if he purchased the lands himself, bought them subject to the duty or rent of tithes; if he inherited them from his anceftors, the purchasing anceftor, whoever he was, took them fubject to the payment of tithes: from hence may be difcovered either the ignorance or malice of this author, or both, in ftyling tithes a tax. He next flates, that this tax (that is, tithes) is exacted with great rigour and feverity. This is a very direct and impudent falsehood; and as this writer has himself a landed eftate in Ireland, it is a falfehood to his own knowledge. It is a fact too well known to every landholder in this kingdom, that the Irifh Protestant clergy in general do not receive above one-fifth part of what they are by law entitled to, of the value of their tithes; that is, one fiftieth part of the annual value of the produce of the lands, to the tithe of which they are entitled : for one third of the tithe of the whole kingdom is in lay hands, and many parts of it are exempt from the payment of any tithes whatfoever; the Protestant Diffenters, as well as the Romanists, pay tithes with great reluctance; and the clergyman, haraffed with fuits and chicane, is glad to accept of a very inadequate composition for his tithes, even in parishes where he is best paid. No perfonal tithes are paid in any part of Ireland, or demanded; and as for mixt tithes, they are paid very partially. The only species of them which is paid in any part of Ireland, is tithe of lamb and wool. Tithe of agistment, or tithe of milk in dairies, are never demanded or paid, though payable by the common law. No perfon in Ireland is better qualified to inform the public in general, on the fubject of the collection of tithes, and the conduct of the established clergy in Ireland in that particular, than I am, from the nature of my profession and the offices I fill;

fill; and I can with the greateft truth aver, that the moderation of the established Clergy in Ireland, in the collection of their tithes, is unparalleled, when compared with the conduct of any other fet of men in enforcing the fatisfaction of their legal demands; particularly when the very fcanty provision which the parochial Clergy in general in this kingdom have, is confidered; the whole benefices in the nation, reputing each union one benefice, amounting only to about eight hundred, and the incumbents of at least one half of them are not paid an income of two hundred pounds per annum; and of the other half, there is a moiety whole incomes, actually paid, do not exceed three hundred pounds per annum each; and throughout the kingdom it has become neceffary for the Bifhops to unite a number of parifhes, to enfure even a reasonable fublistence for a clergyman. The whole established Clergy of Ireland do not amount to more than one thousand three hundred; and were the whole revenues of the Church, of every nature, divided equally among them, each man would not receive more than about one hundred and fifty pounds per annum. This the author. in page 31, ftyles an immense church establishment; and infolently adds, that no one can fay it is neceffary or uleful in general to the people of the country. Such is the grievance people labour under from the feverity with which Tithes are collected in Ireland, and the immenfity of the · Church Eftablifhment, according to this author : it is, like his other pretended grievances, a lying invention of a malignant Irifh Romanist.

His next complaint refpecting tithes is, that the Romifh peafantry, very poor from oppreffion, are obliged to pay them to the Protestant clergy, whole fpiritual affiftance

affistance they receive not; and are at the fame time obliged to contribute to the fupport of their own clergy for fpiritual affiftance they do receive from them; that is, the Irifh Romifh peafantry pay the Protestant clergy by compulsion, without valuable confideration; the Romish clergy by juffice, for valuable confideration. Before I expose the fallity of this complaint, it may not be amils to fhow the injuffice of it. In every country in which there is an Eftablished Religion, the people at large are obliged to contribute to the fupport of the ministers of it; and it is but reafonable that they should do fo, if a Church Eftablifhment be neceffary and ufeful in a State; which all people, French philosophers excepted, admit. If any part of the fubjects of a State diffent from the establishment (as is the cafe, more or less, in all Christian states), reject the affiltance of the ministers of the establifhment in fpiritual concerns, and apply to ministers of their own felection for that purpofe, it may be reafonable that they fhould pay those whom they have fo felected; but there is not the finalleft just or reasonable ground for complaint, inafmuch as the ministers of the Eftablished Church are ready to afford them spiritual aid, and to perform their appointed duty to them, as well as to the other fubjects, if they choose to accept of their ministry. So much for the injustice of the complaint, fuppofing the matter of it were true. But the whole is founded on falsehood and sophistry; for the peafantry do not pay tithe out of their own property, it is in fact paid by the landlords. The peafant takes a leafe of his farm from the proprietor, subject to the payment of tithe; and the landlord abates more than an equivalent for the annual tithe, in the yearly rent referved payable to himfelf. Lands in Ireland which are tithe-free are let at an annual

annual rent from a crown to half a crown per acre more than lands which are fubject to tithes; and in no part of the kingdom does the annual tithe, as it is paid, amount to fo much on an average as the abatement in the annual rent amounts to; fo that, though the farmer pays the tithe, yet he does not pay it out of his own pocket,-it is really and bona fide paid out of the pocket of the landlord; and the farmer in that refpect is but his fteward, and pays for him, and on his account. Of the landed eftates in Ireland, on the most critical examination, it appears that forty-nine parts out of fifty are in the hands of Protestants; fo that Protestants pay forty-nine parts out of fifty of the tithe payable to the Protestant clergy : the Romish farmers do not pay a fixpence of it on their own accounts, and Romifh landlords not above a fiftieth part. Every perfon acquainted with the true state of the kingdom knows the truth of the above flatement. The Irish peafantry, in fact, would be in a worfe condition if tithes were abolifhed ; for they would be obliged to pay an increase of their annual rents for their farms in fuch an event, vaftly exceeding the fums which they now pay for the tithe of them; and this is expressly admitted by Mr. Emmett, one of the Irifh Directory, in his examination on oath before the Secret Committee of the Irifh Houfe of Lords. See the Appendix to their Report, No. 6, p. 32, where Mr. Emmett flates thus : · I am very fure, if tithes were abolished, the people, on · taking new leafes, would be obliged to pay more in propor-· tion for lands than the value they now pay for tithes; but he admits the leaders of the rebellion endeavoured to raife a popular cry for the abolition of tithes, as they did in favour of Catholic Emancipation and Reform, about all which, he admits, the people did not care a feather, till they

they perfuaded them that certain imaginary advantages were to refult from them; and that they, that is, the Irifh Republicans, raifed that popular cry, for the mere purpofe of cajoling the mafs of the people into a fupport of their own anarchical projects.

It may be clearly perceived, that this writer has the fame purpofe in view, by all his falfehoods and mifreprefentations; and that he dwells on old fubjects of complaint fraudulently aggravated, arifing from the Popery code, long fince repealed; on exaggerated accounts of the poverty and mifery of the Irish peasantry, arising, as he afferts, from oppression, but really from repeated rebellions and difaffection; on falfe flatements respecting tithes, fevere exaction of them, and immenfe revenues in the poffession of the Irish Protestant Clergy; and, in fhort, that he leaves no topic of inflammation, which can work on the paffions of the Irifh Romanifts, either from the deep impreffions of their bigotry or intereft, untouched, for the purpole of goading them to infurrection and rebellion, and thereby effentially ferving his masters the French Convention.

This author admits, that the Pope nominates all the Irifh Roman bifhops, but afferts ' that this gives him no ' real or effential jurifdiction in the Irifh flate, he and ' the whole Roman Catholic Church not having, nor ' pretending to have, in the Irifh flate the power of the ' meaneft veftry.' How far this affertion is practically true, let any man judge, who has read the Oath of Allegiance taken by all Romifh bifhops to the Pope at their confecration, which I have already fet forth. It is very true the Romifh bifhops have no tribunals in Ireland, in which

which they pronounce decrees, the execution of which they can compel by the laws of the land, as they at prefent stand : yet it is equally true, that they have tribunals, vicars general and officials who do make and pronounce decrees in what they call spiritual matters, and in matrimonial caufes efpecially, which highly affect the temporal concerns of the fubjects of this realm; and that they have ways and means of enforcing, and do enforce, the effectual execution of fuch decrees, of which I have within thefe few years come to the knowledge, in many inflances : and although fuch their proceedings are forbidden by the laws of the realm under fevere penalties, yet they continue the practice, have feals of office, and levy no inconfiderable fums on the fubject for licences, difpenfations, and other incidents of epifcopal jurifdiction. If Romanifts should be admitted to a share of the supreme authority of the State, and if any attempt fhould be made to carry the laws into execution against fuch illegal practices, what loud complaints fhould we hear of perfecution ! and what harangues of Romish orators would be pronounced in the Senate on the fcore of the obstruction of the jurifdiction of their Bifhops, liegemen of the Pope, in matters very intimately connected with the temporal interests of the fubje&! and how inceffant would their endeavours be to precure the repeal of fuch wholefome and reftrictive flatutes !

This author obferves, ' that the Statute of Magna ' Charta, the foundation of civil liberty, and the Statute ' of Præmunire (2d of Richard the Second), which fe-' cured ecclefiaftical liberty, were acts of Roman Catholie ' Parliaments.' It is to be noted, however, that the Statute of Magna Charta established all the usurpations of the Komish

Romifh Church as they then flood (See chap. i. xxxiii. xxxviii. of it : 2d Coke's Inftitutes, page 2. 68. 76.), and only represed and abolished prerogatives claimed by the Crown, which bore hard on an Ariftocracy, clerical and lay, at that time of mighty power; and that the ftatute of Richard the Second only went to reftrain the introduction into the kingdom of the Pope's bulls which touched on the King's Crown and Regality, or his realm, and the execution of fuch : the Popedom at that time claiming, and attempting to exercife, an unlimited temporal authority within the realm, and ufurping all the prerogatives of the Crown, which abufes it had principally introduced in the reign of King John. The statute did abrogate, or attempt to abrogate, the fupremacy of the Pope in fpirituals, and in all temporal matters incident to fuch spiritual supremacy: the authority of the Pope in fpirituals and in temporals infeparably connected with them, remained unimpaired in the realm, and was executed therein, eithet by his own or the Bishop's officers, or by the officers of the Sovereign, notwithstanding that statute, as may be learned from our hiftories, till the time of Henry the Eighth : Richard's Parliament not defigning to curtail, what they, being Romanists, believed to be the just portion of temporal power incident to the Pope's acknowledged fupremacy in spirituals. Till the reign of Henry the Eighth appeals to Rome, though illegal before, had been always connived at. (See 4th vol. Blackstone's Commentaries, page 114.)

The concluding argument of this author for the admiffion of Romanists into the Senate, and into all places of trust and confidence within the realm, to wit, a fimilar practice in many other States, he introduces with an affectation tion of great politenefs: his politenefs indeed is much of the fame kind with that of fome modern men of nice honour, who affect all kind of ceremonious delicacy in their conduct, preparative to a premeditated quarrel, and mean to procure an excufe for flooting a man through the head, or running him through the heart, with the most perfect good breeding : he thus addreffes the gentleman whofe arguments he has undertaken to anfwer: ' I am almost · afraid of being accufed of impolitenefs by adducing no-' torious facts, which may look like grofs and blunt con-" tradictions of the affertions of a gentleman, who ought ' to have fuch good information upon the fubject he treats.' Before I proceed to the examination of the inftances of the practice of other States, in respect to the indifcriminate distribution of honours, emoluments, and confidential offices in the civil and military departments, on Protestants and Romanists (instances almost all confined to Germany), which are adduced by this foi-difant well-informed writer, I must remark, that the Government of every one of them is defpotic, and either in the hands of a fingle perfon, or in those of an oligarchy; and that the people at large have no fhare in the fupreme power of the flate ; that is, their Governments have no democracy intermixed with them: no affembly, elected by the people for a certain term, and whole members at its expiration become part of the mass of the people, forms part of their fovereignties; and no arguments deduced from the practice in fuch States in the particulars above-mentioned will apply to a Government partly democratic, and the most efficient part of which is fuch a popular affembly as above defcribed. In fuch States any particular religious tenet held by a part of the people, hoftile to the principles of Government, can have but a very finall mifchievous effect : many civil and

all

all military offices may be disposed of by such despotic governments to any perfons they may think capable of ferving them, without regard to their religious perfuafions, and without inconvenience to the fyftem of government; for fuch officers can have no fhare or influence in the government, except through the medium of their despotic masters, who can dismiss them at their pleafure. In a Romish despotic government, the employment of Protestants in great and confidential offices can be of no public inconvenience, as well for the before-mentioned reason, as because there is no tenet of the Protestant religion which teaches the perfecution of all Chriftians, princes and people, differing from Protestants in point of doctrine, as heretics with fire and fword; no tenet which teaches them to overturn the government which does not fhare its fovereignty with a foreign tribunal. It is much fafer for a Romish State to employ Protestants in great offices, than for a Protestant State to employ Romanifts.

The Saxon State occonomy this author produces with a fort of triumphant exultation, as a decifive proof of the truth of his deductions; 'he ftates it to be a Roman Ca_ 'tholic government in a Proteftant country, the very re-'verfe of Ireland: to make the contraft complete, Pro-'teftant and Catholic enjoy every privilege without diftinction. The revenue of the Church, fmall but adequate, is given to those who do the service of it in either way. The peafantry are without any exception the happieft, most comfortable, and most contented in the world; the higher ranks remarkable for their martial and honourable fpirit, the Sovereign is a father of all his fubjects. But a ftronger and more extraordinary fact re-

F 2,

· mains.

(68)

mains, to confound the friends and abettors of religious
jealoufy and animofity. The Proteftants of Germany,
certainly not indifferent to the interefts of their religion,
could not find a properer perfon to entruft them to, than
to this very Roman Catholic Prince, who is felected by
themfelves to be the chief of the Proteftant Union, and
to watch over the treaties made in their favour.

But this well-informed author, notwithftanding the amazing extent of his knowledge, feems to be totally ignorant of the specific differences of the constitution of the British Empire from that of any other country in the world, and the neceffary political effects of fuch differences in the internal regulations of a State, one efficient part of whole fovereignty is a popular affembly, when contrasted with the internal political regulations of a defpotic government. The Elector of Saxony is as defpotic a prince as any in Europe within his own territories; his fubjects, in a political fenfe, are complete flaves; there is no fpice of democracy mingled with his power : in his State the Sovereign may be truly called the father of his people, in the fame fense that the author of " Killing no Murder,' gives that title to Cromwell. "Your " Highnefs,' fays he, " is the true father of your people, for we · have nothing during your life that we can call our own.' If the fubjects of this Elector, the higheft ranks, as well as the peafantry, are the happiest and most contented in Europe, as this author flates, it must follow that abject political flavery is productive of the greatest happiness to the fubject ; a doctrine which will not be relifhed by the fubjects of the British Empire : God preferve us from fuch happinefs! I remember an inftance of the Elector of Saxony's mild government of his fubjects, which was published

"published throughout Europe above thirty years ago. He received information that a Saxon peafant had killed a deer; he caufed him to be feized, ftripped naked, pinioned, and ftrongly tied on the back of a ftag, which was immediately turned loofe into the forefts: the wild animal, affrighted, and rather uneafy under fo arbitrary an impofition, ran furioufly through the woods, till the unhappy rider was torn to pieces by the projecting branches of trees, and the thorny brambles of the wild.

At the first dawning of the Reformation in Germany, the then Elector of Saxony, one of the most potent princes of the Germanic Union, became the profelled patron of Luther ; he protected him from the fury of the Romanist, and himfelf as well as his fubjects became Protestants, as did many other German potentates with their people. The Emperor, at the inftigation of the Pope, and other Romish ecclesiastics, commenced a furious war against these Protestants; it raged with great violence for many years, and incalculable mifchief was done to both parties; the Elector of Saxony, as the most confiderable Protestant prince, being at the head of the Protestants : till at length both fides, wearied and wafted by the calamities of war, entered into treaties of peace ; the Elector of Saxony, then a Protestant, being selected by his Protestant co-estates as chief of the Union. (See Robertson's Hiftory of Charles the Fifth, vol. ii. book ii. page 100. ; book v. page 352. 355.; vol. iii. book x. p. 201. octavo edition.) About the beginning of the prefent century, the then Elector of Saxony apoftatized from the religion of his anceftors, and became a Romanist, his subjects being Protestants; and his fucceffors have continued Romanists to this day. The anceftor of the Elector of Saxony, being a Protestant, was by the before-mentioned treaties acknowledged chief of the the Proteftant Germanic Union; but all Germany knows that the prefent Elector, being a Romanift, is not confidered or acknowledged as fuch, though the treaties exift; and that he could not be confidered as fuch, even if he were a Proteftant, becaufe he is infinitely inferior in power to the King of Pruffia, Elector of Brandenburgh, who is now in fact the head of the Proteftant Germanic Union, and is fo confidered.

The Elector of Saxony being a defpotic, in refpect to his conftitutional authority over his own fubjects, is yet a dependant prince in respect to the Emperor and his coestates, being but a member of the German Empire : hence he cannot attempt to innovate in the eftablished religion of his country, and fubstitute the Romish faith in the room of the Protestant, becaufe the Protestant States of the Empire would certainly interfere to prevent him, and would be obliged by the above-mentioned treaties to do fo: his fubjects, fecured in the enjoyment of their religious establishment by the constitution of the German Empire, and their religion teaching them no doctrines hoftile to their Romish Sovereign, acquiesce under the government of a Romanist : and as to the enjoyment of every privilege indifcriminately by Protestant and Romanift, very little mifchief is to be apprehended on that account in a State, where the Sovereign is defpotic, and the people entitled to no privilege, fave what the Sovereign pleafes to beflow : benefits fpringing entirely from his favour cannot with propriety be called privileges.

The above reafoning applies to every inftance quoted by this author of the indifcriminate advancement of Romanifts and Proteftants under the German governments; but he is not able to quote one inftance of fuch indifcriminate criminate advancement in any popular government in Chriftendom : the Swifs States were almost all oligarchical, and very defpotic : and in the Dutch State, which had a great mixture of democracy in it, and which held out univerfal toleration and protection to the professions of all feets, no perfon of a different religious perfuasion from that of their establishment, before the French subverted their constitution, was fuffered to fit as a member of their States General.

This author, with no fmall degree of fophiftry and affurance coupled together, obferves, that the Saxon government is the direct reverfe of that of Ireland, for there the government (that is, the Elector) is Roman Catholic, and the country Protestant; thus indirectly afferting, that the religion of the people of Ireland in general is Romifh, though the government be Protestant. The truth is, the religion of the people of Ireland, if determined by the religion of the majority reckoned by the poll, would be Romith by a majority of two to one : if reckoned by property, would be Protestant by a majority of forty to one; fo that it may be justly afferted from fair calculation, exclusive of its being the one established, that the religion of Ireland is Protestant. The author does not forget to infinuate in his account of Saxony, that Romith Irith priefts should enjoy part at least of the revenue of the Church in Ireland, by obferving, that in Saxony the *fmall* but *adequate* revenue of the Church is given to those who do the fervice of it in either way : which obfervation, however, is falle with respect to that electorate in ge-And this author's extraordinary fact confounding all neral. his opposers turns out to be a fabrication of his own, the materials of which are fraud and ignorance.

This

This author attempts to furnish an argument in favour of his politions, from the liberality of the French monarchical government to their Protestant subjects : he afferts, ' that the Protestants in those parts of France where they were in any proportion to the Catholics, were exactly in the fame fituation as they. Such was • the cafe of Alfatia ceded to France by the treaty of " Munfter ; the rights of Protestants in that province were " respected.' Alface was no part of the realm of France, it was a part of Germany acquired by the arms and treachery of the French monarch : the inhabitants were Protestants, A treaty of peace being concluded between France and Germany, and this province ceded to France, the free exercise of the religion of the inhabitants was ftipulated for, and made one of the conditions of the treaty; as the province bordered on the territories of the enemies of France, and might revolt, the French Government thought it prudent to reconcile the inhabitants to their new mafters, by an adherence to the treaty fo far as to indulge them in the free exercise of their religion : it was useful to the French to do fo; and could not be detrimental, inafmuch as the people of the province have no fhare in the government, which is defpotic : a fort of political neceffity infured the obfervation of the treaty, otherwife it may be fairly prefumed, that this most faithlefs of all nations would not have hefitated at violating the conditions. The conduct of that nation in violating the Edict of Nants, and perfecuting the Protestants throughout all their ancient limits, in the true fpirit of Popery, with fire, fword, and the gallies, particularly in Languedoc, where they continued to hunt them like wild beafts, till the diffolution of the monarchy, fully juftifies fuch a prefumption.

The

The author then states, ' that Mr. Neckar, who was " Prime Minister, Marechal Saxe many years Coms mander in Chief of the armies in France, Marechals · Lovendal, Luckner, Wurmfer, and innumerable other · Protestants, were high in civil and military rank in "France.' I have already fhown, that, as to the fecurity of government and the religion established, it is but of little moment what the religious perfuation of military officers is in despotic monarchies, as was that of France; and that the religion even of civil officers in fuch governments is not a matter of much public concern: yet I deny that there were innumerable inftances in France before the Revolution, of Protestants being high in civil or military rank : the inftances of that kind were very few, and the author has enumerated the most of them. But what infatuation induced him to refort to the inftance of Mr. Neckar, a Protestant, being Prime Minister of France? He was indeed Prime Minister of that devoted country, and was by reputation a Calvinist, but in truth, an Atheist; and the fruits of his ministry in France were, the overthrow of its ancient government, the fubverfion of its eftablifhed religion and of all Chriftianity, and the defolation of the kingdom. And this author holds up the fatal promotion in France of this monfter of perfidy and treafon, an enemy to the established religion and constitution of that country, as an argument to induce Irish Protestants to betray the fovereignty of the State into the hands of Irifh Romanifts, the inveterate enemies of its eftablished Religion and Conflitution.

This author, in page 39, makes the following obfervation: 'One cannot help pitying à government, which feems to be in conftant terror of the profperity of its 'own

own fubjects. Their number, their riches, their fpirit, · their civil and military talents, are fo many objects of ' fear.' This is his picture of the government of Ireland ! The Irifh government has used every endeavour which found policy can dictate, to increase the prosperity of all its fubjects: their number, their riches, their fpirit, their civil and military talents, are the objects of its applauses, of its proud and honourable exultation: but a certain class of Irish subjects are, from religious bigotry, incurable enemies to the conflitution of their country in Church and State ; found policy directs, that fuch thould be excluded from the fovereign power of the State, which they must wield, if invested with it, for the subversion of the conflitution; and prevented from using either their number, their riches, their fpirit, or their talents, for the ruin of their fellow-fubjects and of the conflitution. The Irifh Protestants fear not Irifh Romanists, either from their boafted numbers or puissance ; they know their own ftrength, and rely on their own courage, of both which they have given Romish Rebels recent proofs; their conduct in fuch exclusion is not the effect of fear, but of wifdom and prudence : it is not cowardice in the garrifon of an impregnable fortrefs, the fafety and protection of a realm, to refufe entrance into it to their irreconcilable enemies, whofe numbers or courage, when on the outfide, can be no objects of terror. How can it be faid with juftice or reafon, that Irith Protestants put any impediments in the road of Irifh Romanists to wealth and prosperity, or in their paths to military renown? Is not the army now open to them? Are not trade, and all the avenues of honest industry, as open to them, as to their Protestant fellow-fubjects? Are not their lives and properties equally protected by the laws ? How falle then, how malicious, how

how infolent, and how petulant, is the above invedive of this Romifh writer against the Irifh Government !

I will now point the reader's attention to those parts of the author's pamphlet, in which he throws out the most audacious threats of rebellion and refistance against the lawful authority of the State. Thefe he introduces under the guife of advice, or fuggefts them as the natural confequences of what he ftyles the oppression of the Romanifts in Ireland by their Protestant fellow-fubjects and the State. In pages 6, 7, and 8, he observes, ' that it ' is dangerous, in the prefent flate of men's minds all ' over the world, to exclude formally three millions out ' of four, in a detached country, from the just and rea-· fonable rights which they fee their fellow fubjects en-' joy; and that the idea of preferving fuch an eftablish-" ment by force is abfurd and impracticable.' And then, after observing on the success of the Netherlands in throwing off the Spanish yoke, and their right to do fo, he infinuates that in Ireland, Separation from Great Britain, and Independency, (hould be maintained at all hazards; and concludes with the maxim of one of the French demagogues, that infurrection is the most facred of our duties; pretending to deduce the justice of these treasonable aphorisms from a passage in the pamphlet of his antagonift.

Further to flimulate the Irifh Romanifts to rebellion, and to fharpen their natural rancour against the British foldiery, he accuses the English Militia, who gallantly volunteered for the affistance of their brethren the Protestants of Ireland, of gratifying their lust by brutal violations of the Irish females, in the following passage : *From*

· From accounts which the papers give of the gallantry of · the British Militia with the fair, as well as in the field, one would imagine they had read Mr. C.'s pamphlet, and · were imitating the Romans in fettling the preliminaries of " union with the Sabines.' The good conduct and firict discipline of the British Militia, which lately came into Ireland, have been praifed by the two Houfes of Parliament, and by every loyal man in the kingdom; and for this most groundless calumny the flanderous author had no other authority than his own malice. He then proceeds, with the utmost virulence, to abuse the policy of Great Britain in refpect to foreign nations, afferting that fhe has thereby ruined herfelf, and is now a bankrupt, with which it would be highly imprudent for Ireland to have any connexion. He lays to the charge of her Miniftry all the calamities which, as he states, have afflicted Ireland for a feries of ages; and afferts that the dawn of improvement in the flate of Ireland commenced with her afferting fome degree of independence on England in the year 1780. (See pages 9, 10.) Then, after flyling the Protestant Religion a Medufa's head, which paralyfes a large portion of our people, or turns their arms against sach other (thereby admitting, what he in other places denies, that the last Rebellion, as well as preceding ones, was a Romish rebellion), he recites the triumphs of the Republic of France, and magnifies her power : he ftates, that a war between Great Britain and Ireland is not probable, if the people are fatisfied; but is to be feared, if the caufes of discontent are not removed. By the people, this author, throughout his pamphlet, means Romanifts exclufively; and the principal caufes of complaint which he enumerates, are the exclusion of the members of the Romish fect from Parliament, and from the great offices of

of the State; that is, from a fhare in the fovereignty of the State; thus declaring in the most explicit terms, that the Irifb Romanists will commence hostilities against Great Britain and the Protestants of Ireland, in confederacy with France, if they do not obtain a share in the fovereignty. (See page 17.) He further proceeds thus: ' It is dan-' gerous, it is almost treason against the cause of all * regular fociety, attacked as it is by powerful enemies, to " trifle in this manner with the feelings of three millions of people, by excluding them from those rights for " which they are called upon to rifk their lives." (See page 23.) Then, after acknowledging that the late Irifh Rebels, forming the Directory, were in treaty with France for their assistance to separate Ireland from Great Britain, and praifing this Directory for their principles of patriotifm manifested in the conduct of the treaty, he proceeds to encourage rebellion, by infinuating the probability of fuccefs, from the fituation of Ireland, and the certainty of French fupport. " Of late,' he observes, " the theory of infurrection has ' almost forced itself upon every speculative mind. A ^e province diftant from the feat of empire is much more ⁶ liable to the intrigues of an enemy than one that has it ' in its centre.' (See page 24.) He proceeds in pretty much the fame firain to page 30, in which he inferts a quotation from Mariana, importing, that all poor perfons in a State will be enemies to it, if all hopes of emerging are taken away from them; which may be very true, but gives no support to the author's arguments. Mariana does not mean that all beggars in a State will rebel, if the hope of emerging into the fovereignty of the State is taken away from them; he means the hope of emerging into opulence : fuch is the precise meaning of the paffage.

fage. But the means of emerging from poverty into opulence are, by our Conflitution, as open to poor Romanifts as to poor Proteftants. The author feems to have inferted the quotation for the mere purpofe of fhowing his learning: it cannot be difforted to fignify that beggars will be difaffected to the State, unlefs they have grounds to hope that they may be kings.

This author, then, for the mere purpofe of inflammation, falls on the Irifh Popery Code, which has been fome time fince, perhaps injudicioufly, certainly too hastily, repealed. He thus defcribes it and its effects: · Laws which for a century cramped the industry of a ' people, debarred them from education, armed the · brother against the brother, and rewarded the fon for betraying the father, excluded the Roman Catholics from Protestant schools, prevented them from having fchools of their own, and proferibed foreign education.' (See pages 4. 10.) ' These laws left a people poor, ig-' norant, with little refpect for law, and ferocious from ' a fenfe of injury. They rendered property infecure, ⁶ prevented the cultivation of land, the interior con-' fidence of families, the extension of trade, or the em-' ployment of the talents or genius of three fourths of ' the people in civil or military affairs.' (See page 34.) At prefent I shall only observe, that England had her Popery Code as well as Ireland, very fimilar to, and almost the fame with the Irish; and that this Code, though the Irifh one be repealed, is still in force, as to many parts of it, in England. I shall hereafter make further obfervations on this author's ftrictures on the Irifh Popery Code; but shall first show that the strength and puissance of the Irish Romanists are not fo great or formidable,

formidable, nor their threatened rebellion, even though fupported by France, fo dangerous to the Irith Government, or to the British Empire in general, as this author reprefents them. In the fecond place, I fhall prove that the late Irith Rebellion was a Romith Rebellion : in doing which I shall be obliged to delineate fome of the cruelties exercifed by the Rebels on their Protestant fellow-fubjects. In the third place, I shall show that all the rebellions of Irith Romanists are excited, encouraged, and even commanded, by the politive tenets of their religion: from whence it will directly follow as a corollary, that the mais of Irith Romanists, as long as they continue of that perfuafion, must be justly confidered by the State as fubjects whole fidelity cannot be relied upon; and that fuch checks fhould be held over them as may prevent their diffurbing the State, even after an Incorporating Union shall take place.

As to the first, I have already proved, that Irish Romanists do not exceed Irish Protestants in number, in a greater proportion than that of two to one; and this proof I have founded on every rational ground of inquiry or invefligation, which have in that particular been ever adopted. (See Appendix, No. 1.) I have already flown. as to the mass of property in Ireland, real and personal, that the part of it in the hands of Protestants is to that in the hands of Romanists in the proportion of forty to one. (See Appendix, No. 1. Now as the ftrength of a nation, for defence and offence, is in a ratio compoled of its numbers and its wealth, fo likewife is the relative ftrength of two contending parties within it : hence it is demonstrable, that the Protestants of Ireland alone are fully able to repress the threatened rebellion of the Irish Romanists; and

and this was fully and practically proved in the late Romifh Rebellion, which was fupprefied by the Army, Militia, and Protestant Yeomanry of Ireland.

It is well known in Ireland, that this Rebellion might have been prevented and nipped in the bud, if vigorous measures had been timely adopted by the Irish Government. The then Lord Lieutenant (Earl Camden) was a man of great honour and integrity, and a determined friend to the Conflitution in Church and State. The principal men in power in the kingdom were of fimilar character. The information respecting the schemes and defigns of the confpirators was complete, and called for the most speedy and strenuous exertions of the State to defeat them. The Irith Parliament conferred the molt ample authority on the Executive Government; yet the delay of exertion was remarkable and notorious. Moft thinking people attributed the backwardnefs of Government in feizing and punishing these Catholics, and their affociates, to a British influence in our councils. It is fupposed that the powers of the Chief Governor were fhackled by orders from England. The flate of affairs in Ireland had been grofsly mifreprefented to men in power in England, and thefe mifreprefentations had taken deep root. The late Mr. Edmund Burke, an Irifh gentleman refident in England, had projected the total fubversion of the Protestant Establishment in Ireland fo early as the year 1761; and having ingratiated himfelf with the Secretary of the Marquis of Halifax, then Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, he returned to his native country in his fuite. He then began his operations for carrying his project into execution. He found, however, the current of opinion in Ireland against his innovations

innovations too ftrong for his ftemming it. England was at that time triumphant over France, and no affistance was to be expected from that quarter; and his own credit in England was not then near fo great as it afterwards was. He was obliged to fuspend his operations at that time, and retire unfuccefsful to England. As foon, however, as the American war blazed forth, he recommenced them with all the vigour in his power. He published a Letter, addressed to a Romish Irish Nobleman, which is exactly of the fame nature with the pamphlet I am now remarking on : indeed all the arguments in this pamphlet are taken from the Letter. Mr. Burke therein paints in the most glowing colours, the hardfhips which Romanists endured in Ireland from the effect of the Popery Laws; he boldly afferts their undoubted right to every privilege enjoyed by Irifh Proteftants; he exaggerates their number and ftrength, and threatens the British Empire with the exertion of them against its then tottering power, as he defcribes it : in fhort, he dwells on every topic of inflammation of Irifh Romanists, on every encouragement, on every provocation to revolt against Britain in her then distressed fituation; mixing, throughout the whole, the groffeft mifrepresentations of the state of Ireland. This Letter he followed with a fucceffion of publications, all in the fame strain, under the titles of Letters, Speeches, and Effays, in the daily Newspapers, Reviews, Magazines, Annual Registers, &c. and continued them to the day of his death. His parents were Irifh Romanists; he was endowed with very fhowy talents; his ftyle was elegant; he was well read in all parts of polite literature; he was laborious and indefatigable : but his vanity, the attendant on a weak judgment, was unbounded; and his zeal for promoting G

promoting all the political views of Popery was enthufiaftic. This zeal will account for the extraordinary anomalies in his political conduct. He had recommended himfelf to the late Marquis of Rockingham, and by his intereft he obtained a feat in the British House of Commons. During the American and French war, no man in England was a ftronger advocate for the Americans. In his fpeeches in Parliament he fupported all their pretenfions, he justified all their proceedings, and often pointed out the measures, in the British House of Commons, for diffreffing Britain, which the Americans afterwards purfued; in fhort, on all occafions he appeared a determined republican, and at the fame time used the most strenuous efforts to urge the Irish Romanists to revolt, for the purpole of increasing the embarrassiments of the British Government. (See the Annual Register, and Hiftory of the late War, chiefly written by Mr. Burke.) At the time it pleafed the Almighty to vifit His Majefty with a grievous malady, which fufpended his exercife of the kingly functions, when his recovery was doubtful, and the mind of every honeft and loyal man in the nation finking under difmal apprehenfions of impending evils, and his heart burfling with grief for the danger of his beloved Sovereign, Mr. Burke exultingly declared in the Houfe of Commons, that God had hurled His Majefty from his throne. Impious triumph of republican malignity! At no very long interval after this tranfaction, the French Revolution blazed forth like a volcano, confumed the Monarchy, Religion, Laws, and Conftitution of that country; established a Republic, and proclaimed war and hoftility against all Kings. In the progrefs of their career, the French leaders reduced to practice the whole theory of all Mr. Burke's republican lessons, 4

leffons, which he had read with fo much fuccefs to the Americans, from whom the French derived all their political inftruction. Mr. Burke fuddenly renounced all his former principles, and employed his polluted pen, yet stained with democratic ink, in reprobating, with the utmost energy, all the proceedings of the French Democrats, though their enormities were committed in conformity to the principles laid down in his former political lectures. It must be admitted that he fung his palinodia with great fuccets and applaufe. He expofed, with much justice, force, and perfpicuity, the treason, wickednefs, and cruelty, of the French Anarchifts; developed their arts and deceptions with great acutenefs, and raifed the abhorrence of the British nation, as well against them, as against a faction of atheistical republicans at home, who attempted to fpread the contagion of the defolating Gallic doctrines through the British dominions: But to what caufe can this fudden change in Mr. Burke's political conduct be attributed ? I can guess at no other than his enthuliaftic zeal for the advancement of the political pursuits and interests of the Romish Church, of which he has fhown himfelf, for a feries of years, the professed advocate. The French atheistical usurpers proferibed Chriftianity and all its profeffors : they confequently proferibed Popery, the heretofore established religion of France, with all its interests, and confiscated the whole Church Revenues. This excited Mr. Burke's rage and refentment against them; and hence his reprobation of their republicanifm. In one of his publications he complains, in the bitternefs of his heart, that they have pulled down the Majefty of Religion; and Popery certainly does affect great external pomp and grandeur. However, if any perfon shall fuggest a more probable G 2

probable caufe of the fudden alteration in the political conduct of Mr. Burke, I shall readily relinquish my hypothefis. This gentleman, very unfortunately for the repose of Ireland, and confequently of Great Britain, has influenced fome men of great rank and power in England to concur with him in the project of communicating with the Irifh Romanifts the supreme power of the State, by admitting them into Parliament; and has had the address, at the fame time, to perfuade them, by grofs mistatement, that the Romanists are powerful enough in Ireland to compel an acquiefcence in fuch their ambitious views, and that it is therefore good policy to give that which cannot be withheld. He has alfo, by the fame means, perfuaded them, in defiance of truth, that the Irifh Romanists are grievously oppressed by their Protestant fellow-fubjects; that they are Monarchifts; the Irish Protestants, Republicans. He has worked on their generofity, by preaching up the doctrines of Liberality, Conciliation, Emancipation, and Reform; concealing under fuch fpecious names and titles, Romish Perfecution, Rancour, Subversion and Proscription of the Protestant Religion, Democracy, and Separation, the certain confequences of the fuccefs of his projects.

Evident marks of the progrefs of Mr. Burke's doctrines in favour of the Irish Romanists may be discovered in most of the Speeches of great and powerful Noblemen and Commoners in England on the subject of Union, copies of which have been published, and will be hereafter noticed. This set of British Politicians may be distinguished by the name of Burkists; and from the power and influence of this set, fatally misinformed and missed with respect to the affairs and state of Ireland, the the procraftination and delay of vigorous meafures for prevention of the Rebellion are generally attributed; and to the fame fource may be traced many of the meafures lately purfued, and, I am forry to fay, ftill purfuing, in Ireland, which give the higheft difguft to the whole body of Irifh Proteftants, and which, inflead of promoting an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland, are in fact throwing obftacles in the way of it; all which, however, I have the ftrongeft hopes that the good fenfe of the nation will furmount.

I have stated that the late Irish Rebellion was suppreffed by the Protestants of Ireland. To prove that fact, it is only neceffary to recur to dates. The Rebellion broke out on the 23d of May 1798. The whole Regular Army, the Militia, and the Yeomanry, then in the kingdom, were the proper forces of Ireland, and paid by Ireland. Most of the regular troops had, at different periods before, been fent out of the kingdom on foreign fervice, and their places supplied by Fencible Regiments, many of them Scotch; but as these troops were paid by the Irifh Treasury, and were fent in lieu of the Irish trained troops employed on foreign expeditions, I do not account them British troops fent to our affistance in preventing or fuppressing the Rebellion. On the 23d of May 1798, the day the Rebellion broke out, the towns of Naas, Carlow, Baltinglafs, Monaftereven, and Clane, were attacked, and the Rebels beaten at them all, principally by the Irifh Militia and Yeomen. On the 29th of the fame month, General Sir James Duff defeated a large body of Rebels at Kildare, and opened the paffage from Dublin to Munster, which had been obstructed by them. On the fame day

the

the town of Ennifcorthy, in the county of Wexford, was attacked by a great body of Rebels, commanded by one Murphy, the Romifh Prieft of a neighbouring parifh. It was defended by the Protestant Yeomanry alone. It was an open place, without fortification, and the Yeomen fought with them at the outfkirts of the town. The contest was long and bloody. The Yeomanry amounted to about three hundred only; the Rebels to more than twice as many thousands. Fortyfeven of the Yeomanry were killed, and above five hundred of the Rebels. When the Romish inhabitants of the town found their rebel friends recoiling, they fet fire to the houfes, mostly thatched, in the rear of the Yeomanry, and obliged them, from fmoke and heat, to file off from the town, which the Rebels then entered; and this gallant body of men retreated unmolested to Wexford, about eleven miles. The Rebels had, on the preceding day, defeated a party of about one hundred of the North Cork Regiment of Militia, put them every man to death, except three who escaped, and got poffeffion of their muskets and ammunition, with which they greatly galled the Ennifcorthy Yeomanry. On this fuccels, the Peafantry of the country, being for the most part Romanists, joined the Rebels, and they marched on to Wexford, being a fea-port, and the county town. There were but few troops in the place. Some Gentlemen in the neighbourhood raifed Yeomanry Corps; but having imprudently enrolled Romanists among them, they to a man deferted to the Rebels, with their arms and ammunition; and there were multitudes of Romifh inhabitants in the town, who showed evident figns of difaffection. These circumstances induced the Commander of the troops to take the refolution of abandoning

(87)

ing it, and marching to Duncannon Fort, a ftrong place about thirty miles diftant, whither he immediately marched, with all the Protestant Yeomanry of both the towns of Wexford and Ennifcorthy; and the Rebels took posseficient of this fea-port town on the 30th of May 1798.

On this fuccefs of the Rebels, the whole Romanifts of the counties of Wexford, Wicklow, Kildare, and Carlow, joined them. They defeated a detachment of the army, which had marched from Dublin to the relief of the Wexford Protestants, under Colonel Walpole, a brave man, but an unskilful commander, who fuffered himfelf to be furprifed by this banditti. He paid the forfeit of his life to his rafhnefs: his party retired into the county of Wicklow, and took post at Arklow. The Rebels, elated with this fuccefs, mustered their forces, and marched to attack the town of Rofs, which, with Duncannon Fort and the town of Newtown-Barry, were the only places in the county of Wexford occupied by the Loyalist. The Regiment of Militia of the county of Dublin, commanded by Lord Mountjoy, with fome other troops and Yeomanry Corps, had taken post in Rofs, all under the command of Generals Johnfon and Eustace, both Irish officers. Their whole force amounted to about fifteen hundred. The town is not fortified: there are fome remains of an old wall, which formerly furrounded it, but it is now in ruins. The Rebels commenced the affault with a body of twenty-five thoufand men. The troops received them outfide the town. The Rebels, to diforder the troops, drove before them, with their pikes, a vast number of horfes and oxen. They had fome field-pieces and howitzers, which they had taken

at

at the rout of a finall detachment of the garrifon of Duncannon Fort, fent out against them very imprudently by the Governor; and alfo when they defeated the troops under Colonel Walpole: their leaders had alfo diffributed among them a confiderable quantity of whifkey, to render them the more defperate by intoxication. They attacked the troops with great fury; and Lord Mountjoy was killed, gallantly fighting at the head of his regiment. This Nobleman was the first perfon who introduced a bill into the Irifh Parliament for the repeal of a part of the Popery Code, and unfortunately felt the bitter effects and inefficiency of his own fystem of conciliation. The weight of the Rebel column, after a furious contest, forced the troops into the town, and the battle was continued fiercely in the ftreets; till at length the courage and difcipline of the Loyalists prevailed, and the Rebels were compelled, after a dreadful carnage, to retreat. Their flain in the ftreets of the town and fuburbs amounted to two thousand two hundred, exclusive of numbers who crawled away from the battle, and died afterwards of their wounds. The Military were fo fatigued, that they were not able to purfue them. The battle, from the commencement of the affault to the final retreat of the Rebels, lasted eight hours. This was the first great and decifive advantage gained over the Rebels. The battle was fought on the 5th of June 1798, and was gained principally by the undaunted bravery of the Irifh Militia and Yeomanry, conducted by two Irish officers.

The Rebel Army not being difperfed at Rofs, their leaders determined to try their fortune again; and in a few days after their unfuccessful attack on Rofs, they marched

marched to the other fide of the county of Wexford, and affaulted the town of Arklow, lying on the great road from Wexford to Dublin, about thirty-three miles from that city. They commenced their affault on this town, which is alfo unfortified, with a body of eighteen thoufand men. The troops quartered there under General Needham did not exceed twelve hundred; notwithflanding which the Rebels were repulfed with great flaughter, chiefly by the courage of the regiments of Cavan Militia, commanded by Colonel Barry, and Durham Fencibles, commanded by Colonel Skerret, together with a confiderable body of Yeomanry.

On the 7th of June 1798, a body of Rebels fuddenly affembled in the county of Antrim in the northern part of the kingdom, rushed furiously into the town of Antrim, where many of the gentlemen of the county, and feveral juffices of the peace, were affembled, and among the reft Lord O'Neil : a fkirmifh enfued between the Rebels and the Yeomen of the town, who, though furprifed, immediately ran to their arms, and collected themfelves into a body. Lord O'Neil received a mortal wound. It was remarked, as in the cafe of Lord Mountjoy, that this Peer, a very amiable man, when he fat in the Houfe of Commons, was one of the most zealous supporters of the repeal of the Popery Code, and fuch were the fruits of his exertions in that caufe! About the fame time the Rebels rofe in a part of the county of Down, but were immediately attacked, defeated, and difperfed, by General Nugent, who commanded the troops at Belfast. This infurrection broke out on the estate of the Earl of Moira, most of whole tenants were actively engaged in it; which tends to flow how miferably his Lordship was deceived; who not not long before had vifited his effate in Down, and refided in his manfion-houfe at Ballinahinch for fome time; and on his return to England praifed the exemplary loyalty of the inhabitants of the county of Down in the Houfe of Peers, and particularly of his own tenants; yet this very town was then a magazine of arms for the Rebels, and his tenants were deeply engaged in the confpiracy for a Rebellion. Such were the diffimulation and fecrecy of thefe traitors, that they could impofe on this loyal Peer, in his own manfion-houfe, on his own effate ! Thefe were the only infurrections in the north of Ireland; they were quelled in a few days.

The Rebels in the county of Wexford, where the chief force of the Leinster infurgents was concentred, after their defeats at Rofs and Arklow already mentioned, drew their main body together, confifting of about eighteen thousand men, to Enniscorthy; and encamped on a high and fleep hill, called Vinegar Hill, adjacent to that town, which it overlooks and commands; the Slaney, a very confiderable river, running at the bafe of the hill in a winding channel, and washing one half of its circumference. This was a very firong post, and if well defended might have bid defiance to a confiderable army. Defeated as the Rebels had been, they feemed to have given up the idea of offenfive operations, till their French fuccours fhould arrive, which they impatiently expected ; and relying on the ftrength of their position, determined to await there the attack of the Royal army, which they knew was affembling on all fides: they had at the fame time a numerous garrifon in the town of Wexford, a feaport ; and were in poffeffion of the whole fea coaft of that county from Arklow to the mouth of Waterford harbour; all

all which their polition effectually covered; and the run from thence to the coaft of France, particularly to Breft, was fhort; they had fome good cannon and howitzers, and were in no want of ammunition. The Royal army, a great part of which was Militia and Yeomen, attacked them on the 20th of June 1798, drove them from their polition after a feeble refiftance; and the whole body would have been killed or taken prifoners, had not one column of the army, by fome miltake of orders, marched in a direction different from that in which it ought to have been conducted, and did not arrive at its appointed station in proper time; fo that a gap was left by which almost the whole rebel army efcaped, but in the greatest diforder; part of them flying towards the mountains of Wicklow. and part to the chain of mountains feparating the counties of Carlow and Wexford.

The Rebels in the town of Wexford, hearing of the defeat, abandoned the town, and fled with that party which fhaped its courfe to the Wicklow mountains. The rebel party which retreated towards the mountains of Carlow, got from thence into the Kilkenny mountains, and there affaulted the little town of Caftlecomer; the garrifon of Kilkenny, which had marched from thence to oppose them, commanded by Sir Charles Afgill, declining to attack this routed banditti, headed by a Romifh prieft, of the name of Murphy, a drunken ruffian : but a confiderable body of Yeomanry from the adjacent Queen's County, with the gallant Colonel Pole at their head, advanced against them, compelled them to retreat, and purfued them with activity: their brave leader fent advice of his fuccels to Sir Charles Afgill, who again marched out of Kilkenny, joined the Yeomanry, and both fell on this

this party of fugitive Rebels, in number about five thonfand, routed them with a very great flaughter, and completely difperfed them : Murphy, their leader, fled drunk from the field of battle, and was fhortly after taken at Tullow in the county of Carlow, and hanged. All thefe transactions happened within a few days after the rout of the Rebels at Vinegar Hill on the 20th of June 1798; and from that time it may be justly faid, that the Rebellion was extinguished : for though the party which fled to the mountains of Wicklow was compelled by hunger to defcend into the plain country, and to make an inroad into the county of Meath, yet it was divided into fmall detached bodies, which were hunted from place to place by the Yeomanry, and speedily destroyed; very few of them escaping back to the mountains. In fact, the battle of Vinegar Hill put an end to the last Irish Rebellion.

The dates of each memorable action in this fhort, but bloody and wasteful Rebellion, are noted, to prove, that the fuppreffion of it was effected folely by the Troops, Militia, and Yeomen of Ireland, without any affiftance whatfoever from England, and without the smallest interference of the Marquis Cornwallis, our prefent Lord Lieutenant: and a concile detail of the principal operations is given, to convince British Statesmen, that Irish Romanists are not fo formidable as to entitle them to fuch confideration as they at prefent feem to attach to them; and that Irifh Protestants are not fo weak, that it has become necessary for the British Government to enter into a treaty with Irith Romanists, and to facrifice the British Constitution, as fettled at the glorious Revolution, at the fhrine of their ambition, for the prefervation of the Protestants of Ireland; as feems to be an opinion entertained (or rather pretended

pretended to be entertained) by fome English Statesimen; if credit is to be given to the pamphlets published as the purport of feveral Speeches made in the British Parliament on the fubject of the Union. This account of the fuppression of the Rebellion also proves, that the idea of keeping up the present establishment in Ireland by force (if the Rebellions of Irish Romanists shall make force necession) is not impracticable and absurd, as is dogmatically and infolently afferted by the Romiss writer already fo often mentioned. How short would be the existence, not only of the Protessiant Establishment in Ireland, but of the Irish Protessiants themselves, were they reduced to rely on the justice and mercy of their Romiss fellow-subjects !

The Marquis Cornwallis landed in Ireland, and was fworn into his office of Lord Lieutenant on the 20th of June 1798, fublequent to the fignal defeats of the Rebels at Rofs and Arklow, on the very day of their decifive difcomfiture at Vinegar Hill, and after the total fuppreffion of the infurrection in the North of Ireland. The first regiment of English Militia which arrived in Ireland, landed in Dublin on the 29th of June 1798; it was the Buckinghamfhire regiment : it was followed on the 1ft of July 1798 by the Warwickthire regiment : the arrivals of the other regiments of English Militia were all subsequent. They were not fent to fuppress the Rebellion, but to affift his Majefly's faithful fubjects in Ireland in repelling a French invalion, which was then expected, and was fhortly afterwards unfuccefsfully attempted by the enemy. Every loyal man in the kingdom welcomed thefe generous volunteers in the fervice of their King and Country, with joy and gratulation; but this their reception was not owing to any idea entertained in Ireland, that the lay under any particular

particular obligation to Great Britain for fuch affiftance : becaufe it is as much the intereft of Great Britain to affift Ireland in repelling the common enemy, as it would be the interest of Ireland to assift Great Britain on a similar emergency: they are both parts of one and the fame empire, and their interests, in respect to defence against a common enemy, cannot be divided.

(94)

Obfervations on a pamphlet, entitled, **Arguments** for and fidered.'

In this place it is proper to mention a pamphlet published in Ireland, entitled, ' Arguments for and against an " Union confidered,' being the pamphlet which this Romith writer professes to answer, and which he calls Mr. C.'s againit an Union con- pamphlet. It is generally fupposed to have been written by a Gentleman high in the confidence of Government, and contains many ftrong arguments in favour of an Union; yet there are fome parts of it which merit reprehenfion; and particularly those in which the author grounds his arguments for an Union, on the power of the Irilh Romanifts : he uses too frequently the argument of Intimidation to prevail on the Protestants of Ireland to refort to the afylum of an Incorporating Union with Great Britain, for protection against the irrelistible power of the Irifh Romanists, as he represents it. The supposed author is an Englishman, and it has given me no small degree of uneafinefs to obferve, that a Gentleman of abilities, as he certainly is, has fo often deferted the irrefragable arguments for the expediency, and even the neceffity of an Incorporating Union of the two kingdoms, arifing from confiderations of the prefent flate of Europe, and evident mutual advantage of both countries; and reforted to arguments of terror, grounded on unfubftantial, fantastic, and fabulous representations; as old women frighten froward children in a nurfery to compliance, by ftories

ftories of fairies and hobgoblins. I am as fincerely and zealoufly attached to the meafure of an Incorporating Union, as any Minifter or individual in the British Empire, can be: but I difdain to support fo great, fo important, fo neceffary a meafure, by such frivolous and puerile arguments: and when I find such reforted to by great Statessenen, I am induced to supped, that some portentous innovation in the constitution of the British Empire is meditated, under the cloak of this falutary measure; and that Britons are to be reconciled to an acquiescence in such innovation, by fraudulently perfuading them, that the measure could not be effected, but through the medium of the innovation. Aliquid monstri alant !

This Gentleman in his pamphlet states, that Irish Romanifts are to Irifh Protestants in the proportion of three to one; this proportion is very rathly adopted from the calculations of a feditious Romifh affembly, which was collected fome years ago in the city of Dublin, and which ftyled itself the Catholic Convention ; it prepared a Petition to His Majefty on behalf of the Irifh Romanists, which was a collection of impudent falfehoods, mifreprefentations, and groundlefs calumnies against their Proteftant fellow-fubjects. This statement I have already refuted. (See Appendix, No. 1.) He then states, that the Irifh Protestants have been obliged to rely upon Britifh affiftance for the prefervation of their property and existence at different periods. This is very true; and the affiftance has been furnished, becaufe the Irish Protestants were attacked by the Irith Romanifts, for their attachment to the conflitution in Church and State, as eftablished in England; and their fidelity to the English Crown; and becaufe it was abfolutely neceffary for England to fupport

fupport them, or abandon Ireland, part of her dominions; and the might have as well abandoned Yorkshire : the affifted the Irish Protestants for her own emolument; they were fighting her battles : but the inference attempted to be drawn from it in the pamphlet is, that the Irifh Protestants are not now able to preferve their property and existence from destruction by the Irish Romanists, without the affiftance of Great Britain : this I truft is already fatisfactorily proved not to be a fact. At the Revolution the Irifh Romanists were completely conquered, their power reduced, and the Irifh Protestant interest fo firmly established, and placed on such folid foundations, that it has been ever fince able to fupport itfelf against the affaults of the Romanists, without the affistance of Great Britain; and is now fully able fo to do: all that Irifh Protestants require from their brethren in England is, that they will not be cheated into a fupport of the Irifh Romanifts against them, and in fact against themselves, by the mifreprefentations and pernicious doctrines of the difciples of Mr. Burke, the modern apoftle of Popery : they deprecate the effects on the conftitution of the fpirit of Burkism in England. Certain it is, that the Irish Protestants would not be able to support their properties and existence against the Irish Romanists assisted by a ftrong French fleet and army, without the affiftance of Great Britain ; but in fuch cafe they claim fuch affiftance, not as a boon, but as a right; not on their own account alone, but on that of Great Britain alfo: Ireland is a part of the British Empire: as such she is engaged in the prefent war with France; and the lofs of Ireland would be attended by a prodigious diminution of the ftrength, probably by the deftruction of that Empire : it could not receive a greater injury, a more deadly wound, by the French occupation

occupation of part of the island of Great Britain, than by the French occupation of Ireland : and when the British Government, or those employed by them, argue on the prefumed weaknefs of the Protestants of Ireland, they are in fact depreciating their own ftrength, inviting French invafion, and exciting Irifh Romanists to Rebellion !

The most dangerous and reprehensible paragraph in the last-mentioned pamphlet is the following : ' Whilft Ire-' land remains a separate country from Great Britain, Great Britain is not pledged on any fpecific principle to ' fupport one fect in Ireland more than another : if the ^e cannot preferve the connexion of the two kingdoms in ' their establishment, their power, and their property, · I know not by what tie fhe is debarred from affifting the Catholics; for whilft the kingdoms are feparate and • independent, Ireland, except where the Crown is concerned, ' is merely bound by the ties of interest to England, and ' in a fimilar manner England is only bound by the ties of ' interest, and the rights of the Crown, to Ireland : she is ⁴ pledged to preferve Ireland to the British Crown, but • not to any particular means, or any particular prin-' ciples for maintaining that connexion.' Here then is a public declaration by a Gentleman, fuppofed to ftand very high in the confidence of both the Irifh and Engilfh Administrations, and who holds an employment of great truft under Government, that it is totally immaterial to the English nation, whether Protestantism or Popery be the established religion of Ireland. His Romish antagonist compares the Protestant religion to a Medufa's head; he bestows the title of Sect upon it. But it is worth while a little to examine the premiffes, from which this extraordinary conclusion, that Great Britain is not bound

bound to support the Protestant Religion, more than the Romith, in Ireland, is deduced : the one is, that the kingdom of Ireland, in its prefent state, is separate from, and independent of, the kingdom of Great Britain. This premifs is falle, for the kingdom of Ireland, in its prefent ftate, is infeparably annexed, united to, and dependant on the Imperial Crown of Great Britain : the fupreme Executive Power in Great Britain and Ireland is vefted in the fame perfon; but the fupreme Executive Power in both kingdoms, is one of the three branches of the fupreme Legiflative Power in both; fo that the two kingdoms have even part of their Legislative Powers common to both. No Act of Parliament can pass in Ireland until after it has been fent into England, and has there obtained the approbation of the British Cabinet, and has the great feal of England affixed to it; the Government of England, therefore, can, at its difcretion, prevent the enaction of any law by the Legislature of Ireland : thefe are furely ftrong bands of dependance of Ireland on Great Britain; and in fact, in the prefent fituation of the two kingdoms, the connexion between them, and dependance of one on the other, are fo ftrong, that the Anti-Unionists, as is already observed, found on it their most powerful argument against an Incorporating Union, alledging that the two kingdoms are now infeparably united, and that no further Union is necessary. His fecond premifs is, that, in their present state, Great Britain cannot preserve the connexion of the two kingdoms in their establishment, their power, and their property: it is true this is introduced hypothetically, with an if, but the conclusion drawn from it is abfolute, at least fo far fo, that without an Incorporating Union it is to be taken as abfolute. But this premifs is as falfe as the other; for the Irifh Protestants themfelves,

if

if Great Britain does not take a part against them, are able enough to preferve their establishment, their power and property, and their connexion with Great Britain, in defiance of the threats or rebellions of Irifh Romanifts; and no doubt can be entertained that Great Britain has power fufficient to do the fame: fo that the conclusion, that Great Britain is not bound to support the Irifh Protestant more than the Irifh Romanist, unlogically deduced from one falle, and one hypothetic premifs implying a falfity, falls to the ground. But the affertions, that Great Britain is not bound by any fpecific principle to support one more than the other; and that as she is only bound to fecure Ireland to the British Crown, without being bound to any fpecific meafures for fo doing, fhe may effect this, by giving her fupport to the Romanist, and crushing the Protestants in Ireland; require fome further animadversion.

King James the Second was driven from his Throne by the Revolution of 1688, for attempting to place Romanifts, both in Great Britain and Ireland, on an equal footing in refpect to all civil privileges, with his Proteftant fubjects; and his Romifh iffue, if any he had, together with all the next heirs of the Crown, being Romanifts, on the demife of his Proteftant iffue without iffue, were declared by Act of Parliament incapable of fucceeding to the Crown, and the fucceffion limited to his next Proteftant relations, the iffue of the Princefs Sophia, grand-daughter of King James the Firft, as if the intermediate Romifh heirs were dead: and his prefent Majefty, whom God long preferve, under that title, now fits on the Imperial Throne of the Britifh Empire. Here then is a King dethroned, the hereditary fucceffion interrupted, and turned

into

into the Protestant channel, merely for the purpole of fecuring a fucceffion of Protestant Monarchs to Great Britain and Ireland : a fundamental principle of our conftitution is varied by a condition, to wit, that the next heir fhall fucceed to the Throne only on the terms of his being a Protestant. Does not His Majesty hold his Crown by this Protestant title, and is he not bound to fwear at his Coronation, that he will to the utmost of his power inviolably maintain the Protestant Religion as established in. Ireland, as well as in Great Britain ? Are not His Majefty and his fucceffors bound fo to fwear, as well by the Act of the 1st of William and Mary, as by the Articlesof the Union of England and Scotland, and the Act confirming them? Why was the Crown limited to the Protestant heirs only, and why was such variation made in our ancient law of Hereditary Succession ? Was it not to prevent, as far as human wifdom could provide, all future attempts to give Popery an establishment, either in Great Britain or Ireland? Is not Great Britain bound by a fpecific principle to fupport the Protestant Religion, in oppofition to Popery, within herfelf? And is fhe not bound by the fame specific principle to the fame conduct in Ireland to the utmost of her power ? How then can this Gentleman fupport his polition, that Great Britain is not bound by any fpecific principle to fupport the Protestant Religion, rather than Popery, in Ireland ? It is an inftance among many to be found in his pamphlet, how far men of good abilities may be led to advance the most unwarrantable pofitions, when they endeavour to deduce conclufions unfupported by the fubftantial pillars of Reafon and Fact. Such is the nature of all his arguments of intimidation in favour of an Union.

I once heard it roundly afferted, that if the Houles of Lords and Commons fhould agree on a bill for fubverting the Protestant Establishment in Ireland, His Majesty, notwithftanding his Coronation Oath, would be bound to give it the Royal affent, and thereby establish it as a law, becaufe his Coronation Oath in all particulars is fo to be conftrued, that it is not binding against the opinion of the two Houfes. I never can agree with fuch reafoning-I cannot find any fuch faving in the Coronation Oath : it is an abfolute Oath; and I never can allow that the two Houfes of Parliament have any fuch power, as that of difpenfing with the obligations of politive Oaths : I believe and hope, that the Parliament never will affume the power of abfolving from the observance of Oaths: it would thereby affume the power arrogated by the Pope, which is fo much and fo juftly reprobated by all good Chriftians. And as His Majefty is bound by his Coronation Oath inviolably to maintain the Protestant Religion as it is now established in Ireland, fo is he bound to refift all conceffions of privileges to any clafs of his fubjects, which would impair or weaken that establishment; though perhaps they would not be at first attended, or immediately followed, by its total fubverfion.

This Gentleman has alfo ftated very erroneoufly, as a known hiftorical fact, that the Irish House of Commons was framed with the fole view of excluding Roman Ca-The fact is quite otherwife : the affertion is a tholics. flander on the Irifh Houfe of Commons, invented by our modern Jacobin Reformers of Parliament; and is refuted by all hiftory and records. (See Carte's Hiftory of the Duke of Ormond, pages 11. 13. 18, 19.) I am furprifed

prifed that he could be hurried into fuch ftrange miftakes: I have heretofore fully refuted this affertion, in my ' An-^e fwer to Mr. Grattan's Addrefs,' from undoubted authority of hiftory and records; and any perfon who wifhes to be informed on this head, may be fatisfied by reading part of that anfwer, under the title of 'Kemarks on Mr. Grattan's · Account of the Creation of Boroughs.' Romanists were excluded from Parliament by tefts imposed by Acts of Parliament : a demonstration, if History had been filent on the point, that the Houfe of Commons was framed antecedent to the exclusion of Romanists: and these tests were imposed from necessity; the Romanists by rebellions and maffacres, which had their origin in their religious principles, having proved the impracticability of communicating the fupreme authority of the State with them. Romanists are excluded from feats in the Parliament of Great Britain by the imposition of the same test oaths. Will this Gentleman affert, that the British House of Commons was framed with the fole view of excluding Romanists? He has many other objectionable passages in his pamphlet, of which his antagonist, the writer of " The Cafe of Ireland Re-confidered,' has not failed to take the advantage : I am very forry that the merit of many excellent arguments in favour of an Union contained in it fhould be leffened by fuch crudities; particularly as I have a great respect and esteem for the supposed author of it: and I would not have taken any notice of his pamphlet, except to commend it, had not his antagonift availed himfelf of the miftakes and miftatements in it, and thereby put me to the necessity, in exposing his mifchievous politions, of animadverting in fome degree on this performance.

It

It is now time to refute the parts of the Romish au- Continuthor's pamphlet, in which he attempts, rather to infi-strictures nuate than prove, that the late Rebellion in Ireland was on 'The Cafe of not a Romifh Rebellion. He knew if he ventured to Ireland make fuch an affertion directly, he laid himfelf open to dered." direct refutation, and provoked it : he therefore chofe rather to make use of a mode of defultory argument on this weak fide of his defence of Irifh Romanifts, from the too well founded objections to their pretensions, arifing from their open acts of hostility against their Protestant countrymen, by aiming detached ftrokes of accufation against fome reputed Protestants, who were concerned in the Rebellion. This mode of defence of his party is contrary to the general tenour of his argument; which is, that the Irith Romanists are excluded from equal privileges with their fellow-fubjects, that their number and property entitle them to these privileges, that the exclusion therefrom warrants their hostility to the State, and that their propenfity to fuch hoftility will continue as long as the exclusion, and will break out into open acts of violence on every proper opportunity, and that the late Rebellion was the confequence of fuch exclusion; thereby in fact admitting, that it was a Romish Rebellion. In page 22 he has the following paragraph: ' No doubt a connexion with · France has lately been renewed, but those who took the · lead in it were of all defcriptions, but perfons chiefly · Prefbyterians and Protestants; of five men who com-· pofed the (Irith) Directory, four were Protestants, although of any other five men in the country, four were · Catholics.' In page 11 he thus writes : ' May not the · prefent misfortunes of Ireland be rather afcribed to the efforts of a party (the Protestants) to force on us again our childifh trammels which we had outgrown? This accounts

e accounts for the union of all defcriptions of men in " the late opposition to Government.' It is worthy of notice, that he flyles Rebellion, Opposition to Government; fo indeed it is with a vengeance ! In page 47 is the following obfervation : " It is difficult to compre-· hend the wifdom of that fystem, which drove Protestant, · Prefbyterian, and Catholic, into a defperate union against ' it.' There are many other ftrokes of the fame nature difperfed through his pamphlet. To begin with the first affertion ; that of the Irifh Directory four were Protestants, and only one Romanift; the truth is, there was not of the five a Protestant: four of them were profested Deifts or Atheifts, difciples of Mr. Thomas Paine; and the fifth, M'Nevin, was a bigotted Romanist : he declared indeed, on his examination before the Committee of the House of Lords, that he and his party meant to fubvert the prefent Protestant Church Establishment, and not to eftablish any religion in its room, but he well knew that the fubverfion of the Protestant Eftablishment in Ireland would of itself be the establishment of Popery. There was not one Protestant engaged in the Rebellion, except a few of the meaneft of the Diffenting class, in a corner of the North of Ireland, unless the avowed difciples of Mr. Paine are to be accounted Protestants. These Diffenters were feduced into it, by plaufible pretences of Reform of Parliament and Abolition of Tithes: but the barbarous conduct of the Leinster Rebels, in massacring all Protestants they could lay their hands on in cold blood, foon convinced them of their error; and one of their leaders, an attorney by profession, being taken and hanged, at his execution declared that he and his party were then fully convinced, if they had fucceeded, that they must have fought the battle over again; that is,

is, that they would have to fight their Romifh confederates, who they perceived intended to deftroy all Protestants. A great proportion of the Infurgents in the North were Romanists; for in both the counties of Down and Antrim, in which the Northern Infurrection happened, there are many Romish inhabitants. This Infurrection was very speedily quelled. The leaders of the Diffenters concerned in it were all notorious fectaries. -Arians, Socinians, or Deifts; there was not one real Chriftian Diffenter engaged in it, except a very few of the meaneft of the people, who were cheated into it in the manner I have already mentioned. All Protestant Diffenters of any account, who were real Chriftians, joined heart and hand with the Protestants of the Establifhment throughout the nation, and fought courageoufly against the Infurgents.

The great strength of the Rebels lay in the province of Leinster, and they were to a man Romanists, except about fix, who were professed Painites. Mr. Bagenal Harvey was one of thefe. A few days before the Rebellion broke out he had been arrefted on a charge of Treafon, by order of Government, and was confined in the gaol of Wexford. When the Rebels got poffeffion of that town, foon after the commencement of the Infurrection, they liberated Mr. Harvey; and as he was a man of fome eftate and intereft in the county, they chofe him for a nominal leader only, and he marched with them to the attack of Rofs. His command was merely nominal: he never had any effectual authority among them. As foon as they were defeated at Rofs, they depofed him, and chofe a Romish Farmer, one Roach, who had been the permanent Serjeant of a Yeomanry Corps,

Corps, and had deferted, one of their Generals; together with a number of others, all Romanifts, to wit, Sutton, Fitzgerald, Parry, Hay, Roach, and Murphy, the two laft Romifh Priefts, and many other Priefts. They firft affembled in the county of Wexford, by parifhes, at the refpective Romifh chapels, and were generally headed by their Priefts. Mr. Harvey, when he faw them commence the maffacre of the Protestants, which he was unable to prevent, fpoke feelingly, to a friend he happened to fall in with, of his own fituation: 'I fee now ' my folly,' faid he, ' in embarking in this caufe with ' thefe people: if they fucceed, I fhall be murdered by ' them; if they are defeated, I fhall be hanged.'

The aggregate body of the Leinster Rebels, all Romanists, affembled in the county of Wexford. Their defeats and difperfion I have already ftated. Their barbarity was not exceeded by their inhuman forefathers in the maffacre of the Protestants in the year 1641. The diocefe of Ferns, in which this Rebellion broke out, was remarkable for a very pious, regular, and refident body of Protestant Clergy. The Bishop was almost always refident, and had not for many years absented himfelf from the diocefe for a fortnight in each year, previous to 1708, though his refidence was within fifty miles of the city of Dublin. He attended to his epifcopal duty, in every branch of it, with the greatest zeal and activity. In this calamitous year of Rebellion, he had, contrary to his ufual cuftom, refided in Dublin about two months, immediately previous to its breaking out; and was at that time, very fortunately for himfelf and his family, abfent from Ferns; otherwife he would have certainly fallen a facrifice to the bigotted fury of the Rebels. They were

were therefore obliged to content themfelves with the plunder and dilapidation of his houfe, which had been but lately erected, and on which he and his predeceffor had expended above ten thousand pounds. They burned his library, and destroyed his furniture. On the first burft of the Infurrection, the Rebels murdered, in the most barbarous manner, all the Protestant Clergymen they could lay their hands on. The Reverend Meffrs. Turner, Burrowes, Throke, Pentland, and Heydon, fell facrifices to their fanguinary bigotry. They in fome days after took the Reverend Mr. Owen prifoner : they tortured him, and he was thereby for fome time bereft of his reafon. His life was fpared by fome accident, as was that of the Reverend Mr. Francis, who, notwithftanding, was fo much reduced by famine (the Rebels having for many days allowed him no fubliftence but fome potatoes which had been cut into pieces for the purpofe of planting), that he died fhortly after he was delivered from them. They caufed their Priests to baptize two or three other Protestant Clergymen who had fallen into their hands, and their lives were fpared on their fubmitting to have fuch a ceremony performed upon them; the Rebels effeeming fuch fubmiffion an abjuration of their religion, and an adoption into the Romith Church. The Reverend Mr. Heydon, already mentioned, was a native of the county of Wexford, had fpent almost his whole life there, was near eighty years of age, and was as charitable a man, and as much effeemed, as any in the county. The Rebels infifted that he should fubmit to be baptized, which he declining to do, they immediately pierced him with their pikes, and he fell dead in the prefence of his wife : they ftripped his body, and it lay exposed in the streets of Enniscorthy for nine days,

days, till it was almost devoured by the fwine. Some of the Rebels, lefs ferocious than the others, buried the body privately at night in the church-yard : the next day others of them dug it up, and flung it into the ftreet. Such was the fate of this ancient Clergyman, as refpectable in his profession as any either in Great Britain or Ireland, who feemed to be beloved, and deferved to be fo, by all his parishioners, whether Protestants or Romanists. Most of the other Protestant Clergymen in the diocefe were lucky enough to efcape from these barbarians, fome of them in open boats, acrofs the channel into Wales, carrying nothing with them but the clothes on their backs : they all loft their properties, which were feized on as plunder by the Rebels.

Previous to the battle of Rofs, the Rebels had collected all the Protestants, men, women, and children, they could lay their hands on, in their march from Wexford to the battle. These they left prisoners in the custody of one of their captains, a farmer, of the name of Murphy, at the house of Mr. King, a Protestant Gentleman (who luckily escaped from their fury), at a place called Scollobogue, fome miles diftant from Rofs. About fixty men were confined in the manfion-houfe, and the reft, men, women, and children, to the number of one hundred and eighty-five, in the adjacent barn. On the day of the battle of Rofs, the Rebels difpatched a meffenger to Murphy, to defire him, in the name of their General, to put all the prifoners to death, as the King's troops were getting the better, and the prifoners would efcape. Murphy at first hefitated, and defired a written order to warrant this barbarous execution; but a fecond meffage, to the fame effect, was fhortly after delivered to him from

3

from Murphy, a Romish Priest, and one of the Rebel Commanders, with which latter order the Captain and his gang, confifting of about three hundred, determined to comply. The fixty men were first brought out of the manfionhouse, man by man, and all shot, or murdered by the ftabs of pikes, in the front of the houfe, except two, whom Murphy, for fome reafon or other, fpared. The Rebels determined to make fhorter work with the people in the barn, the majority of whom were women and children : they furrounded it, piled combuftibles about it, and fet it on fire. The enclosed victims endeavoured to force the doors: their barbarous executioners kept up an inceffant fire of mulketry upon them, and killed all who showed their heads. The doors were divided in the middle, fo that the upper parts were open whilft the lower parts were clofed. The Rebels threw numberless sheaves of straw, all in flames, into the barn at these apertures. One unhappy woman had a child in her arms, which the was fuckling: finding death inevitable, she put the child out over the lower part of one of the doors, in hopes that fome of these barbarians might have fome fparks of humanity yet twinkling in their bosoms, and would fave the life of the infant. She was difappointed: the child was immediately transfixed with a fpear, and lifted up on the end of it, writhing with torture. This action was loudly applauded by the furrounding Rebels! Their shouts of triumphant exultation rent the air! The whole number enclosed in the barn were either fhot dead at the doors, attempting to force their way out, or confumed within it.

In the town of Wexford, the Rebels had feized a number of Protestants, whom they confined in the gaol. Out

Out of these they daily, during the continuance of the Rebellion, felected a few, and murdered them with great parade in the most public part of the town; generally marching them under ftrong guards through the ftreets to the end of the bridge, military mufic attending, and playing a dead march; charging them with no crime whatfoever, except that they were heretics irreclaimable. At the end of the bridge they were put on their knees, immediately pierced with pikes, and their bodies thrown into the river, which is there deep and broad. But on the day of the decifive battle of Vinegar Hill, diftant only eleven miles from Wexford, the Rebels in the town determined to murder all their prifoners; and on that day they conducted eighty-fix Protestants from the gaol to the bridge, marching them by fixteen or eighteen at a time, with mufic playing a dead march, and there murdered them all with their pikes. The Rebel who flowed himfelf most active in this butchery was celebrated by the reft as a Hero, who never winced at running a Heretic through the body. Such were their expressions! The remaining prifoners, men, women, and children, were doomed to flaughter on the next day; but a party of the King's troops in the morning rufhed fuddenly into the town: the Rebels, fought their fafety in flight, and the lives of upwards of three hundred Protestants were faved. All the facts I have above mentioned of the barbarities committed by the Rebels, are notorious, and have been proved on the oaths of the most respectable witnesses, on the trials of feveral Rebels, who have been convicted of having been concerned in these massacres. It is in vain for Romish writers to deny them: they dare not attempt it in Ireland, where all people are perfectly acquainted with the above circumstances. This Romish writer

writer has published his infidious pamphlet in England; it is calculated for that meridian; he hoped to impose on the credulity of strangers; and even there he had not affurance peremptorily to affert that it was not a Romiss Rebellion,—he only strongly infinuates that it was not.

The Infurgents in the two counties of Antrim and Down were partly Protestant Diffenters of the lowest clafs, and partly Romanifts. They were few in number, feeble in their operations, and were fpeedily difperfed. They committed no maffacres, becaufe the Romanifts among them were obliged to conceal their fanguinary projects from the Protestant Diffenters affociated with them, and whom they had drawn into the Rebellion by holding forth to them the scheme of a Democratic Republic, and the fubverfion of the Church Eftablifhment. Thefe Infurgents in the North, of different religious perfuafions, had different views, which they fludioufly concealed from each other. The Diffenters among them thought they were making tools of the Romanifts, to affift in the overthrow of the Eftabliftment in Church and State, and the fubflitution of a Republic; believing that they would be able to fecure the political power in fuch a State to themfelves, and introduce that fpecies of religious perfuasion which, in the days of Cromwell, was diffinguished by the name of Independency, throughout the nation : their principal leaders were difguifed Atheifts. The Romanists, on the other hand, thought, and with much more reafon, that they were making tools of the Diffenters, by inducing them to affift in the fubverfion of the Protestant Establishment in Church and State, and the fubflitution of a Democratic Republic; becaufe they knew that fuch a project could not be carried into execution

execution but by the extirpation of all the Irifh Proteftants of the Establishment, and a Separation from Great Britain, for ages the favourite purfuits of Irifh Romanifts; and they faw plainly enough that the whole political power of the nation must fall into their hands, in the event of the fuccels of fuch projects; becaufe the Protestant Diffenters in Ireland do not amount to one eighth part of the Romanists in number; and in a Democratic Republic, fuch a fmall fection of the people, bereft of the fupport of the Protestants of the Establishment, and of Great Britain, could have very little political influence, if they were even fuffered to remain in the country, which, from the religious tenets of the Romanists, is highly improbable. The Romanists know alfo, that the fubverfion of the Protestant Establishment would of itself be a fubstitution of a Romish, without further trouble; for, from the religious tenets of the Romifh Faith, its votaries are bound to pay their tithes to their respective Parish Priests, without the fanction of any temporal law whatfoever; and hence it is, that all laws enforcing the payment of tithes to Protestant Clergymen by them are accounted impious, and the exaction of fuch payment a facrilege; which tenet of itself will for ever render them irreconcilable enemies to a Protestant Establishment. Hence their Clergy, by the fuccefs of their defigns, would be immediately put into poffetion of all the tithes of the nation. Add to this the immenfe fums which the Romish Clergy levy on their Laity from Confeffions, from Indulgences, from the doctrine of Purgatory, from Difpenfations, and other concomitants of their fuperstition, reprobated by the Protestant doctrines; and it will be eafily feen, that it would not be neceffary, on the fubversion of the Protestant Church Establishment, to

to fecure, by temporal laws, any particular endowment for the fupport of the Romish Clergy; and that, even in their prefent condition, they levy a very ample fubfiftence on their people.

The horrible cruelties exercifed by the great body of the Rebels in Leinster on the Protestants, foon alarmed the few Diffenters, confederates of the Romifh Infurgents in the North. They immediately faw into the real defign of their new allies; and withdrawing themfelves from a confpiracy which, they clearly perceived, would in its fuccefs be attended with their own deftruction, all projects of Rebellion vanished in the province of Ulfter. Rebellion there was but partially entertained; it never had very numerous partifans : the flame, thus feeble, was eafily guenched, never to be re-kindled; and the people have returned to their accustomed industrious pursuits. The great strength of the Rebellion lay in the province of Leinster. The whole mass of the Romish inhabitants of the counties of Wicklow, Wexford, Kildare, and Carlow, role at once. Many inhabitants of the adjacent counties, particularly of Meath and Dublin, of the fame religious perfuafion, joined them. Their number in arms at one time amounted to upwards of fifty thousand men. Confiding in this strength, they did not think it neceffary to conceal their defigns of extirpating the Protestants: the excision of all Heretics they, on the contrary, proclaimed to be their object and intention; and evinced, by their actions, the fincerity of this declaration.

So early as the year 1792, the Irifh Romanists had projected this Rebellion, and commenced their operations preparative of it. In that year, a Secret Committee of them,

them, which had before for fome years privately affembled in Dublin, determined to take decifive fleps towards combining their whole collected power throughout the nation, and making one united effort to feparate the nation from Great Britain, fubvert the Monarchy, and eftablish a Romish Democratic Republic in Ireland, under the protection of France, which encouraged them to the attempt, and whole anarchical fchemes they refolved to adopt. The fuccefs of the French Revolutionifts infpired them with hopes of fimilar fuccefs; and fome popular characters in England and Ireland appeared publicly as their abettors, particularly Mr. Edmund Burke, who difpatched his fon to Ireland to act as their agent, in which capacity he exerted himfelf with great zeal and activity. They were thus emboldened to adopt very daring and open measures for carrying their project into execution. With this view a Romish merchant in Dublin, one of this private Society, iffued a kind of writs for the election of a general Reprefentative Affembly of the Irifh Romanifts, to meet at a certain day in the city of Dublin, there to deliberate on the interests of that body, and to concert proper methods for what was styled the Emancipation of the Romanists. These writs were directed to the Romish Parish Priests throughout the kingdom, who were to fuperintend the execution of them. They were executed in the following manner : The Romifh inhabitants of each parish were summoned by the Priest to meet at the Romifh chapel; and there two deputies were elected by the majority of the votes of the adults of the whole congregation without diffinction. Thefe deputies met the deputies of all the parifhes of a barony or hundred, at an appointed time and place, and elected, by plurality of votes, two deputies for the barony from among

among themfelves. These two baronial deputies met, at a certain time and place, the other baronial deputies, and elected two deputies from among themfelves, as reprefentatives of the county. The fame mode of election of reprefentatives was purfued in cities and towns corporate. Thefe reprefentatives met at the Taylors' Hall, in the city of Dublin, appointed proper officers, and continued fitting for many weeks, with the doors of the hall carefully closed and guarded, within a furlong of the Castle of Dublin, the refidence of the Viceroy. Their affembling was open and notorious : their deliberations were kept profoundly fecret. The Magistracy of the city of Dublin would have immediately difperfed this unlawful affembly, which, in imitation of the French, affumed the title of the Catholic Convention ; but the Government declined to warrant or countenance fuch exertion of the Police. This Convention composed a paper, which they styled the Petition of the Roman Catholics of Ireland to His Majesty, which is one entire fystem of the most audacious and groundless falsehoods and mifreprefentations that was ever framed: it is a virulent and malicious invective and libel on the Protestants of Ireland and the established Government, and a forgery of grievances of Irifh Romanifts which never exifted. They at length clofed their feffion, first electing nine of their members to compose a permanent Council of Irifh Traitors. This they ftyled the Permanent Committee of the Roman Catholics of Ireland. Of thefe M'Nevin, their Ambaffador to the French Directory, was the chief. They levied great fums of money by a regular affeffment, which they imposed on the Irish Romanists, and to which they universally submitted. They fent a deputation to Belfast, to seduce the Proteftant

Protestant Diffenters there to enter into a confederacy with them, for the annihilation of the Constitution, under pretence of a co-operation for a Reform of the Reprefentation in Parliament; and to the operations of that Deputation is the late feeble Northern Infurrection, which I have mentioned, to be afcribed. They appointed deputies to prefent the flagitious libel, which they flyled a Petition to His Majefty; and fo great was the influence of Mr. Burke in England at that time, that he procured the introduction of these Deputies to His Majesty, by one of the Secretaries of State; and they prefented their Petition. To the fame influence is justly to be attributed the paffive conduct of the Irifh Government, in respect to that unlawful assembly. The whole mass of the Irish Romanists submitted to the authority of this Committee of Nine. They were felected out of the Reprefentatives chosen by them all in the manner I have mentioned, and appointed by the votes of that whole body to conduct the projects and fchemes of the Irifh Romanifts; they are therefore to be confidered as their exifting Representatives, and they have acted as such ever fince, till the breaking out of the Rebellion. One of them, M'Nevin, was the most active diplomatic member of the Irifh Directory.

In the year 1795, when Earl Fitzwilliam was appointed Lord Lieutenant of Ireland, the Committee of Nine determined that the whole mafs of Irifh Romanifts should prefent a Petition to Parliament, praying, or rather demanding, what they styled Emancipation; that is, the subversion of the Protestant Establishment in Church and State. They published a precedent of such a Petition in the public Newspapers, and fent out their mandate

mandate to all of their perfuafion in every part of Ireland, commanding them to fend up Petitions, drawn after that model, to be prefented to Parliament, figned by them in every district. This mandate was immediately complied with by the whole body. The affeilments of the Convention have been always regularly paid to the Treafurer, one of the Nine; and the Romifh body throughout Ireland regularly corresponded with their Secretary M'Cormick, till he fled out of the kingdom, to escape punishment for his treason, some time after the commencement of the Rebellion. The Committee of Nine called a general meeting of the Irifh Romanifts on the recall of Earl Fitzwilliam. They met at a Romifh chapel in Dublin. Several feditious fpeeches were fpoken at this affembly by M'Nevin, Keough, and Ryan, three members of the Committee of Nine; and by Lewins, their prefent Ambassador at Paris; and very feditious refolutions were entered into by them, and the whole affembly. All thefe Speeches and Refolutions the Committee published in feveral Newspapers both in Great Britain and Ireland, In one of the Refolutions agreed to by the whole body, they voted their most grateful thanks for his fervices, and fifteen hundred pounds for his trouble, to Theobald Wolfe Tone, as one of their agents. He was at this time a traitor, in correspondence with the French Convention, and employed by them to raife a Rebellion in Ireland. He was fince taken by Sir John Borlase Warren's squadron, coming to invade Ireland with a French army, and having a French commission in his pocket. He was convicted of high treafon, and ordered for execution; but on the morning of the day appointed for his execution he cut his own throat. His brother, Matthew Tone, was hanged

1 3

(118)

hanged and quartered for the fame crime. In another Refolution, the Committee of Nine and their Affembly pledged themfelves, collectively and individually, to refift even their Emancipation, if proposed to be conceded on the ignominious terms of an acquic/cence in the fatal measure of an Unicn with Great Britain.

Of this Committee of Nine, M'Nevin and Sweetman are now confined, as profeffed traitors, at Fort George in Scotland; Ryan is dead; Keough and M'Cormick have found it prudent to withdraw out of the kingdom; another was long confined on fufpicion of treafon, but has been lately liberated by the clemency of the Marquis Cornwallis. It is however generally believed that their places have been duly filled up, and that a Committee of Nine, as the Reprefentative of the whole mafs of Irifh Romanifts, ftill fubfifts, maintains its authority over that body, and continues its operations.

From the detail of the Rife and Progress of the late Rebellion, here faithfully given, it must be clear to every dispassion of the detail of the result of the result of the that it was hatched by the Romish Convention. But it may not be amiss here to infert fome quotations from the Reports of the Secret Committees of the British and Irish Houses of Lords respecting it. In the 'Report of ' the Committee of the Irish House of Lords' (page 2) is the following paragraph: ' During that period' (1792 and 1793) ' very confiderable fums of money were ' levied upon the Roman Catholics of this kingdom, ' under the authority of a *Committee* of perfons of that ' perfuasion, who then assumed, and feemed in a great ' degree to fucceed in the government and direction of ' the

the whole body of Irifh Catholics.' Ibidem, page 4. "We have taken up the detail of it from that period" (1795 and 1796), ' when the confpiracy was fo matured " as to have for its avowed object the array and levy of ' a regular military force in every part of the kingdom, · for the purpofe of affifting the French, if they fhould ⁶ be enabled to make a defcent upon this country; or, if foreign affiftance could not be procured, of making a general infurrection, in the hope of fubverting the · Monarchy and Ecclefiaftical Eftablifhment, of feizing 6 the perfons and confifcating the property of His Ma-· jefty's loyal fubjects, and of eftablishing a Republican Government guaranteed by the power of France.' And ibidem, pages 10, 11, 12, may be feen an account of the negotiations of M'Nevin, one of the Committee of Nine, with the French Directory, to which I refer the reader.

In the ' Report of the Secret Committee of the Houfe of Commons of Great Britain,' fect. 2, page 10, is the following paffage: ' The confpirators in Ireland, unquefstionably, always meditated the complete feparation of ' that country from Great Britain.' The Report then adverts to the Society of United Irifhmen, which it flates to have been inftituted in the year 1791. And here it is proper to state, that the Romish Convention already mentioned was affembled in the year 1792, and that almost the whole of its members, if not the whole, were United Irifhmen : that all the principal Romifh leaders in the late Rebellion were members of this Convention; and all of them, together with the whole mass of the Infurgents, United Irishmen. In the Report last mentioned, fect. 7, page 31, adverting to the mutiny in the Britifh 14

(120)

British fleet, is the following passage: " It appears that · oaths have been tendered by the Mutineers to the crew to be United Irithmen, equal to their brethren in Ire-I land, and have nothing to do with the King or his "Government: that they have acted in the profeffed expectation of affiftance from France, with the express ⁴ view of co-operating for the expulsion of the Protest-* ants from Ireland, and the erection of a Roman Cae tholic Government. On another occasion the Oath has been as follows: " I fwear to be true to the free and " united Irifh, who are now fighting our caufe against · Tyrants and Oppreffors, and to defend their rights to " the laft drop of my blood, and to keep all fecret : and " I do agree to carry the ship into Brest, the next time " the fhip looks out a-head at fea, and to kill every officer and man that shall hinder us, except the Master; " and to hoift a green enfign with a harp in it, and after-" wards to kill and destroy the Protestants." Ibid. page 32. · Your Committee have no hefitation in flating on the · clearest proof, strongly confirmed by recent circumflances, that among the various bodies inlifted in any part · of Great Britain for the purpofes of fedition, and treafon, the focieties which have been formed by the · United Irifhmen in this country are in all respects the " most formidable, particularly at the prefent moment; " whether confidered with a view to their combination, " their actual numbers, or the atrocious nature of the " defigns, of which they are preparing, in a very fhort 4 time, to attempt the execution, in direct co-operation with France. The danger to be apprehended from · thefe Societies is much increafed, from the conftant communication which they maintain with the Societies s in Ireland, their mutual confidence in each other, and · the

5

the alarming circumstance of their being at this moment subject to the fame fecret direction, and the fame
chiefs.'

This ' Report of the British House of Commons' was ordered to be printed to late as the 15th of March 1799. And it is here worthy to be noted, that Mr. Tone, already mentioned, who is acknowledged to have been Agent of the Romish Convention in the year 1792, by their Permanent Committee, was the founder of the Soelety of United Irishmen in the year 1791; and it is pretty evident that the Romish Convention was only an assembly of the most confiderable amongst the perfonages which composed the Society of United Irishmen ;—a species of florilegium of that holy brotherhood!

It is now time to inquire what it is which induces Irifh Romanists to act fo ferociously, and to thirst fo infatiably for the blood of their Proteitant fellow-fubjects; a thirst at this moment as unquenchable as in the year 1641, the era of the horrible Irish massacre! It cannot arife from the hatred of the native Irifh to the Britifh Colony, contracted from the tyranny of the Colony exercifed over the natives, as is with equal effrontery and falfehood afferted by the author of the pamphlet entitled. · Confiderations on the State of public Affairs in the Year " 1799. Ireland;' for at prefent, and for many years back, the native Irifh, and the British, who from time to time migrated into Ireland and fettled there, are fo intermixed that no fuch diffinction as Native and Colonift can properly be faid now to remain in the kingdom; fave that in some mountainous parts of the province of. Connaught, and in the mountains in the fouth of the counties

counties of Cork and Kerry, fuch fusion has not taken place in any confiderable degree; and in those parts there was no Rebellion. In the counties in which the Rebellion raged, to wit, those of Wexford, Wicklow, Carlow, Kildare, Meath, and Dublin, the majority of the peafantry, if their original race is to be difcovered by their names, is British. There are two baronies in the county of Wexford, viz. those of Forth and Bargy, entirely peopled by the defcendants of old English fettlers, who yet retain the old English language, as it was fpoken in England in the time of Chaucer, and which is almost unintelligible to a modern Englishman. They are for the most part Romanists at this day, and were very active Rebels. The English who settled in other parts of Ireland, previous to the reign of Queen Elizabeth, are fo completely incorporated with the original natives, that they cannot now be diffinguished from them by their language, customs, or manners; the furnames of the respective families of them alone mark their origin. They may be reputed natives; and there are few who retain Irith furnames through the nation, who have not British blood in their veins. The Romish inhabitants of Ireland called by Britith furnames, and generally reputed of British extraction, are the most powerful portion of Irifh Romanifts in point of property. In the late Rebellion, almost all the leaders of the Rebels had English furnames, and the mass of the Infurgents was of the fame defcription; the Rebellion having raged in the parts of Ireland directly opposite to Britain, and chiefly in that part formerly called the Pale, the principal feat of the ancient English Colony. Of the Protestant inhabitants of Ireland, a large portion has Irifh furnames, and may be therefore reputed of native Irifh

Irifh extraction. In a word, the only real and specific diffinction of the inhabitants of Ireland in the prefent time is, that of Protestants and Romanists. The fanguinary hostility of the Irish Romanists against the Irish Protestants cannot therefore be attributed to a national antipathy fubfifting between Natives and Colonifts; and there is as little ground for afcribing it to any peculiar propenfity of the people of Ireland to cruelty, greater than that of other nations. Oppression they cannot reasonably complain of; for the Irifh Romanifts enjoy a greater portion of civil liberty, than the most favoured fubjects of any foreign nation on the face of the earth. There is therefore no other reasonable mode of accounting for it, but by an examination of the doctrines of their Religion : and whoever will attentively confider the precepts of the Council of Lateran before quoted, refpecting Herefy, which they hold as a part of their creed, will there find the true fources of all those barbarities, and massacres of their fellow-fubjects, which they have been guilty of. The legal punifhment of Herefy in the British dominions, during the domination of Popery, was burning alive: hence the burning alive of the unfortunate Protestants in the barn at Scollobogue, and the perfecution of them with fire and fword in the late Rebellion. The Irifh Romanists committed nothing which they were not warranted, nay commanded, to commit, by the Council of Lateran; and it is remarkable, that at the times of their perpetrating the most atrocious cruelties, they always branded their victims with the opprobrious title of Heretics.

The Romanists in Ireland, whether aboriginal, or of old English extraction, have always endeavoured to shake

thake off what they call the English yoke, that is, to feparate themfelves from England ; and this political prin. ciple has contributed more than any other circumftance to keep them fleady to the Romilh perfusion, as being hostile to the Protestant faith, the Religion of Britain : their leaders justly enough confidering that hostility of Religions is a powerful cement of adverse political parties, and a ftrong barrier against all treaty; and that Separation from Britain will be always the favourite purfuit of men who firmly believe that Britons are an accurfed race, reprobated by Heaven, the objects of Divine vengeance, to be inflicted on them on earth by the fwords of the faithful; they therefore support and propagate the Romifh Faith, as they have always done, with all their power and influence. And to this political principle of Separation from Britain, as a first cause, may be traced the perfevering attachment of fuch a number of the inhabitants of Ireland to the Romish Faith : and of that attachment their cruelty to their Protestant countrymen is the immediate effect.

I thall now take fome notice of the frictures thrown out, by the Author of ' The Cafe of Ireland Re-confidered,' on the Popery Code fome time fince repealed in Ireland. The Author has fummed up all the old common-place objections to that Code, advanced by the whole fwarm of Romith feribblers, with all their exaggerations, fince the enaction of it. His objections I have already mentioned; they are principally contained in pages 4 and 34 of his pamphlet. Notwithflanding this Code has been for fome years repealed, particularly all fuch parts of it as he complains of, he again blazons forth its feverity, for the purpole of giving a new edge to the weapons hends may have been fomewhat blunted in the recent Rebellion and Maffacre), and of mifleading the English nation into an unfounded opinion, that the Irifh Romanifts have been cruelly oppreffed; and that their recent Rebellion has been the effect of that oppression, not reforted to for remedy (the Code having been repealed before the Rebellion), but for revenge. It is necessary to make a few remarks on his firictures, to flow how abfurd they are, and what little ground there is for them: fo very little indeed, that there is fufficient reason to believe the writer to have been wholly unacquainted with the laws themfelves, and that he merely copied the observations he makes upon them from others. His ignorance of the laws of his country is manifelt from more than one paffage in his pamphlet. I shall give one remarkable inftance here of his want of knowledge of the laws. In page 41, observing on what he calls the Teft Oaths, meaning the Oaths administered to every Member of Parliament when he takes his feat in the Houfe, he has the following paffage: 'Among thefe · Oaths I fuppofe is included that of Abjuration, which ' is as violent an infult to the religion of our allies, • the first nations on the Continent of Europe, as the abominable Oath of Hatred to Royalty fet up as a ' teft in France, is to their form of government.' The Oath of Supremacy I have already mentioned: the Oath, styled in our Statutes the Oath of Abjuration, is fimply an oath, first, of Allegiance to His Majesty; next, of fupport of the Settlement of the Crown, as limited by an A& of Parliament, entitled, An ASt for the further Limitation of the Crown, and better fecuring the Rights and Liberties of the Subject; and next an Oath abjuring

abjuring all allegiance to the defcendants of the late King James the Second. This Oath, together with the Oaths of Supremacy, and a general Oath of Allegiance; are all the oaths enjoined to be taken by Members of Parliament. How contemptible then is the ignorance of this Author, who flates, that this Oath of Abjuration is a violent infult to the religion of our allies, the first nations in Europe !

The Irifh Popery Code, which this Author complains of, was enacted after the accession of King William and Queen Mary: not all at once, but from time to time, as feemed expedient, in feveral fucceffive Seffions of the Irish Parliaments, from the 4th of William and Mary to the 8th of Anne inclusive, but chiefly in the 2d and 8th of Anne. By this Code Romanists were prohibited to teach fchool, and to take leafes of lands for a longer term than thirty-one years, or to acquire by purchafe any more durable interest in lands. It provided, that if the eldest fon of a Romanist, having an estate in fee, conformed to the Protestant Religion, his father, from the time of his conformity, became tenant for life of his eftate, the fee vefted in the conforming fon, fubject to the debts and incumbrances, and the Lord Chancellor was empowered to charge the eftate with fortunes for the younger children, not exceeding in the whole one third of the full value of it. When a Romanist died feised of an estate in fee, if his eldest son did not conform to the Protestant Religion within a year and a day after his father's death (if he was then of full age, or, if then a minor, within a year and a day after he had attained his full age), the eftate gavelled between him and his brothers. No Romanist could enjoy an office

fice in the State, a commiffion in the Army, or a feat in Parliament, without taking the Teft Oaths. Thefe are the parts of the Popery Code, whole dire effects on the Irifh Romanists this Author fo pathetically laments, and magnifies with fuch monftrous exaggeration. It is neceffary here to remark, that thefe laws were all enacted from abfolute neceffity : that the reiterated rebellions, treasons, and maffacres of the Irith Romanifts were the causes of their enaction : that they were enacted after the Irish Romanists had been completely subdued in a defperate war, waged by them against their Protestant countrymen and the English nation, and undertaken by them, under the pretence of fupporting the title of an abdicated Monarch to the Crown; but really for the purpole of leparating Ireland from Great Britain, and allying it with France : that in this war the nation was defolated from one extremity to the other, as it had been frequently before in fimilar precedent rebellions : that it became evidently detrimental to the State, to permit Irish Romanists to acquire landed estates, giving them an interest in the country, which their avowed principles inevitably led them to use for the subversion of the State : that the incurable disposition of the Irifh Romanists to rebellion and massacre was not the effect, but the caufe, of the Popery Code; for that disposition led them to the most flagrant, cruel, and reiterated acts of rebellion and maffacre, before the enaction of that Code; and all other ways of preventing the repetition of fuch horrible crimes had been found ineffectual : that this Author is guilty of the bafeft deception, in flating the Popery Code to be the caufe of Rebellions, when it was only the effect : that the parts of that Code, of which he complains, as provocations of Irifh Romanists 10 4

to Rebelilon, were repealed, before the laft Irifh rebellion and maffacre; and confequently could not be the caufe of them: and it is no unfair deduction, that the re-enaction of a Code, which, while it continued to be a part of the law of the land, prevented Rebellion, may become neceffary for the protection of the country from fimilar future calamities.

This Author states, that, by the repealed Popery Code, Romanifts were excluded from Protestant Schools. This is a direct falsehood : Romanist, fo far from being excluded from Protestant schools, were invited to them; every Protestant fchool in the kingdom was always open to them; they might have freely reforted thither for inftruction in all kinds of learning, without any interference of the schoolmasters with their religious opinions. Schools were established by Government, above half a century ago, for the education of the children of the poorer class of Irish Romanists, who had the privilege of fending their children to thefe fchools, if they thought fit, to be educated and maintained gratis : thefe fchools are called Charter Schools, and the fcholars are educated in the Protestant Religion, as it is but reafonable that children educated at the expense of the State, should be instructed in the Religion of the State. But all other schools were as open to Romanists as to Protestants, without any reference to, or interference with, the religious opinions of Romanists. The State has lately, but before this Author published his pamphlet, thought fit to erect a most magnificent College, at an amazing expenfe, near Dublin, for the exclusive education of Romish Priests, whether wifely or not, I will not prefume

fume to determine. I fhall have occasion hereafter more fully to notice this foundation.

Romifh fludents could not obtain degrees in the University of Dublin without taking the Oaths of Abjuration and Supremacy, previous to the repeal of the Popery Code: but by the act of the Irish Parliament in 1793 in favour of Romanists, they are rendered capable of taking degrees in that University.

Romifh fchoolmafters were by the Popery Code prohibited to teach. No prohibition, at the time it was enacted, could be more reafonable: they inculcated the rudiments of fedition and treafon with the utmoft care in their fcholars; and when proper fchools were open for the inftruction of Romanifts, it was a wife and juft provision of the State to prevent their refort to places where they were early initiated in all the principles of difaffection to the Government. It appeared in the laft Rebellion, that the Romifh fchoolmafters were the moft zealous, active, and bufy propagators of all the doctrines of treafon in the country. The propriety of the education of Irifh Romanifts in France and Spain, after their various rebellions in confederacy with thefe powers, needs no argument to fupport it.

This Author's next complaint againft the Popery Code is, ' that it cramped the industry of the people, and ' armed the brother againft the brother, and rewarded ' the fon for betraying the father, rendered property in-' fecure, prevented the cultivation of land, the interior ' confidence of families, and the extension of trade.'

Romanists

Romanists by that Code were forbidden to take a lease of land for a longer term than thirty-one years. Against that claufe it is to be fuppofed that the accufation of cramping the industry of the people is levelled. It is admitted that a larger proportion of Romanists is to be found among the Irifh peafantry, than among the other classes of inhabitants; and it is to be noted that this author, by the word People, means Romanists exclufively; for throughout his pamphlet, like other writers of his kidney, he infinuates that the Irifh Protestants are fo infignificant in number, that they are not worthy of being noticed as a part of the people. It is difficult to prove, though eafy to affert, that a class of the farmers of a nation, the cultivators of the foil, are cramped in their industry, and their cultivation obstructed, by their difability to acquire more durable interests in their farms, than leafes for thirty-one years give them ; and that fuch difability in one clafs of farmers cramps the industry of the whole body. The farmers in many parts of England, the most skilful in their profession of any in the world, the most industrious and thriving, have no leafes of their farms, but are yearly tenants : in most parts of England long leafes of farms are unufual, in fome parts unknown : a feven years leafe of a farm is confidered a fufficient tenure, yet the farmers are remarkable for their excellent cultivation of the land, and the most active industry. It is therefore proved by experience, that difability in farmers to acquire long tenures of their farms is no impediment to national industry. The law in particular complained of, never operated against the industry of the Romish farmers, but it operated against the acquisition of permanent landed estates by such Irish Romanists as had acquired large perfonal property, becaufe all laws againft

against fuch acquisition may be easily evaded by long leafes. Such was the intention of the Legislature, and it acted wifely, and for the benefit and fecurity of the State (as is already fhown), in enacting the claufe complained of : Romanifts were not reftrained from exerting their industry, and acquiring large personal estates; but they were prohibited to expend their money in the purchase of lands, because the fafety of the State required fuch prohibition : and industry may be, and is, exerted as ftrenuoufly in the acquifition of perfonal, as of real property; of which the British nation is a fhining example. The fophiftry of the affertion, that the industry of a whole nation was cramped, becaufe a prohibition of the acquifition of permanent landed eftates by a part, and that the pooreft part of it, exifted, even admitting a cramping quality in the prohibition, is too glaring and contemptible to require further notice.

The next acculation of this Author against the Popery Code is, that it rewarded the fon for betraying the father, and armed the brother against the brother. The part of the Code against which this accusation is levelled, is that which enacts, that the conformity to the Protestant Religion of the eldest fon of a Romanist, feised in fee of a landed eftate, shall render his father tenant for life, and vest the remainder in fee in the fon, subject however to the payment of real incumbrances, the just debts of the father, and of reafonable portions to the younger children, at the difcretion of the Chancellor : and the father was compellable to make fome reafonable allowance for the fupport of the conforming child. And alfo that part of the Code which enacted, that the landed estate of a Romanist should be gavelable among all his fon.

fons, in cafe the eldeft did not conform to the Proteftant Religion within a year and a day after the death of his father, if then of the age of twenty-one years; or if not, within a year and a day after he had attained that age.

As to the first claufe, it is conformable to the rules of justice, of right reason, and of nature. The father is bound by the law of nature to fupport and provide for his children, and by the common law of the land, the eldeft fon is entitled to fucceed his father in his landed estate, in cafe the father makes no disposition of it in his lifetime, by conveyance or will. It is notorious that every Romifh father would, on the conformity of his eldeft fon to the Protestant Religion (which he would confider as apoftacy), not only withdraw all fupport from him during his own life, but difinherit him : the fear of which would be an effectual bar to his conformity, be he ever fo well inclined to it. This Code, therefore, in cafe of the fon's conformity, required the father to do no more than what the law of nature and the common law of the land required him to do; and reftrained him only from tranfgreffing both, from the impulse of a blind bigotry. The payment of his just debts, and the provision of his other children, were fecured, with the enjoyment of his eftate during his life. How unjust then is the cenfure of this author on this claufe of the Popery Code, that it rewarded the fon for betraying the father!

In refpect to the gavelling claufe, on the non-conformity of the eldeft fon, it is to be obferved, that the division of the landed eftates of a deceased father among his fons in equal portions, is so far from being counted a

hardfhip

hardflip in many nations of Europe, that it is the law in many of them at this day. It is now the common law of the county of Kent : it was the law of our Saxon ancestors. The laws of male Primogeniture, as they are at this day, in respect to the descent of real estates, were introduced by the feudal fystem imported with William the Conqueror. The men of Kent infifted on retention of their old gavel law, and he conceded it to them, (See Blackftone's Commentaries, vol. ii. page 84. vol. iv. page 406, octavo edition.) The law of descent of landed effates to the eldeft, in exclusion of the other children, does not take place in refpect to daughters; fuch eftates defcend to daughters in gavelkind. Many writers on civil polity, of great eminence, maintain the opinion, that the law of Gavelkind is more advantageous to the State, than that of Primogeniture: and it is agreed by all, that the accumulation of great landed estates in one family, to be inherited by one person, an effect of the law of Primogeniture, is dangerous in a State, whether monarchical, republican, or mixt. It became neceffary, for the reafon I have already mentioned, to diminish the interest of Irish Romanists, derived from their enjoyment of large landed eftates; and this law was defigned to effect that purpofe, with the least possible difadvantage to individuals, and without having recourfe to any meafure, which could be deemed by reafonable men either harfh or unjust; it was wifely calculated to anfwer all thefe ends. If therefore it has the effect of arming brother against brother among Irifh Romanists, it must be admitted that they are very prone to family hostility; and to fuch propenfity, and not to the law, is fuch hostility to be attributed : for the law is in itfelf fair and equal, and its justice vouched for

K 3

bý

by the usage of feveral European nations, and a part of our own.

The next complaint of this Author against the Popery Code is, that it rendered property infecure. What part of it is alluded to, as rendering property infecure, it is hard to guess : perhaps it is that part of the Code, which enacts, that if a Romanist shall acquire by purchase an estate in lands, contrary to its prohibitions, fuch estate shall become forfeitable to the first Protestant, who shall discover it, and file a bill for the recovery of it. Laws which, by the wifdom of their provisions, tend to enfure the execution of them, are juftly efteemed the most effectual, for the correction of those evils which they are enacted to reprefs: of fuch nature was this claufe of the Popery Code. The State, for the reafons already mentioned, deemed it expedient to prohibit the acquifition of landed eftates by Romanists; if therefore Romanists attempted to elude or defeat the effect of these laws, and expended their money in the purchase of landed estates, knowing the prohibition and the penalty, they had no ground to complain that their property was infecure, becaufe fuch estates became forfeited to the first Protestant discoverer: the forfeiture was the confequence of their own tranfgreffions of the law, and their attempts to evade it : the landed eftates fo purchafed by them never were their lawful property; and if they rifked their property on fuch unlawful fpeculations, they themfelves, and not the law, were the caufe of its infecurity.

This Author next flates, that the Popery Code prevented the extension of trade, and employment of the talents and genius of three fourths of the people in civil and military affairs. This 2 Code

Code must have rather tended to extend trade, than to confine it : breaufe it prevented whatever Romish capital there was in the kingdom from being diverted to the purchase of lands, and being thereby withdrawn from trade. And as to the talents and genius of two thirds, and not of three fourths of the people of Ireland (the most indigent and uninformed class of fociety), being excluded from all interference with the civil or military concerns of the British Empire,-the brave, enlightened Protestant subjects of that empire, out-numbering them in the proportion of fix to one, wanted no affistance from them. Thefe two thirds of the Irifh population, and their anceftors, had for ages exerted their talents, civil and military, fuch as they were, for the fubversion of the Protestant establishment in Church and State; and it was found policy to reject all hollow alliance of talent of fuch Subjects, for the conduct of the national energies either in peace or war. The British Empire was, and is supported, non tali auxilio, nec defensoribus is!

All this Author's complaints against the Irish Popery Code, and his monstrous exaggerations and falsehoods respecting its provisions and effects, have been now fully canvassed and exposed. And as this Code, in all the parts complained of, and acrimoniously misrepresented by him, with the view of inflaming the Irish Romaniss to another Rebellion, is now repealed, his performance would not have been fo much noticed, had not feveral pamphlets published in England as the substance of Speeches respecting the Union, spoken in the British Houses of Lords and Commons, by the most powerful Noblemen and Commoners in England, contained paffages expressive of the opinions of the speakers, that Irish K 4 Romanists

Romanists have been cruelly oppressed by their Protestant fellow-subjects, without any just reason to warrant such fuppofed oppreffions : and that the numbers and ftrength of Irish Romanists were fo great, when compared with those of Irish Protestants, that it is necessary to purchase their confent to an Union, at the price of the facrifice of the Protestant establishment in Ireland. As I have taken up my pen, chiefly for the purpose of disabusing fuch Noblemen and Gentlemen, and giving them true information of the real state of Ireland, with which fome of them of the greatest rank feem not only unacquainted, but, what is worfe, feem to have very falfe impreffions made upon them to the difadvantage of the Irifh Protestants, I thought I could not omit refuting the fhameless ilanders of this writer, on the laws and government of Ireland for this century paft. Such refutation is alfo conformable to my general plan, which is to expose, confute, and overthrow the fystem of Burkism respecting Ireland; which, as these Speeches too evidently prove, has made no inconfiderable progrefs among the Ministers of the British Empire; and which has its foundation deeply laid in bigotry, mifrepresentation, falfehood, and deceit : to the operations of which fystem in Ireland, directed by the Ministers fent thither from England, from time to time, for these twenty years past, are justly to be attributed the calamities which have fo lately afflicted it; and the diffent of a confiderable body of Irifh Protestants, from an Incorporating Union with Great Britain, from a fuspicion (ill-founded, as I hope and believe, yet not altogether groundlefs), that fome dangerous invalion of the Constitution of the Empire is, at least, meditated, under the shadow and protection of that most falutary measure.

I fhall

I shall take my leave of this Author, by a short comment on the following paragraph contained in page 16 of his pamphlet : " In Ireland, the Religion of the people is not • permitted to be the Religion of the country : it is fcarcely " tolerated : the Religion of a *small minority* (a political · phenomenon) is the eftablished Religion of the State.' This Author has a rare talent at condenfing a variety of falschoods into one short passage. Popery enjoys the most complete toleration. The Religion of one third of the inhabitants of Ireland in number, of forty to one in property, is the established Religion of the State, in Ireland ; it is alfo the Religion of fix to one in number, and of one hundred to one in property, of the population of the Britifh Empire in Europe, of which Ireland is a limb : it is therefore the Religion of an infinite majority of the inhabitants of the Empire reckoned both by numbers and property. I have quoted this last passage, just to show the audacity of the writer, and the eafe and confidence with which he advances the most impudent falsehoods, and supports them with the most pitiful fophistry.

It has been alledged, that Ireland has manifeftly improved in trade and cultivation fince the repeal of the Popery Code, and that fuch improvement is the effect of that repeal. The improvement of Ireland from the year 1780 to the breaking out of the late Rebellion is admitted; but it is not to be attributed to the repeal of the Popery Code, but to the free trade about that time conceded to Ireland by Great Britain, and the abolition of those commercial regulations, with which she had before that period shackled Ireland; and part of the improvement is to be laid to the account of the ruin of the trade of France and Holland, and

and the rapid increase of that of the British Empire in general, within that period.

I fear I have wasted too much paper and ink in ex-

Strictures on a pamphlet entifiderations on the State of Public Affairs in the Year 1799. Ireland."

poling this Writer, his falfehoods, mifreprefentations, igtled, 'Con- norance, and fophiftry; but I have already given my reafon for fo doing, and the fame reafon is my excufe to the public for taking notice of the pamphlet entitled, " Confi-· derations upon the State of Public Affairs in the Year 1799. " Ireland.' After reading this publication, it appeared to me fo very falfe, abufive, abfurd, and contemptible, that I at first thought it degrading to any reasonable perfon, to fuffer it to occupy any part of his attention : my feeling was pretty much the fame with that of Quin the player, when a celebrated actrefs once gave him a pluck by the wig in the Green-room : ' Madam,' faid he, turning to her, ' I would fpit in your face, only that would be tak-' ing notice of you.' However, on further reflection, and on finding by the Speeches already mentioned, that Burkism had made a great progress among British Statesmen. I began to perceive, that lies, the most monstrous that ever were invented refpecting the State and Government of Ireland, and its Protestant inhabitants, had gained credit in England, with men who had power to do infinite mifchief if they were not undeceived. I therefore thought it prudent to waste a little more paper and ink, even on this infamous, malevolent, anonymous flanderer. But my Strictures on his libel shall be very short, because, in my Obfervations on the preceding Author, the reader will find all the positions of the Author of ' Confiderations, &c." fully refuted.

This

This Writer introduces himfelf to the public under the mafk of a violent partifan of the meafure of an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland : but he wears a very thin mafk; for through it may be very diftin&ly feen the envenomed, enthufiaftic Irifh friar, juft difcharged on the nation from the mortar of a Spanifh monaftery : all the acrimony, all the falfehood, all the ignorance, all the bigotry, all the fury, in fhort, all the combuftibles of fo noifome a composition! He purfues the following line of attack, fupported by explosions of bombs, carcaffes, and fink-pots, on the Protestants of Ireland.

He gives the titles of English Colonists, Planters, and Settlers, to the Irish Protestants; and that of Natives to the Irifh Romanists; and throughout afferts, that the Protestants are a British colony, and a handful only when compared with the natives. He states, ' that the Irish · Parliament is only the reprefentative of this handful of colonists, and not the representative of the natives, ' or of any part of them ;' and ftyles it throughout, in derifion, ' the Parliament of Dublin.' He states, that ' there ⁴ are in this Parliament of Dublin (meaning the Houfe of · Commons) one hundred and fixteen placemen, out of " three hundred of which it confifts; and that all its pro-· ceedings are the effects of fear and corruption : that it s is elected by Englishmen, and composed of Englishmen, to the exclusion of the ancient occupants of the foil.' (See page 41.) He calls all Irifh Protestants ' the Grantees of Cromwell and William the Third, the children of their foldiers, " and the heirs of their rapacity.' He accufes thefe two perfonages, whom he infolently ranks together, ' with ⁶ the greatest violence and excesses, and with the exactions of the most grievous confiscations and forfeitures from

· from the natives.' (See page 24.) He states the Proteflants of Ireland to be ' the most wretched, ill-go-' verned, and dependent colony on the face of the globe.' (See page 14.) He defcribes the Government of Ireland ' as a perpetual military government, the Irifh Pro-· testants, as having a trembling dependance upon the · Crown of England for a daily and precarious existence.' (See page 5.) He thus paints the fituation and fentiment of the Irifh Proteftants and Romanifts with refpect to each other, when observing on the effects of the British Act of renunciation of the power of legiflating for Ireland in the year 1782: ' They (the Romanists) knew • that the reprefentatives of a Protestant colony would " not, and could not dare to trust the immense majority of 6 their nation with an equality of political rights and con-· dition; they faw that what they looked for, from the power · and magnanimity of Britain, became hopeless from the " hands of fettlers, whole weaknefs made them jealous and ' afraid. They were too confcious of their own frength, 6 too fond of their title, to defire or expect that they " fhould be ever trufted by an ufurper (the Protestant Go-' vernment), whofe force they defpifed, and whofe right • they difputed : they felt themfelves abandoned and * turned over to the generofity of a handful of proprietors, " who were too powerless and too timid to be merciful : " and if the independent Parliament had been compelled to " make the concession, they would have been too fen-" fible of the caufe from which it fprung, would have called it fear, and not liberality, and they would have & feized the proffered boon, not as fatisfaction and con-* .tent, but as a flep in the ladder of their ambition, and an " advanced post in the march of revenge.' (See pages 51, 52.) 10

In the very middle of this torrent of abufe on the Protestants and Parliament of Ireland, and panegyric on the Romanists; this display of the usurpation, weakness, and timidity of the Protestants; and of the ftrength and magnanimity of the Romanists, and justice of their claims of power, dominion, and exclusive possession of the kingdom, is to be met the following curious fentence, which I infert as a specimen of the Author's modesty and consistency: I draw a veil over every thing that can difgust or instance.' He then proceeds thus further to draw his veil: Though I have heard the offer of Union condemned, and the falvation of a few afferted to depend upon the extirpation of the majority; that the Catholics must be extinguished, and put out; that not a fingle Rohilla of them all can be left with impumity; though I have heard fuch fanguinary dostrines pollute

" the walls of a House of Parliament.' (See pages 63, 64.)

This Author then takes care to diffinguish the United Iriflimen, whofe barbarities were too notorious to be denied, or openly palliated, from the Irifh Romanifts; and to lay to the charge of the United Irifhmen, as diftinct from the Romanist, the guilt of the late Rebellion ; but even here he cannot forbear falling furioufly on the Protestants of Ireland for their refistance to this Rebellion, and their audacity in prefuming to defend their lives and properties from the Romifh Rebels, and in attempting to punish them for the crimes they had committed. The late Maffacre and Rebellion, with all due tendernefs for the Romish infurgents, he calls a Civil War. "I confess," fays he, ' I fear there are among our fettlers in Ireland 4 fome unrelenting minds, who expect and prefer another · conclusion of the contest, and very different from ours : ~ " the horrible principle which has been disclosed even in Eng-6 land

(142)

· land (alluding to the Rohilla principle) induces me very ftrongly to apprehend, that there is no obstacle in a part · of the Colony more hoftile and formidable to the pro-· jected Union, than the hope to be enabled, by the arms • and treasure of the mother-country, to obtain such de-· cided and definitive fuccefs in the Civil War, as to en-· able their independent Parliament to attaint and confif-· cate the remaining part of the property of Ireland, not · actually in the occupation of that Colony.' Then, after expressing his hope, that His Majesty will never give the Royal affent to bills for the attainder of the Irifh Rebels, or confifcation of their property, and confequently that, if vanquished, they will escape all punishment; he proceeds thus further to cover with his veil, every thing that can difgust Irish Protestants, or inflame Irish Romanists : 'If " the only obstacle to Union in the bosom of our Colony, · is this criminal and flagitious hope, of deriving from our · victories an unjust and miserable fuccess of flavery and e plunder; I am fearful that it is impossible to affign, e after every allowance for paffion and for prejudice, a · better or more pardonable plea, for the refufal or the · filence of the Catholic. Revenge and the hope of prey • are his undifguifed motives, and he is only fo far lefs ab-" furd, or lefs guilty than the colonist I have described, as · be believes himfelf to have a right, according to the doctrines · of Imprescriptibility, to possible the lands which no time, no · length of possifican can alienate, no acquiescence transfer. (See pages from 65 to 69.)

Under pretence of condemning the infidelity of the French Republicans, he introduces a panegyric on the Irifh Romifh Bifhops; defcribing them, as men of learning, ing, humanity, and piety (page 71): and under pretence of reconciling Irifh Romanists to the measure of an Union, he takes an occafion of paffing the higheft encomiums on the whole party; not forgetting, by way of praifing their patience and forbearance, to state, ' that they have been " most grievously oppressed by the Colony ; that their ap-· petite for revenge, and their exertions in the purfuit of it ' (that is, their Rebellions), were justifiable ;' but then he meekly exhorts them to facrifice their revenge, ' because it " is almost fatiated in feas of Civil blood : that a great change is propounded to them, and they are called to the rights " of citizenship by the projected Union ;' and piously asks the clerical Irish Romanist, ' will be defer to unfurl the 6 facred banner, and to call back the deluded Rebel from " the colours of Infidelity?' that is, from his alliance with France, 'which nation,' he fays, 'knows the cruel power · of artifice and defign upon the devout and agitated bosom · of poor and honeft ignorance, facrificing all things, fuffering e all things, and daring all things, in the caufe supposed of " Religion.' (See from page 72 to 76.) The author then states, ' that England cannot support her Colony in its ⁶ prefent flate; that it were better for England, that her · Colony and the whole ifland of Ireland were precipitated ⁶ to the bottom of the fea, or blotted from the map, and expunged from the lift of nations, than that the thould ' remain a diversion of her power and force, an arsenal of ⁶ attack and injury, and a devouring gulf of her blood ' and refources in the prefent war.' (See page 79.) He then afferts, ' that there are three millions of wretched na-" tives, whom the Irifh Protestant cannot oppress but by ⁴ the arms of England, nor deliver but with his own * ruin.' (See page 84.) ' That the natives have been always · oppreffed

· oppreffed by the Colony, and that they have no hopes of · reprieve and fafety, but in the grant of Complete Eman-· cipation : that the Protestant Government of Ireland is e vested in a representative body, the majority of which • are placemen and afpirants of the Law, and in a factious • ariftocracy which outweighs even corruption.' (See pages 88, 89.) 'That the natives or Catholics' (for he fometimes calls the Irifh Romanists by the one name, fometimes by the other; but the Protestants invariably Colonists, Planters, and Settlers) ' cannot expect eman-· cipation from the Parliament of Dublin. Will a handful of men emancipate a multitude? Will an armed regi-• ment liberate a difarmed hoft ? It is a mystery not very · profound, that Fear is a coward, that Weakness cannot ' confide, and that Injury never pardons.'- ' The Par-· liament of Ireland' (here the Author nodded, he meant the Parliament of Dublin), ' dares not fet him (the Ca-" tholic) free. It is the Imperial Parliament, it is the • power, greatnefs, and fuperiority of England which alone · can break his chains, or contain him in the first trans-^e ports of Liberty. Will the native refuse the boon (the " Union) at the end of fix centuries of calamity, of fruitlefs · Aruggles, and tenacious oppression ?' (See page 91.) Then still under the pretence of recommending the Union, he proceeds to throw the covering of his veil over every thing that can difgust or inflame, by the following invective on the Colony : ' The Union is necessary, becaufe • the alternative that remains, is fuch as nature lickens e at, as humanity rejects, as inflinct flies from, becaufe • it. is rebellion and military government, becaufe it is · imprisonment, torture, and fudden execution : because · it is armed profecutors and juries of foldiers, with their · Serjeants learned in the Law: (witty rogue !) becaufe it · is ⁸ is the curfew and the paffport bill; becaufe it is in⁶ vafion, maffacre, rape, and pillage, and conflagration;
⁶ becaufe it is the wretchedeft and most degrading condi⁶ tion of humanity, the most difgusting feries of mifery
⁶ and guilt, the blackeft and most lengthened scene and
⁶ proceffion of crimes and fufferings, that ever humbled
⁶ or afflicted man.' (See pages 93, 94.)

He then proceeds to flate a dilemma to the Irifh Protestant : you must either agree to an Union, or grant Emancipation to the Romanists ; and shows that the last horn will gore him to death, the first only slightly wound him : 'if,' fays he, ' you determine on the last measure, you receive ' a Catholic Parliament, you are ruined if the doors of ' Parliament are opened to a Catholic majority, if you ' divide your power with three millions of malcontents.' (See pages 94, 95.) Admitting here, that though the Romanists shall in his fense be emancipated, yet they will remain malcontents ; and that if they are admitted into Parliament, they will foon form the majority.

His last argument to induce Irish Romanists to agree to an Union is, that they will be admitted to feats in the Imperial Parliament; that is, as he expresses it, they will be *completely emancipated*.

I have now laboured through this most difgusting performance, and laid before the reader an epitome of the doctrines contained in it in the language of the author. I now proceed to make a few short remarks on it, just to point out to the British reader its falsehoods and absurdity : to show that the whole tenour of it militates against its pretended purpose; and that the Author himself meant it as L a justia juftification of the recent and all former Rebellions of the Irith Romanists, of all their massacres, robberies, and conflagrations; as a vehicle of all manner of invective and flander against the Irith Protestants, and the English Government in Ireland; and as a provocative to the Irish Romanists to recommence hostilities against their Protestant fellow-subjects, and stimulate them to revenge and murder.

I will begin with making an obfervation or two, on his ftyling Irifh Protestants Colonists, and Irish Romanists Natives. His first view in distinguishing the inhabitants of Ireland into thefe two claffes, was, that he might indulge the rancour of an Irifh Romanist against Irifh Protestants by the more unbridled abuse, from conviction that Englifh Protestants would not attend patiently to fuch reviling of their fellow Protestants, under the title of Protestants, and for no other caufe than their being Protestants. The Americans, as was the general opinion, had not conducted themfelves with gratitude towards the mother-country, and he hoped to divert the refentment of the inhabitants of Great Britain against the American colonists for their feceffion, on the heads of the Irifh Protestants; by styling them Colonists, and representing that their late partial rejection of an Incorporating Union with Great Britain was a plain proof, that they intended to follow the example of the American colonists, and break off all connexion with Great Britain. Another and his principal purpofe was, to justify the Irish rebellions and massacres, and to induce the British nation to believe, that they were only the ftruggles of the oppreffed natives of Ireland, to free themfelves from the tyranny of a handful of colonists, wrongful intruders on their foil and property, and rapacious plunderers;

plunderers; and thereby to induce Great Britain to join them in crushing fo flagitious, fo contemptible, and fo feeble a band of monopolist; who, though unable to maintain their ill-gotten possessing against the natives, the right owners, without the affistance of Great Britain, yet had the audacity to reject an Incorporating Union. The Writer knew that the British nation could not be led into a co-operation with Irish Romanists, in fo wicked a scheme as the destruction of the Protestants of Ireland, but by artifice and cunning: he hoped to make such a scheme palatable, and to veil it from the eyes of the British nation at large, by the substitution of the words Natives and Colonists, in the place of Papists and Protestants.

That he is himfelf an Irith Romanist is notorious from many paffages in his pamphlet, though he endeavours, awkwardly, to conceal it; as fome of his countrymen in conversation attempt, ludicroufly enough, to pass themfelves for natives of Britain, by an affected imitation of English provincial tones, and muzzling the Irish brogue. And one of his main purpofes is, to excite the Irifh Romanifts to a new rebellion and maffacre, by defcribing the Irish Protestants as a handful of colonists, outcasts from their own country, and desperate adventurers, the proper objects of the vengeance of the natives; and this purpofe he fo little conceals, that he has in feveral paffages reprefented, in express terms, the propensity of Irish Romanists to revenge, that is, to rebellion, as very justifiable. If the British nation could be induced by fuch base arts to concur in the destruction of the Irish Protestants (which could be effected by the power of Britain confederated with the mafs of Irish Romanists), this Writer well knew, that the fure foundation of British influence and power

in

in Ireland would be uprooted; and, as he well knew the irreconcilable hoftility of the Irifh Romanifts to a Proteftant Britifh Government, he was convinced that fuch an event as the deftruction of the Irifh Proteftants would be immediately followed by an attempt of the Irifh Romanifts to feparate themfelves, with the affiftance of France (whofe politics he fraudulently pretends to reprobate), from Great Britain, and to eftablifh an independent Romifh Republic in Ireland; and I have already fhown that this is the avowed intention of the whole Romifh party in that kingdom. Such is the fraud of diftinguifhing the inhabitants of Ireland into Colonifts and Natives, rather than into Proteftants and Romanifts! I will now fhow the falfehood and abfurdity of the diftinction.

Geraldus Cambrenfis, otherwife Gerald Barry (who was, in the reign of King Henry the Second, Bifhop of St. David's in Wales, Historiographer and Secretary to Henry, a man, for that age, of great learning, and the perfon whom he fent into Ireland with his fon John, when he created him King of Ireland), Roger Hoveden, Matthew Paris, and all the ancient English historians, agree in giving the following account of the acquifition of Ireland by the English Monarchs: In the reign of Henry the Second, Ireland was divided into certain fuffragan kingdoms (if I may fo call them), fubject to one Monarch, as principal King or Emperor, to whom the other Kings paid much the fame homage as the German Electors at prefent to his Imperial Majefty. Inteffine wars drove one of these petty Kings from his own country : he fled into England, and implored the affiftance of Henry to enable him to regain his territories. Henry,

Henry, after fending some adventurers before him to fmooth the way, went into Ireland at the head of a confiderable army, in the year 1172, above fix hundred years age. The Irifh nation, worried by continual inteffine war, univerfally received him as a deliverer. All the Reguli and Chiefs of the nation, with the chief Monarch himfelf, threw their crowns at his feet : they, with the whole body of the Bithops and Clergy, elected him King or Lord of the whole itland, and fwore allegiance to him. He accepted the dominion, and agreed with them, that they (bould enjoy the like liberties and intmunities, and be governed by the fame mild laws, both civil and ecclefiastical, as the people of England. Henry afterwards, in the twenty-fifth year of his reign, created his fon John, under the ftyle and title of Lord of Ireland, King of that country; for he thereby enjoyed all manner of kingly jurifdiction, pre-eminence, and authority. Richard the First, eldest brother of John, afterwards died without iffue, on which event John became King of England, and the fovereignty of the two nations became again vefted in the fame perfon. Henry the Third, fon of John, in November 1216, gave a Magna Charta to Ireland, word for word the fame as that which he eight years afterwards granted to his kingdom of England, fave the neceffary alterations in the names of places. By the Irifh Statute of the 33d of Henry the Eighth, chap. 1, the King's flyle of Lord of Ireland was changed to that of King, because, as the preamble recites, ' the . King, under the flyle and title of Lord of Ireland, enjoyed · all manner of kingly jurifdiction, pre-eminence, and au. * thority in Ireland, belonging to the imperial state and ma-' jefty of a King;' and fo the King's ftyle has remained ever fince. Thus it is plain that all the inhabitants of Ireland, LZ

Ireland, whether aboriginals, or of Englifh race, defeended from anceftors who from time to time, fince that kingdom was annexed to the Englifh Crown, fettled in Ireland, are equally the King's fubjects, and equally entitled to every benefit of the Britifh Conflictution, except fuch of them as render themfelves liable to particular reftraints, by profeffing doctrines inimical to the State.

Mr. Molyneux, in his celebrated ' State of Ireland,' has the following obfervation on this abfurd polition, that Ireland is to be confidered as a British Colony: . The laft thing I shall take notice of, that fome raife " against us, is, that Ireland is to be looked upon only as a colony from England; and therefore as the Roman · colonies were fubject to and bound by the laws made · by the Senate at Rome, fo ought Ireland by those made · by the great Council at Westminster. Of all the ob-· jections raifed against us, I take this to be the most ex-· travagant : it feems not to have the least foundation or colour from reafon or record. Does it not manifeftly * appear by the Conflitution of Ireland, that it is a com-· plete kingdom within itfelf? Do not the Kings of · England bear the ftyle of Ireland amongst the reft of " their kingdoms? Is this agreeable to the nature of " a colony ? Do they use the title of Kings of Virginia, " New England, or Maryland? Was not Ireland given + by Henry the Second, in a Parliament at Oxford, to . his fon John, and made thereby an abfolute kingdom, feparate and wholly independent on England, till they · both came united again in him, after the death of his " brother Richard without iffue? Have not multitudes 5 of Acts of Parliament, both in England and Ireland, declared declared Ireland a complete kingdom ? Is not Ireland
ftyled in them all, the Kingdom or Realm of Ireland?
Do thefe names agree to a colony? Have we not a
Parliament and Courts of Judicature? Do thefe things
agree with a colony? This, on all hands, involves fo
many abfurdities, that I think it deferves nothing more
of our confideration.' See Molyneux's State of Ireland, printed by Long, Dublin, 1749. P. 52, 53.

Since I have quoted this celebrated tract, I hope I shall be excufed for a fhort digreffion here, to fhow by another quotation from it, that Mr. Molyneux, the famous champion for the independence of the Irifh Legislature on that of England, was notwithstanding a firm friend to an Incorporating Union of the two Kingdoms: In page 37 he has the following passage, which is fraudulently omitted in a fubsequent edition printed in 1782: · If, from these last-mentioned records, it be concluded ' that the Parliament of England may bind Ireland, it " must also be allowed that the people of Ireland ought • to have their Reprefentatives in the Parliament of Eng-1 land; and this I believe we would be willing enough ' to embrace, but this is a happines we can hardly hope for.' How fmall the hopes of the Irifh nation at the time Mr. Molyneux wrote, were, of their being admitted to the benefits clearly refulting from an Incorporating Union. may be conjectured from what happened foon after the acceffion of Queen Anne. The Irish House of Peers at that time petitioned the Crown to promote fuch an Incorporating Union; but the English Ministers scornfully rejected the application. Thank Heaven! found fenfe and reafon have fince triumphed over fuch abfurd prejudices,

To

To return from my digreffion. I truft I have clearly proved, that the Irifh nation neither is, nor can be confidered as, a Britifh colony; and I have before, in accounting for the fanguinary difpolition of Irifh Romanifts to their Proteftant fellow-fubjects, flown, that no fuch diffinction as that of Native and Colonift does or can fubfift between the prefent inhabitants of Ireland; and that the only general diffinction between them is, that of Proteftant and Romanift,—a diffinction, which I hope will be extinguifhed only by the convertion of fo large a portion of our population to the Proteftant Faith. I fhall therefore, in the following remarks on this abominable libel, and in extracting and expelling the virus of it, fubfitute the words *Proteftant* and *Romani/t* for the words *Coloni/t* and *Native*.

The Author, in the first place, states, that the Irish Protestants, when compared with the Romanists, are but a handful. I have already exposed the fallity of this flatement, both as to number and property. (See Appendix, No. 1.) He afferts that the Irifh Parliament (called by him meeringly the Parliament of Dublin) is not the Reprefentative of the Nation, but of this handful of Protestants. Irish Romanists were enabled, by the Act of 1793, already mentioned, to vote at the elections of Members of Parliament, and were admitted to the exercife of that franchife at the election of the prefent Irifh Commons. By the British Constitution, the election of the Commons is made by the people in proportion to their property, not their numbers. I have already fhown, that of the property of the nation, thirty-nine parts out of forty are in the hands of Irifh Protestants; fo that if the Act of 1793 had not passed, and if the prefent;

present Commons had been elected by the Protestants alone, it would be a falfehood to flate, that they were not the legitimate Reprefentatives of the People: they would be the Reprefentatives of thirty-nine parts out of forty of the People, reckoned by their property; the remaining fortieth part of the property being in the hands of perfons difgualified from voting by the laws of the Society, founded in wildom and justice. But even that fortieth part voted on the election of the prefent Representatives. In fact, the Commons of Ireland are elected by a much greater proportion of the property of the Irish nation, than the Commons of Great Britain by that of the property of the British nation, the relative wealth and population of the two nations duly confidered: fo that this Author's affertion, that the prefent Irifh Commons are not the Reprefentatives of the Nation in general, but of a handful of Protestants, is as false as most of his other affertions.

Further to difgrace and vilify the Irifh Parliament, and to excite and provoke Irifh Romanifts to rebellion and murder, and with no other possible defign, he states, that the Irish House of Commons is elected by Englishmen. and composed of Englishmen, to the exclusion of the ancient occupants of the foil. Here, notwithstanding his flimfy difguife, the whole traitorous Irith Romanist bursts forth in full deformity! It can hardly be alledged that fuch an affertion was intended to provoke the enmity of the English Nation against the Irish Parliament. No, no: it is the true genuine fentiment of all Irith Romanist, who call all Protestants in their language Safonaghs, that is, Englishmen ; the Irish Parliament are Protestants, and therefore, in their vocabulary, Englishmen. It is the Romifh

Romifh war-whoop in Ireland against their Protestant countrymen: it marks them out for flaughter, as the cry of Mad dog! is the fignal for the destruction of the canine species. It is the very fame fentiment contained in the Letter of Theobald Wolfe Tone, Founder of the Society of United Irishmen, and the celebrated Agent of the Irish Romanist, to his affociated Confpirators in Belfast, in the year 1791. It is as follows: 'We have no na-' tional Government: we are ruled by Englishmen, and the ' fervants of Englishmen, filled, as to commerce and po-' litics, with the short-fighted and ignorant prejudices of ' their country.' (See Appendix, No. 2, to the Report of the Secret Committee of the Irish House of Commons, 1798.)

This abufe and degradation of the Irifh Parliament militate directly against the pretended fcope of the pamphlet, which is, to induce the Irifh nation to agree to an Incorporating Union with Great Britain, and which can be accomplished in a lawful peaceable way, not otherwise than by the concurrence of the Parliaments of the two countries in the measure; and this Writer not only infinuates, but openly afferts and proclaims, that the Irith Parliament is not the Representative of the Irith Nation, that is, is not a Parliament, and is therefore incompetent to contract or agree for the Irifh Nation, Here then his mask falls entirely off, and his true purpose appears, which is, to inflame the Irifh Romanists to rife up against and deftroy a band of English Usurpers, pretending to be their Reprefentatives, and actually affuming the government of the country. He calls this band, in many places, Robbers and Plunderers; and tells the Irifh Romanists; that the weakness of this band makes them iealous

jealous and afraid of them; and that they cannot expect to be emancipated or trufted by fuch Ufurpers, whole force they defpife; and that the Irifh Proteftants have a trembling dependance on Great Britain for a daily and precarious exiftence.

In the next place, he takes care to inculcate the doctrine of the bafenels and corruption of this band of English Usurpers, the Irish Commons: he states, with his accustomed difregard, and even contempt of truth, that out of the whole number, being three hundred, there are one hundred and fixteen Placemen, and feventy Afpirants of the Law. The number of practifing Barrifters in the Houfe of Commons does not amount to more than thirty-five, including all the Law Officers of the Crown, and many of these are Placemen; and there are not more Placemen, in proportion to their numbers, in the Irifh Houfe of Commons than in the British. In my 'Answer ' to Mr. Grattan's Address to the Citizens of Dublin,' I have fully difcuffed the queffion, whether the conferring places of truft and emolument on Members of the Houfe of Commons by the Crown, be an improper on unconstitutional exercife of the prerogative; and I truft I have proved that it is not : for I have fhown, first, that the Crown cannot felect perfons to fill places of truft out of any other body with fo much propriety; nay more, that the Crown is under the neceffity of employing Members of the Houfe of Commons as its fervants, in executing the public bufinefs of the nation, in preference to the members of any other body: and, in the next place, I have flown, that the influence of the Crown in the Houfe of Commons, derived from the patronage of fuch places, is a conflitutional influence; that the frame of OUX

our Government could not fubfift without it; and that, in many cafes of political economy, theory must bend a little to practice. But it is worth observing, how inconfistent this Author's accusation of corruption against the Irith Houfe of Commons is, with that part of its conduct which he pretends has raifed all his indignation against it; that is, its rejection of the proposal on the part of Great Britain of an Incorporating Union of the two nations, notwithstanding this propofal was supported in the Irifh Houfe of Commons by the whole weight and influence of the Administration, and by feveral honeft and able Senators, who were not Placemen; yet it was rejected. It was supported by one hundred and eight Members only. What became then of the one hundred and fixteen Placemen? It is plain that the alledged corruption of the Members of that Houfe, by the difpolition of places among them, had not the effect of warping them to vote contrary to their opinions. In truth, the measure was too precipitately urged ; due time was not afforded for the cool and ferious confideration of the great and fubftantial merit of fo important a project; it was very improvidently fought to be carried by a coup de main: but I truft and firmly expect that the measure will meet with a very different reception in the enfining feffion; and that time and reflection, and the good fenfe of the Commons, though indignant at the unjust accusation of corruption, will enfure its fuccefs.

The author's next abufe of the Irifh Proteftants, as grantees of Cromwell and William the Third, as the children of their foldiers and the heirs of their rapacity, again betrays the Irifh Romanift, whofe heart is goaded by the ceitrum of revenge. As the first effusion of his rancour, rancour, he places our illustrious Deliverer, in part the Founder, or at least the Restorer, of our prefent glorious Constitution, in the fame rank with the defperate Regicide. Next he vents his abuse on all Irish Protestants, flating them to be the children of the foldiers of thefe two Commanders, and the heirs of their rapacity. He reprobates all the forfeitures and confifcations of the Irifh Traitors, which took place after the fuppreffion of two Irifh Rebellions; the one in the reign of King Charles the First, the other in that of William and Mary. Thefe forfeitures and confifcations are the conftant themes of abufive Romish declamation in Ireland. The whole party load the memory of Cromwell, as well as of King William, with every fpecies of vituperation : this fnarling cur, therefore, only runs on the trail of his growling precurfors, and joins in the conftant cry of his own pack, when he opens against the Regicide and the Monarch; but it is not improper to examine the grounds of his acrimonious complaints.

The Englifh Monarchs, fucceffors of Henry the Second, inherited great dominions in France. The maintenance of their power on the continent engroffed their attention, drained their treafures, and found conftant employment for their armies. Hence the Government of Ireland, till the reign of Elizabeth, was much neglected. The Irifh natives, fcared at the dawn of civilization, preferred the gloom of their own forefts and moraffes to the funfhine of cultivation and improvement. The great Englifh Lords, who became entitled to vaft tracts of land in Ireland, fuch as the Earl of Chepftow, otherwife Strongbow, who, by marriage with the only daughter and child of the provincial

vincial King of Leinster, acquired vast possessions in that province, did not take the proper care to improve the country. They brought over with them to Ireland many of their friends and vaffals: they by degrees mixed with the native Irifh, and adopted their barbarous cuftoms. When the great civil war broke out in England between the Houfes of York and Lancaster, most of the chiefs of the great English families in Ireland joined the contending parties, and went over to England with their vaffals and retainers. The barbarous Irifh joined the degenerate English mixed with them, took the advantage of the weaknefs of the Government, the natural effect of the migration of its supporters: they rebelled, and feized on nearly three fourths of the kingdom, which continued in a lawlefs barbarous flate till the accession of Queen Elizabeth. That great Princefs, after a long and expenfive war, reduced to obedience all the Irifh Rebels, but died before the could reap the harvest of her victories. Her fucceffor, James the First, laboured with great activity and zeal on the fettlement and civilization of the whole kingdom. He divided the lands forfeited by Rebellion, in fome places into three parts; two of which parts he distributed among the ancient posseffors, whether native Irith or degenerate English; the remaining third he bestowed on new fettlers from Scotland and England. In other places, he distributed half of these lands to the old poffessors, the other half to new fettlers. He divided fuch parts of the kingdom as had, in the manner before mentioned, been feized on and occupied by the natives and degenerate English, into counties. In these new, or rather revived counties, he erected towns and created boroughs: he inftituted a regular Parliament, the Members of the Houfe of Commons of which were elected

by

by the old poffeffors and the new fettlers without diftinction, in proportion to their properties, throughout the whole nation. Romanifts and Protestants fat indifcriminately in the Houfes of Lords and Commons. None were excluded by any Teft Oaths whatfoever: the only oath required was the Oath of Allegiance. Every meafure which human wifdom could devife was purfued, as well in the reign of James as in that of his fucceffor Charles, to civilize and improve the country. The inhabitants, without diffinction, were invited to all the comforts and all the benefits of civilization and a wellregulated Government. The nation affumed a different appearance from that it had worn for a feries of ages; and a complete fusion of all descriptions of Irish inhabitants would have been then effected with rapidity, were it not for the unhappy differences on the fcore of Religion. The intolerant, unfocial doctrines of Popery, irreconcilable to the Protestant institutions, had taken deep root in the minds of the majority of the inhabitants; and from thence fprung the moft barbarous and most unprovoked Rebellion of Irish Romanists recorded in hiftory. This Rebellion, which broke out in the year 1641, cannot be palliated, as an Infurrection of oppressed Natives against usurping Colonists : for the best disciplined, best provided, and most numerous army of the Infurgents, was composed of the inhabitants of the English Pale; a part of Ireland which was peopled by English fettlers, who for ages before had continued faithful to the English Crown, and till that period had never intermixed by marriage, or any fort of connexion, with the native Irifh. It was commanded by General Preston, brother of the then Lord Gormanstown. It was an avowed Rebellion of Irifh Romanifts, undertaken by

by them for the purpose of extirpating Irish Protestants of all defcriptions, and fevering themfelves from England, at that time diffracted by civil commotions. The Rebels in Munfter were commanded by Lord Mountgarret, and other Noblemen and Gentlemen, all, or most of them, of the old English race. During the first year of this Rebellion, the Rebels murdered, at the loweft calculation, near forty thousand Irish Protestants, men, women, and children, in cold blood : many of them they put to death by the most excruciating tortures. Such of the Protestants as escaped from the first explosion of the Rebellion, which burft fuddenly and unexpectedly like a thunder-ftorm on their heads, flew to arms; and for a fpace of ten years and upwards, with very little affiftance from England, maintained a cruel and deftructive, though unequal war, with the Rebels, and protected the furviving Loyalty of the nation, as well from the Republican Fanatics of that age, as from these Romifly Traitors, who frequently, in the courfe of the Rebellion, joined the Republicans, and invariably adopted fuch measures as they thought would most conduce to their ends,-the Eftablifhiment of Popery, the Extirpation of Protestants, and the Separation of Ireland from the Britifh Crown. At one period of this Rebellion, the chief Traitors propofed a treaty with the then Marquis, afterwards Duke of Ormond, the King's Lieutenant in Ireland; to which propofal he was obliged, by the neceffity of His Majesty's affairs, to accede. The treaty was concluded, and the Marquis, with a part of the Royal Army, was inveigled by the Rebels to Kilkenny, the place of meeting of what they ftyled the General Affembly of the Catholics of Ireland, much of the fame nature with the late Romish Convention which affembled

2

in

in Dublin. The Rebels most perfidiously, at the instance of the Pope's Nuncio, broke the treaty; and two of their armies, commanded by Generals Prefton and O'Neil, marched to furprife the Marquis, to intercept him in his retreat to Dublin, and to cut off his troops. They were very near fucceeding in their enterprife, the Marquis escaping with great difficulty. In fhort, they contributed full as much as the Scotch Covenanters, to the final fuccefs of the Republicans in England, and the fubverfion of the Monarchy. But the Almighty faw their wickednefs and perfidy, and punished them by the hands of their own affociates in rebellion and murder. The English Republicans, having made themfelves mafters of England, fent over an army under Cromwell to reduce them to obedience, not to their lawful Sovereign, but to the newly-erected English Republic; and to revenge the blood of the Protestants of Ireland fo inhumanly fpilled by them. In one fhort campaign he completely routed and disperfed their murdering, dastardly bands. Such of them as efcaped the fword, he drove out of the nation or hanged, parcelled out their landed property, jufly forfeited by their Rebellion, among the furviving Irish Protestants, who had been robbed by them; his own officers and foldiers, in lieu of their pay; and men who had fubscribed large fums of money to defray the expenses of his expedition, who were flyled Adventurers. On the reftoration of Monarchy, this division of the lands to justly forfeited to the Crown was revifed, and its justice and propriety stristly examined and inquired into, by Commissioners duly appointed for that purpole. In every cafe in which it appeared that the lands of an innocent perfon were comprized in the division, they were reftored to him or his heir; and the perfon

perfon in confequence difpoffeffed was awarded a compenfation, called a Reprifal; and the titles of all to the lands juftly forfeited were established and confirmed by two Acts of the Irish Parliament, entitled, the Acts of Settlement and Explanation.

The complaint of this Romish Writer of the confiscations by King William is still more unjust. The Irish Romanists, after the accession of William and Mary, rofe in rebellion in-a mafs. Their views were the very fame as in the Rebellion of 1641,-the establishment of Popery, and Separation from England. Their apparent attachment to King James the Second arofe from interefted views : they hoped by his means to procure powerful affiftance from the Court of France, and they confidered him only as an engine auxiliary to their real defigns. When that Rebellion was finally suppressed by the furrender of Limerick in the year 1691, one of the conditions flipulated on behalf of the Romanifts was, that fuch of them as chose to remain in their own country, rather than repair to France and enter into the fervice of that hostile nation, should, on their taking the Oath of Allegiance to King William and Queen Mary, preferve their eftates difcharged of forfeiture and confifcation on account of their rebellion. A few of them chofe to flay at home, and they preferved their eftates: the reft went to France, and thereby voluntarily fubmitted their estates to forfeiture; which estates were afterwards partly fold for the public benefit, and partly granted by the Crown to perfons who had loyally ferved it in fuch critical times. The complaints, therefore, of this Romifh Writer, of the forfeitures and confifcations in the times of Cromwell and King William, amount to this :

this; that the confifcation and forfeiture of the property of Rebels, the most fanguinary and barbarous whole crimes ever blotted and defaced the page of hiftory, are unjust; amount to robbery and plunder, exercised by rapacious usurpers, though warranted by the known law of the land in all ages; and confequently that the law of the land warrants and patronizes robbery, plunder, and rapacity; and that all loyal fubjects are robbers and plunderers. And in conformity with this doctrine, he proceeds to reprobate all punifhment of the late Irifh Rebels by confifcation and forfeiture.

This Romish Writer afferts, that Irish Protestants have a trembling dependance on the English nation for their existence; and dwells on their weakness, timidity, and cruelty, and on the irrefiftible ftrength, numbers, and courage of the prefent race of Irifh Romanists, with exultation and delight; intimating, that if the English nation will remain neuter, the Irifh Romanists will instantly extirpate the Irith Protestants, who at prefent, by the aid of England, keep them in chains. It is therefore proper to examine whether Irifh Romanists be in a flate of oppreffion and flavery, and kept in chains by their Protestant countrymen. By the laws of Ireland at prefent, the Romanists enjoy more real political liberty, and better fecurity for their lives and properties, than the most favoured subjects of any foreign State in the known world. They elect their Representatives in Parliament; in which Parliament, together with the King, the fupreme authority of the State is yested; they have the benefit of a conflitutional Jury to try all questions which affect their lives or properties; they enjoy the benefit of the Habeas Corpus Act as much as Protestants; they have

(164)

have a fhare in the Magistracy; they are Grand Jurors; they can acquire and difpofe of their properties, real and perfonal, as freely as Protestants; they enjoy a complete toleration in Religion; the Law and the Army are open to them; and they are on a perfect equality with all His Majesty's other subjects, except that their own refufal to take the Teft Oaths excludes them from feats in Parliament, and from about thirty of the great offices of the State. (See all the prefent incapacities of Irith Romanists, particularly specified in the 9th section of the Act of the 33d year of His prefent Majefty, entitled, 'An Act for the Relief of His Majesty's Popifli or Roman Catholic Subjects of Ireland.' Appendix, No. 2.) Such are the chains and fetters in which their Protestant countrymen bind Irish Romanists! and such is the flavery fo pathetically deplored by this Writer, and others of his perfuation !

The firength and puiffance of Irith Romanists have been put to the trial in their late Rebellion. They were vanquished and completely subdued by the Protestant power of the nation, without any affiftance from England, in the courfe of about fix weeks. The Marquis Cornwallis, as before observed, had no part of the merit of queiling the Rebellion: it was effectually cruthed by the measures taken by his predecessor, before he had time in any manner to interfere. It is true, fome part of the routed Rebels took fhelter, after his arrival in Ireland, in the mountains of Wicklow, and from thence made incurfions, in detached gangs of banditti, into the counties of Kildare and Meath; but they were hunted like wild beafts by the Protestant Yeomanry, and would have been all flain or hanged, were it not for the merciful 2. interpolition

interpolition of the Marquis. Immediately after his arrival in Ireland, he published a Proclamation of Indemnity to fuch of these Rebels as would fubinit, and furrender their arms. His Generals reftrained the efforts of the Yeomanry, incenfed against the Rebels for the flaughter of their relations and friends, and the wafte of their property. At the time this Proclamation was iffued, the Rebellion, as to any effects to be dreaded from it of important damage to the nation in general, was crushed; and the routed Traitors, hopelefs, without refource or means of efficient refiftance, juftly expected the punifhment due to their horrible crimes; which the Marquis, following the dictates (as I fuppofe) of his own clemency, or perhaps by orders from England, remitted.

Two of his Generals were employed to fupprefs the only confiderable body of this fcattered Banditti, which remained together in the mountains of Wicklow: they were mostly Murderers, Robbers, and Desperadoes, hopelefs of pardon; fome of them Deferters from different Regiments of Militia. A Gentleman of the county conducted these Generals and their forces to a certain part of the mountains, the rendezvous of thefe affaffins, where they might have deftroyed the whole gang, amounting to about fix hundred; but thefe Commanders declined to attack them, declaring they were unwilling to fhed the blood of the poor zuretches. They therefore thought it prudent to coax them into fubmiffion; for which purpole they difpatched two Romish Priefts to them, loaded with the Proclamations of Indemnity ;they collected as many country Girls as they could procure; they hired a number of Irith Pipers; they provided hogheads of whifkey, fet the Pipers playing, and. the

M 3

the Girls dancing, which fo delighted the Murderers, that many of them came in, gave up their pikes, partook of the feftivity, and departed with plenty of whifkey in their ftomachs, and protections in their pockets. The clemency of the Marquis and his Generals was celebrated in all the Romifh Newspapers of the city of Dublin; his praifes were wafted to London; the Courier, the Morning Chronicle, &c. re-echoed them; the whole Oppofition in England from top to bottom,

From flashing Bentleys down to piddling Tibbalds,

rung the changes on his liberality, his mercy, his clemency, his wildom, &c.: and well they might; for the Marquis arrived in Ireland in the very nick of time, to refcue their party there from final extinction. In fhort, in respect to the Irish Rebels, the conduct of the Marquis has been marked with conceffion, conciliation, and pardon, not with warlike hoftility; for expiring Rebellion did not demand the exertion of his military talents, and he difdained to trample upon proftrate Traitors, It is my fervent wifh, my conftant prayer, that the mercy thus extended to these Romish Insurgents may incline their hearts to peace and loyalty, and make them understand, that they live under and are protected by a Government the mildest upon earth, which wishes not to inflict the punishments due to their crimes, but rather that they should repent, and enjoy the comforts of peace and fecurity under the protection of laws, to which all the members of the community are equally fubject.

The Marquis Cornwallis certainly merits the tribute of just praife from all the loyal fubjects of His Majesty in Ireland, for the powerful exertions of his military skills

skill, in putting the kingdom into the most complete itate of defence against the invasion of the foreign enemy, with which it is threatened. Every branch of military fervice has been attended to by him with the utmost care and zeal: the troops have been stationed fo judiciously in cantonments, that a strong body can be affembled with great expedition in any part of the kingdom, against which the Enemy may point his attack ; the Cavalry, Infantry, and Artillery, Regulars and Militia, are perfectly well equipped and provided, and the Yeomanry well armed and trained, and ready for action at the fhortest notice; the whole nation wears a military afpect, and its force is fo combined and regulated by the skilful arrangements of the Marquis, that it may bid defiance to an infinitely more formidable force than its foreign enemies are able to bring against it; and internal tranquillity is re-established, at least for the present.

The weakness and timidity of the Irish Protestants are the next themes of this Romifh Declaimer; according to him, their weaknefs makes them timid, their timidity cruel. Of the vaunts of the strength, numbers, and wealth of Irish Romanists I have already exposed the falsity; as well by the event of the late Rebellion, as by a fair calculation of their real numbers and wealth. The fame arguments and calculation prove the real ftrength. numbers, and wealth of Irith Protestants. I trust that in the late Rebellion, as well as on all former occasions, Irifh Protestants have plainly disproved the charge of timidity. The cruelty this Author charges upon them, is, that they cruelly refufed to grant, what he calls Emancipation, to their Romish fellow-subjects : that is, it is a great

M 4

a great cruelty in Irish Protestants to refuse to deliver the Sovereignty of the State into the hands of Irifh Romanists; and this cruelty arises from cowardice; for Irifh Protestants are afraid to do fo. If fuch be cruelty and cowardice, I hope fuch will for ever be imputable to Irith Protestants : may they always be afraid to deliver the State into the power of its enemies! and may they always cruelly refuse to betray the Conflitution ! it is the fame cruelty and cowardice a man is guilty of, who tells a gang of robbers, ' Do not attempt to come into my houfe, for if you make fuch attempt, I and " my fervants will refift, and perhaps kill you; I will " not truft you in my houfe, keep at the outfide.' Such a man certainly is afraid to let them into his house, and threatens to kill them if they attempt to force an entrance : is he therefore guilty of cowardice and cruelty?

But one argument remains, demonstrative of the real ftrength of Irith Protestants: they have raifed during this war, in which their connexion with Great Britain has involved them, immenfe fums for the fervice of the Empire in general, and for the profecution of the war. That Irifh Parliament, which this Writer vilifies and traduces, as the Representatives of a handful of Protestants and Englishmen, has raifed in this year feven millions flerling for the public fervice, though the nation was in that year weakened by the Rebellion of Irifh Romanists; of which great fum one part out of forty only was, or could be paid by Irith Romanifts: fome part of that money, it is true, has been borrowed in England, but on the credit and fecurity of the Irifh Funds; both principal and interest are to be paid by Ireland. All the English Militia, who, on the breaking out

out of the Rebellion, fo gallantly volunteered for the affistance of their brethren the Protestants of Ireland, as well against their foreign as domestic enemies, were paid. after they arrived in Ireland, out of the Irith Treafury and Irith Funds. Are the Irith Protestants then fo weak, as to be the objects of derifion and contempt to this Romifh Writer and his affociates? And is Ireland only a diversion of the power and force, an arsenal of attack and injury, and a devouring gulf of the blood and refources of England in the present war, as this Romith Writer afferts? The revenues of Pruffia, one of the most potent European States, do not amount yearly to fix millions fterling. Ireland, by the efforts of her Protestant Parliament and population, has contributed feven millions in the laft year, and in the preceding year, five millions, to the fupport of the war, and has not coft Great Britain a fixpence for her defence. It is true the British Fleet and British Militia have flown to her fupport, when threatened with invalion by the common enemy : but Ireland has paid the British Militia for their affiftance. And has not Great Britain employed her fleets and armies for the fupport of her allies in the prefent war, and even paid the troops of her allies for fighting in their own defence? And is the not bound to give greater affistance to Ireland, a part of the Britifh Empire, to defend her against the common enemy, than to foreign nations her allies? This Writer fays, it would be better for Great Britain, that Ireland were blotted from the lift of nations, and funk in the fea, than that the thould remain a diversion of her arms in the prefent war. May not the fame be faid with equal justice of any part of Great Britain itself, if threatened with an attack by the enemy; of Yorkfhire, of Scotland, for inftance?

inftance? Ireland is in fact as much a part of the British European Empire, as either of the countries mentioned, and her subjugation by the enemy would be as injurious to that Empire, and so would her loss, by immersion in the ocean.

The complaints of this Libeller, of a criminal neglect of the natives of Ireland by the English nation, and that the barbarity of thefe natives is juftly to be attributed to this neglect, are utterly unfounded: ever fince the commencement of the reign of James the First, as long as the diffinction of Native and Colonist, or rather New Settler, remained among the inhabitants of Ireland, the attention of the English Monarchs, and their Minifters in Ireland, was uniformly directed to the encouragement and civilization of the natives; and to the abolition of all diffinctions between the inhabitants of the country. Their benevolent intentions have been counteracted only, by the intolerant spirit of Popery, inceffantly operating as an effectual barrier against harmony and union, as I have already proved.

This Author's praifes of the Romifh titular Bifhops in Ireland, of their learning, humanity, and piety; of all which accomplifhments, I prefume he means to offer Huffey, the titular Bifhop of Waterford, as a fhining example; his advice to them, to unfurl their Sacred Banners; his fuggeftion of the doctrine of Impreferiptibility, of which he hints a feeble difapprobation only, according to which Irifh Romanifts, or, as he calls them, Natives, have a right to the peffeffion of all the lands of the nation, which no time, no length of peffeffion can alienate; and his frequent introduction of the eternal principles of of *revenge* of the Irish Romanists against Irish Protestants; all, all proclaim him an inveterate Irish Romanist; and his folly as well as impudence in attempting to assume the mask of an Englishman.

I fhall now clofe my obfervations on this anonymous flanderer and his libel, with the fum of his arguments, to induce the inhabitants of Ireland to confent to an Union with Great Britain; from which it will clearly appear, that his real defign was directly contrary to his profeffed one; and was to promote the feparation of Ireland from Great Britain, by ftimulating the Irifh Romanifts to a Rebellion; and by fowing the feeds of diffention between the Englifh and Irifh Protestants; and thereby depriving the latter of all affiftance from Great Britain, if not enfuring its hoftility againft them.

His argument to the Irifh Protestants to induce them to confent to an Union, is as follows : 'You, the Irifh · Protestants, are the basest, most tyrannical, most · cowardly, most cruel race of mortals on the earth; ' you are as weak as you are cowardly : we, the English · Proteftants, confider you in this light ; we deteft your crimes; you are murderers and robbers, you cannot exift but by our favour and protection. Give yourfelves up directly into our hands without referve ; if vou do not, the defcendants of those you have murf dered and robbed will quickly deftroy you : notwithfanding you are fo infamous a race, and that we have · fo thorough a contempt for you, we will confer on you a perfect equality with ourfelves; and we, the f braveft, the richeft, and the most honourable people on the earth, will affociate with you on perfect terms < of

(172)

* of equality. Robbers, murderers, and daftardly
* wretches as you are, you fhall become our companions
* and our friends; we will treat you exactly as brethren;
* you fhall fhare all our advantages and all our fortunes.
In thost, the means by which he proposes to reconcile
In thost, the means by which he proposes to reconcile
In the character of an Union with Great Britain, are,
in the character of abuse, flander, and calumny, and
brand them with every crime which can debase humanity.
Very conciliating means truly !

His arguments to induce Irifh Romanifts to agree to an Union with Great Britain are : 'You, the natives of · Ireland, have been bafely and wickedly tyrannized over by the English nation for fix centuries past, as your · confidential agent Mr. Tone has already told you. The · English have robbed you of your lands, they have reduced " you to a flate of barbarous flavery; they govern you at • prefent by a gang of corrupt fub-tyrants, whom they ftyle " a Parliament, cleEled by Englishmen, and composed of Eng-· liftmen, to the exclusion of you the ancient occupants of the foil ? * thefe fub-tyrants are the Representatives of a handful only of Englishmen, their fellow-tyrants in your coun-• try of Ireland : you are fully able to deftroy them, for • they are weak and timid. You are justly entitled to all " the lands now possessed by them, for no length of time can " warrant their retention of them. You have endured fix · centuries of calamity, of fruitless firuggles and tenacious op-· preffion. Great Britain, employed fully in the prefent " war with France, is unable to protect her colony of mur-" derers and robbers. Now is your time for thaking off the . English yoke. You have revenge to gratify, and the · recovery of your property will be your reward : the de-· struction

firuction of the English invaders will be the certain con-⁴ fequence of your attempt to fhake off your chains. 1 · lately heard a propofal made in the British Parliament ut-* terly to extirpate you ! not to leave a Rohilla (that is, a · Tribe) of you living ! The English are Heretics, with " whom it would be impious for you, by the principles of ' your holy Religion, to affociate, or fraternize. Your " Bifhops are men of the greateft piety, humanity, and · learning, particularly the Right Reverend Father Huffey, ' your Bilhop of Waterford : you have all read his pious · Palloral Letter, fully flating your oppreffion by a handful · of Heretics, not a tenth, nay not an hundredth part of you ' in number. Unfurl your facred banners, as your ' brethren the late suppressed Irish martyrs did; they ' marched under facred green banners, with a white crofs • and reverfed crown floating in the midft : you ought to " have all joined in that holy war, though you did not, from an ill-grounded timidity; confequently the Here-* tics were victorious. Yet I advise you to forget your s revenge, to abandon all thoughts of recovering your lands, and to give yourfelves up into the hands of the English · Heretics by agreeing to an Incorporating Union with " Great Britain !"

How far fuch arguments are likely to fucceed with Irifh Romanifts in promoting an Union; and how far the Author intended they fhould fucceed, I leave to the judgment of the reader.

I thall now proceed, purfuant to my original purpofe, to make a few thort remarks on fome pamphlets which have been publithed in England, on the fubject of an Incorporating Union, as the fubftance of Speeches made in (174)

in both Houfes of the British Parliament, by men of great rank, and in high offices in Britain. My remarks shall be confined to fuch parts of their Speeches, as relate to the two great claffes of Irith population, to wit, those of Protestants and Romanists.

Obfervaentitled, The Speech of Lord Mino, in the House of Lords, April 11, 1,99.

I will begin with a pamphlet entitled; ' The Speech of tions on a . Lord Minto in the House of Lords, April 11, 1799," becaufe his Lordthip has confumed fixteen pages, beginning at page 66, and ending with page 82, in arguing for the right, as he ftyles it, of Irif Romanifts to political equality with Irifh Protestants. He styles their exclusion from Parliament, and from about thirty of the great offices of the State, fuch as those of Viceroy, of Lord Chancellor, of Judges, and of General in Chief, &c. the prefent humiliating and degrading exclusion of the Catholic part of the Irifh nation; throughout flyling Irifh Romanists, Catholics, not Romanifis, or Roman Catholics, excluding Protestants from all title to Catholicity, though Chriftians : he ftates himfelf to be a warm friend to the measure of an Union. principally on account of its meliorating the condition. and extinguishing the difcontents of a great majority of the inhabitants of Ireland, by providing for the just claims of the Catholic Irifh, by an explicit article of the treaty itself. And he states a very curious dilemma, entirely founded on the affirmed polition, that Irifh Romanifts have . a just right to political equality with Protostants; which affumption his Lordship, who feems to be an expert logician, must know to be petitis principii. The dilemma is thus : "Proteftant afcendancy in Ireland cannot be fup-* ported without derogating from what may appear is be ' a natural right of the Catholic :' (in many other places he politively afferts it to be his right.) . Catholics can-< not

* not be supported in their claim of equality, without " transferring to them that afcendancy, which equality of * rights must draw to the larger body; this must ex-" pofe the Protestants to danger, who ought to be pro-' tected : and Ireland in its prefent fituation will be gored by one or other of the horns of this dilemma." He flyles the Irifh Protestant alcendancy a ' monopoly op-" poled to common right, that is, to the right of Ro-' manists to political equality.' His Lordship, being aware of His Majefty's Coronation Oath, and the Treaty of Union between England and Scotland; of both which he was too cautious in direct terms to recommend the violation; expresses himfelf thus: "I do not fee how " the jus tertii, as it may be called, of England, can ' affect the relative claims of thefe two Irish nations " (Protestants and Romanists), or of these two parts of • the Irifh nation; and therefore I might have thought · it difficult to affign a fufficient reafon, to preclude His · Majefty, as fovereign of Ireland, from concurring " with his Irish Parliament, or even from exerting, in · every lawful way, his legitimate powers, in promoting " fuch measures as might be calculated to place every class of his Irith fubjects on an equal footing as to civil ' rights, and confolidate thefe two hoftile nations into " one peaceable and united family." All this cautious, circumlocutory, wheedling argument is used by his Lordship, to infinuate, that England has no interest in supporting the Irifh Protestants; and that the Coronation Oath, and the Treaty of Union between England and Scotland, ought not to preclude the adoption of measures tending to the fubversion of the present Protestant establifhment in Ireland. His Lordfhip propofes two methods of effecting this: one through the medium of the Irifh Parliament;

fiament ; the other, through that of the King's prerogative : and I with his Lordthip had condefcended to explain, by what lawful exertion of the King's prerogative, the acts requiring the Oath of Supremacy to be taken, and the Declaration against Popery to be repeated and fubfcribed, by all Members of both Houles of Parliament, and by all the great officers of the State, can be dispensed with, or how these Acts can be repealed or altered, except by Parliament. The rejection of this Oath and Declaration by Romanifts, is the only bar to their being on an exact level with Protestants as to all civil rights: what then can be his Lordship's meaning in infinuating that Romanists may be put on an exact level with Protestants, by His Majesty's exerting in every lawful way his legitimate powers, as contra-diffinguished from his concurrence with his Parliament? Does he mean to infinuate that His Majefty posefiles a legitimate power to dispense with the provisions of Acts of Parliament? And if he does not, I hope his Lordship will take an occasion of explaining this passage for the fatisfaction as welt of Irith as of English Protestants.

His Lordhip proceeds to argué, 'I cannot admit the afcendancy of one part of a nation over another part of the fame ration, to the extent, and to the purpofe claimed in Ireland (that is, the exclusion of Romanifts from feats in Parliament, and from the great offices of the State, by the obligation of the Acts enjoining the taking of the Oath of Supremacy and the repeating and figning the Declaration), as capable of alluming any character deferving the denomination of right; that which is wrong on one fide, cannot, intelligibly to me, become a right in the other : wrong s is not a material of which it appears possible to conftruct right. The virtues of possession, prescription, ⁶ or any other limitation of time, which are fuppofed to · cure the vices of a bad title, are not at all applicable " to the cafe of perpetually fubfifting, and as it were ' renovating wrongs, especially such as affect the poli-· tical rights of great numbers of men; inftead of con-· verting right into wrong, they only improve and for-' tify the title of those who fuffer, to shake off the in-' jury on the first opportunity that offers.' His Lordthip then flates, ' that the Catholics of Ireland not only claim a participation in civil franchifes enjoyed by 6 their Protestant countrymen, but they foster claims on the property of Protestants, the prefent possession 6 of which they treat as mere usurpation.' He then gives a fling, in the true French tafte, against the afpiring character of all Churches, as he was obliged to admit that fuch was the character of the Romish Church. With a good deal of caution he infinuates, that titles to lands, or any thing elfe, by prefcription, are not the best; and that all titles of Irish Protestants, either to afcendancy in political power, or to property, are by prefcription. The first he absolutely condemns, as continuations of wrong; with respect to the other, the titles to landed property, he only ' hints a flaw, and · hefitates defect?

Notwithstanding all this argument for the justice of the claims of Irish Romanists to political equality, and after stating, 'that their prospect of obtaining it by the Treaty of Union between Great Britain and Ireland, is his principal reason for approving that measure; and after diftinguishing the two classes of Irish Protestants and Ro-N e manifts by an East Indian term of different casts : and fating, that they are inflamed against each other by " mutual hatred, whofe motives are irreconcilable, its · character bitter, malignant, and implacable; that the · fovereign caft of Irifhmen (that is, Protestants) claim " their fovereignty as of right, and ground it on an old · title of conquest, confirmed, as they contend, by possession, " acquiescence, and prescription :' and after drawing all this picture of the state of Ireland, and in the middle of all his laboured argument of the right of Irifh Romanifts to political equality, his Lordfhip makes the following ingenuous confession: ' I certainly pretend to no credit on fuch points from perfonal knowledge or inquiry. I should wifh, therefore, to qualify any thing that may appear rash or peremptory, in what I hazard on fuch a subject, • by avowing that degree of diffidence in my own views, " which may be thought becoming with regard to facts, which though attefted, I think, fatisfactorily by others, " have not fallen under my own observation.' It is fincerely to be wifhed, that his Lordship, confessing his own want of knowledge of the fubject, had been lefs rafh and peremptory, and had not hazarded fo much on the ftate of Ireland, and on the fubject of the claims of Irifh Romanists to political equality (though, as his Lordship expreffes himfelf, he could not help fympathizing with them, and confequently with all the members of Oppolition both in Great Britain and Ireland; and with all the Jacobins in both kingdoms, who have repeatedly proclaimed a fimilar fympathy), becaufe his Lordship being a great diplomatic character, and high in the confidence of the British Government, does no fmall mifchief to that very Government, in advancing and openly patronizing principles fubverfive, not only of the Irish Constitution, but of the British also, as I hope

I hope to make evident, and that too without any neceffity whatfoever for the promulgation of fuch doctrines; which, fo far from being favourable to an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland, tend to render the accomplishment of that great measure more difficult, perhaps impracticable : and it is the more to be lamented, when it is confidered, that the measure can be supported by irrefragable arguments of fignal public advantage, without reforting to fuch fallacious and pernicious principles and doctrines.

I have been diligent in my inquiries respecting Lord Minto, as I have not the honour of the flighteft perfonal acquaintance with his Lordship. From the information I have received, I find that he is a Scotch gentleman of family, and before his advancement to the Peerage, he was known by the name and title of Sir Gilbert Elliot, Bart. and had been for a time Viceroy of Corfica : he had contracted a great intimacy with Mr. Edmund Burke, the great Irifh apostle of Popery already mentioned. He appears by the pamphlet I am now commenting upon, to be a perfon of learning and fagacity : his abilities fland confeffed by his being intrusted by the British Government with the execution of commissions of the greatest importance, and with the most honourable embassies : his fervices to his King and Country have raifed him to the British Peerage. In this pamphlet he has collected the most powerful arguments, which have been urged, either in Great Britain or Ireland, in favour of an Incorporating Union of the two countries, and disposed them in admirable order : though little is urged in it, which had not been already laid before the public ; yet the arguments are digested in fo perspicuous and connected a feries, and difpofed pofed of with fo much judgment, that it may be faid to comprize in itfelf all the merit of all preceding publications on the fubject: I think it a very valuable performance. With thefe ideas of it, I felt great pain on reading the paffages I have quoted. The rank and ability of the writer, and the excellent reafoning contained in the other parts of it, rendered a refutation of thefe exceptionable paragraphs and their doctrines a work of imperious neceffity. Such are my only reafon, and my only excufe, for entering the lifts of argument with fo great and fo refpectable a character as his Lordfhip, though I am as fincere a friend to the meafure of an Incorporating Union as his Lordfhip.

All his Lordship's arguments in favour of the claims of the Irifh Romanists to political equality are founded on this one polition, that they are entitled to that equality by common right. Common right, in the usual acceptation of the word, means the common law of the land: but I presume his Lordship means it in a more extended sense, and that he means a right founded on the immutable rules of reason and justice. If this position is overturned, his Lordship's whole argument falls with it : it therefore demands examination. The rights of mankind in political focieties are twofold, natural and political: the first are born with a man, he becomes entitled to them the moment of his birth; but as man is a focial animal, and as the human race cannot fublift but in fociety, he becomes entitled to them with this limitation, that the enjoyment of them is to be regulated by that fociety of which he is born a member, whilft he continues one of that fociety. The fociety may establish certain rules for its own prefervation, and without which it cannot fubfift, reftraining and 4

and modifying the full exercise of what are called natural rights, in cafes where the full exercise of natural rights would endanger the exiftence or fecurity of the fociety; and regulations for the fecure enjoyment of natural rights thus modified. To these rules and regulations all members of all political focieties must fubmit; and all the benefits men enjoy under these laws are their political rights. In fact, mankind's political rights, are their natural rights modified, and their enjoyment fecured, by the laws of fociety. Natural rights are immutable ; modifications of them by the laws of fociety are various, in the various focieties of mankind on the face of the globe; and hence they are flyled political rights as diftinguished from natural. The laws of each fociety have been originally framed on the confent of the majority of the community, either tacit or express: general acquiescence implies tacit confent : actual compact, as is the cafe in fome focieties, is exprefs confent. Thefe rules have been altered in focieties at times by tyranny and ufurpation. In the Britifh Empire, the common law is that fystem of law which is eftablished by tacit confent for ages: the ftatute law is that fystem of law which is established by confent or agreement of the members. In great or even confiderable empires or governments, it is utterly impracticable to collect the opinions of all the members of the fociety taken by the poll, on any public measure : fuch an attempt would tend to inevitable confusion and diffolution of the fociety; becaufe the great mafs of the people in all States, fubfifting by bodily labour, are ignorant, and incapable in general of forming correct opinions on great and momentous political queftions. By the British Constitution, generally and defervedly esteemed the very essence of political wisdom, the method of collecting the opinions of the majority of the

the nation, for the purpole of enacting new laws, or altering or abrogating the old ones, is partly by the votes of the majority of a certain class of great and diffinguished perfonages eminent for their dignity and property: but chiefly by the votes of the majority of Representatives chosen by the people, and affembled in general Council or Parliament: these Representatives, though they represent the whole body or mass of the people, yet are not elected by the majority of votes of the people of each district reckoned by the poll, but by their property: and one twentieth part of the people at large are not qualified, by their property, to vote at the elections of their Representatives in Parliament either in England or Ireland.

What Lord Minto ftyles the fovereignty of the Proteftants in Ireland over the Irith Romanifts confifts in this: that Protestants are capable of fitting in Parliament, and of filling about thirty of the great offices of the State, to which the exercife of the fupreme Executive Power is intrusted : and the Romanists exclude themselves from thefe two capacities by rejecting the Oath of Supremacy and Declaration, as already mentioned : both which the Protestants take and subscribe on being admitted into Parliament, or into any of these offices. In every other particular there is a perfect equality of political privileges at prefent between Irish Protestants and Romanists. The Irith Protestants maintain that the aforefaid exclusion of Irish Romanists (which his Lordship is pleased to style Protestant Sovereignty and Monopoly; terms learned in the Schola Burkeiana) had its origin in political right, and in the very first of political rights, to wit, that of the State to preferve its own existence, and independence of all foreign jurifdictions: and whatever afcendancy (ftyled by his Lordfhip

Lordship Sovereignty) this exclusion has conferred on Irish Protestants, they claim the same, not, as his Lordship very erroneoully supposes, on the title of conquest, of acquiescence or prescription; but on the statute law of the land, enacted both in England and Ireland. And I cannot fufficiently express my amazement at this very extraordinary miltake of his Lordship, a great diplomatic character, and supposed to be perfectly well acquainted with the laws of his country !

The exclusion of Romanists from all public offices in England and Ireland, commenced with the operation of the Statute which enacts the Oath of Supremacy, and which was enacted in England in the first, and in Ireland in the fecond year of Queen Elizabeth: and all accefs to fuch offices in England has been doubly barred, as against Romanists, by the Test and Corporation Acts. (See Appendix, No. 3.) In Ireland thefe two Acts, there also enacted, have been with great precipitancy, not to fay want of political wifdom, repealed in 1793; except fo far as relates to the great offices of the State already mentioned. The exclusion of Romanists from feats in Parliament, arifing from the Oath of Supremacy and Declaration, took place in England by the operation of the Statute of the 3cth of Charles the Second, chap. 2 ; and the doors of Parliament were further barred against them in England by the Statute of the 1st of George the First, chap. 13, both which Statutes are yet unrepealed: they were both enacted foon after in Ireland. By these Statutes, to prevent crude innovations in Religion and Government, it is enacted, that no Member shall fit or vote in either House of Parliament, till he hath, in the prefence of the House, taken the Oaths of N 4

Supremacy,

Supremacy, Allegiance, and Abjuration; and repeated and fubfcribed the Declaration against Transubstantiation, Invocation of Saints, and Sacrifice of the Mafs. (See English Statutes, and 1st Blackstone's Commentaries, p. 158, octavo edition.) By the 22d article of the Union of England and Scotland, all Scotch Members are obliged to take the fame Oaths, and fubfcribe the fame Declaration: and in the Act ratifying the Treaty of Union of England and Scotland two Acts of the respective Parliaments of the two nations are recited, the one providing for the perpetual establishment and maintenance of the Prefbyterian Church in Scotland, the other for the perpetual eftablishment and maintenance of the Church of England, in England, Wales, Ireland, and the town of Berwick upon Tweed : and thefe two Acts are therein declared to be fundamental and effential conditions of that Union. (See Defoe's Hiftory of the Union of England and Scotland, from page 557 to 562, and the English Statutes.) How then can his Lordship maintain that the exclusion of Irish Romanists from Parliament, and the aforefaid offices, which he, adopting the phraseology of his Gamaliel, Mr. Edmund Burke, is pleafed to ftyle Protestant Sovereignty, Afcendancy, and Monopoly, is a wrong; and its continuance a continuance of wrong? He must mean, that it is a restriction of the natural rights of man, which is not required by that first of political objects, the fafety and prefervation of the State; or that it is not conformable to the opinions . of the majority of the members of the community, and therefore unjuft. As to the first, I have already proved, that Romanists, from their religious doctrines, ought not to be admitted to the enjoyment of any portion of the Sovereignty of a Protestant State; and that they fhould

should be excluded from the Houses of Lords and Commons, both in Great Britain and Ireland, becaufe it would be highly injurious, and perhaps, in Ireland, deftructive to the State to admit them; and confequently that it is no wrong to exclude them. If their exclusion is a wrong in Ireland, it must be a wrong in Great Britain; for the principles of natural juffice are immutable, and not variable by the circumftance of their being more numerous in Ireland than in Great Britain. What is right or wrong in this particular in the one country, must be right or wrong in the other. Their exclusion in Ireland is more requifite than in Great Britain, on account of their greater numbers in the former country, and their claims to all the landed property in the hands of Protestants, the possession of which, his Lordship states, they confider as usurpation : and Dr. Troy, as before mentioned, states, that they confider the Protestant Establishment an usurpation. These circumstances render their elevation in Ireland more dangerous than in Great Britain, and their exclusion in the former country more just and reasonable.

If his Lordfhip thinks the exclusion of Romanifis from Parliament and the great offices of the State a wrong, he must think that all the great flatefmen in Britain and Ireland, fince the commencement of the reign of Queen Elizabeth, were workers of iniquity; and all the Statutes enacted fince, refpecting Romanifts, public wrongs; he must think King James the Second was perfectly justifiable in endeavouring to remedy this wrong; the glorious King William the Third an usfurper; and all who affisted in the Revolution of 1688 Rebels. His Lordfhip declares it to be his warmeft wifh with to eftablish Irish Romanists in an equality of civil rights with Irish Protestants; King James the Second professed nothing more. He professed similar defigns in England. His attempts to carry these defigns into execution, both in England and Ireland, cost him his crown, and configned him and his luckless progeny to perpetual exile.

His Lordship cannot arraign the aforefaid Statutes of injustice in excluding Romanists, on the principle that they are not conformable to the opinion of the majority of the Irifh nation: for, waving the argument of the fuperior number by the poll of the Protestants in Great Britain and Ireland, taken together, over the Romanists, and confidering Ireland a diffinct and independent nation (which it is not), let his Lordship's principle be examined by the principles of the Conflitution : by that conflitution, the Reprefentatives of the People are elected by the People, reckoned, not by their numbers by the poll, but by their property : thirty-nine parts out of forty of Irish property are in the hands of Irish Protestants, confequently the conflitutional power of election is poffeffed by the Irish Protestants, though Romanists now enjoy the elective franchife, as well as Protestants: the House of Commons, fo elected, together with the Lords and the Monarch, have enacted thefe Statutes, by the operation of which Romanists are excluded; that is, they were enacted by the true legitimate Sovereign Power of the State. The very capacity of fitting in Parliament and enjoying public offices is a political right, merely arising from the inftitutions of civil fociety, and may jufily be withheld or abridged by the fupreme conflitutional power of that fociety, when it. deems

deems the exertion of its authority in fuch particulars conducive to the well-being of the State. With what propriety or truth can his Lordfhip then maintain, that the exclusion of Romanists from certain political fituations, effected by the operation of Statutes enacted by Parliament, affembled purfuant to the principles of the Conflitution, is a wrong, and an unjust invasion of their natural rights? It is a position not to be supported by reason or argument!

I truft I have fairly lopped off the first horn of his Lordship's dilemma, to wit, that exclusion of Irish Romanists cannot be fupported, and confequent Protestant ascendancy maintained, without violation of the natural rights of the Irifh Romanists, and continuation of the injuffice. I have reduced his two-horned bugbear to an unicorn; and I will preferve the remaining horn, to wit, that the exclusion of Irish Romanists cannot be repealed, and their claims acceded to, without detriment to the Protestant Establishment in Ireland, to gore and lacerate (to use his own words) the remainder of his Lordship's argument with: nay more, I will sharpen this horn, and prove, that the admiffion of Irifh Romanifls into Parliament and the great offices of the State, would, in the event of an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland taking place, be fubverfive of the Conftitution of the Empire in general; and that the publication and fupport of fuch a project by great Minifters of State are very likely to difincline the real friends of the Conflitution in Church and State, as well in Great Britain as Ireland, to the measure of an Union.

However,

However, before I begin to use the horn, it is not improper to take notice, that his Lordship has infinuated that Irish Protestants hold their estates under the titles of Conquest or Prescription. Conquest is in general a title founded on wrong; and the title by Prefcription his Lordship endeavours to difcredit. I am extremely forry to be obliged to obferve, that his Lordship here manifests much want of knowledge of the real situation and circumstances of Ireland, and that his Gamaliel has led him again into a grofs error. If any effates in Ireland can at this day be faid to be enjoyed under the title of Conquest, they must be such as were acquired by the first British adventurers in Ireland in the reign of Henry the Second. His acquifition of Ireland cannot properly be called a Conquest; for though he arrived in Ireland at the head of an army, the whole Irifli nation, as I before observed, submitted, and swore fealty to him, and chofe him for their Monarch, without putting him to the neceffity of striking a blow. Of the great eftates acquired by his followers, fome were gained, not by force, but by lawful conveyance and fucceffion : fuch was that of Richard de Clare, Earl of Chepftow, furnamed Strongbow, who married the only daughter and child of the King of Leinster, and became in her right entitled to a vaft territory in that province, in which he fettled a great number of his vaffals; and which always, till the reign of Elizabeth, was the most confiderable feat of the English Colony in Ireland, and great part of it was called the Pale, or the Territory governed by English Laws. However, whether the first English adventurers gained their cftates by the fword, or otherwife, I apprehend to be at this day of little confequence, for there is fcarce remaining a fingle effate in this kingdom

dom which is not held by titles derived from Elizabeth, or her fucceffors, by Patents, Acts of Parliament, or other good and fufficient conveyances. And it might be faid, with more reafon, that all the great families in England, most of whom yet retain their Norman furnames, and whofe anceftors obtained estates in the reign of William the Conqueror, held these estates by Conquest. For the acquisition of England by William preceded the acquisition of Ireland by Henry only by one century; and a much greater proportion of perfons in Ireland, posses in Ireland, who have Saxon, or old British furnames.

The infinuation, that many Irifh Protestants derive the titles of their eftates from prefcription, is equally groundlefs. After the first acquifition of Ireland by the English Crown, there does not seem to have been any very great influx of English into Ireland for some centuries. The civil wars of York and Lancaster drew out of Ireland moft of the great English families which had fettled in it, together with their vaffals, and most of them perished in that unhappy contest. The greater part of the remainder of the English Colony adopted the barbarous Irifh cuftoms, and degenerated into Irish barbarians. After the accession of Elizabeth, the Earl of Defmond, a great and powerful Nobleman in Munster, of English extraction, rebelled against her; fhe fubdued him, and all his vaft possefions were forfeited to the Crown: fhe granted them to a confiderable colony of English settlers. The great and formidable Rebellion of the Earl of Tyrone broke out afterwards in her reign: he posseffed, by himfelf or his vaffals, almoft

almost the whole province of Ulster. The Queen, after a long, expensive, and deftructive war, which defolated the country, completely vanquished him, but died before fhe could reap the fruits of her victory. Her fucceffor, James the First, employed his whole reign in improving the nation; he divided part of the lands forfeited in this Rebellion, and another which followed close on its heels, among English and Scotch fettlers, and his loyal Irish Protestant subjects, and the rest he parcelled out among the native Irifh. His fon, Charles the First, followed his example; and a great part of the Irifh nation, which held their lands by prefcription, furrendered them to the Crown, and paffed patents for them, conforming to the English law of tenures, either in capite or focage. When Cromwell had reduced the Irifh Rebels, he divided the lands forfeited by the Rebellion among the foldiers, adventurers, and loyal Irifh Protestants. Such of his grants as still fubfist, and a large portion of Ireland is held under them, were confirmed immediately after the Reftoration, by the Acts of Settlement and Explanation. The forfeitures of the Rebels in the reign of King William have been alfo difpofed of by patents among the King's loyal fubjects. And all thefe eflates, fince the original grants of them, have been conveyed over and over again, for valuable confiderations, by family fettlements, and by purchases, infomuch that there is fcarcely one effate in the whole Kingdom of Ireland held by the title of prefcription; the original grants of them all can be produced, or are recited in the different conveyances. But if they were held by prefcription, as his Lordship erroneously supposes, a possession of two hundred years from the reign of Elizabeth; of one hundred and fifty, at leaft, from the reigns

reigns of James and Charles; of near an hundred and fifty from the time of Cromwell; and of upwards of one hundred fince the laft forfeitures in the reign of King William, would conflitute a good title to the prefent poffeffors by the laws of Great Britain and Ireland, which make fixty years undiffurbed poffeffion a good title, and a bar in a writ of right.

As a confiderable part of the landed effates in the poffeffion of Irish Protestants confists of lands forfeited to the Crown in the different Rebellions I have mentioned, when his Lordship hints that Irish Protestants derive their titles to their estates from Conquest, he must mean, that the suppression of every Rebellion is a Conquest. The just rights of a conqueror are pretty accurately defined by Mr. Locke, in his Treatife on Government, but the conduct of a conqueror to the vanquished is generally measured by his will and pleafure; and is the exercife of an arbitrary tyranny over their perfons and properties: and his Lordship's view, in infinuating that the titles of Irifh Protestants to their estates are founded in Conquest, seems to be, to disparage Irish Protestants, as deriving their properties from the exertions of unlawful violence and rapacity; that is, in plain English, that they are the heirs of robbers and plunderers; as has, indeed, been already afferted by the abufive Author of . Confiderations on the State of Public " Affairs in the Year 1799.' I never before heard it infinuated, that the suppression of a Rebellion was a Conquest of the nation: Conquest entirely changes the laws, conflitution, and government of a nation; but the fuppression of a Rebellion, in part of a nation (and in every Rebellion a part of a nation only is engaged),

gaged), does not change the laws, conflictution, and government of a nation: it, on the contrary, eftablifhes them all, and gives them additional fecurity. Are the fuppreffions of all the various Rebellions in England, fince the acceffion of William the First to the prefent day, to be confidered as Conquests of England? And is the fuppreffion of every Rebellion in Ireland, fince the acceffion of Henry the Second, to be confidered as a new Conquest of Ireland? the very supposition is an abfurdity. Rebellion is High Treafon; its guilt and its punishment are accurately defined by the laws of England and Ireland, and one part of the punifhment is the forfeiture of the property of Rebels to the Crown: the Crown disposes of this forfeited property to its loyal fubjects, in reward of their fervices, or for other valuable confiderations; and the Grantees of the Crown hold fuch property by a legal title, not founded on Conquest, but on the known and established laws of the land. But whatever was his Lordship's view in infinuating that the Irish Protestants held their estates by the title of Conquest, and that the Irish Romanists, being unjuftly difpoffeffed, had a right to reclaim them, whether it was to difparage or to intimidate, Irifh Protestants, confcious of the juffice and lawfulnefs of their titles, and of their own ftrength and courage to defend them against all attempts of Irish Romanists, read such infinuations with the most perfect apathy, as they do all his Lordship's laboured doubts respecting the efficacy of a title by prefcription; and were it not for the high respect I entertain for his Lordship, I might make use of a harfher expression.

Inow

I now arm myfelf with the remaining horn of his Lordship's dilemma, to wit, that the admission of Irish Romanifts into Parliament, and to the great executive offices of the State, would be very prejudicial to the Irish Protestants, and would much endanger the established Constitution in Ireland. To render this horn still more formidable, I can furnish it with a very strong and very sharp antler, to wit, that fuch admission would, in case of an Union, greatly endanger the British Constitution, and must be attended with an Innovation in it; which, I trust, will be reprobated by His Majesty; by the great Minister, who now with so much glory to himfelf, and advantage to the Nation, takes the lead in the British Cabinet; and by all the loyal Protestant inhabitants of Great Britain: and that, fo far from promoting an Union, the very propofal of fo dangerous an innovation, must throw the greatest impediments in the way of that most falutary measure.

The danger of admitting Irifh Romanifts into Parliament in Ireland, that is, into a fhare of the fovereign authority of the State, I have already very fully expofed. The appointment of a Romifh Viceroy of Ireland, of a Romifh Lord Chancellor, of Romifh Judges, of a Romifh Commander in Chief of the army, and the admiffion of Romifh Peers and Commoners into Parliament, muft all tend to the fubverfion of the Irifh Proteftant Eftablifhment, both in Church and State; this is fo notorious, that no arguments are required to prove it: it is evident that fuch appointments, and fuch admiffion, would of themfelves be a fubverfion of the prefent eftablifhment. This horn without the antler is fufficient to lacerate all his Lordfhip's arguments in the prefent fituation of Great Britain and Ireland.

0

Let

(194)

Let us now fuppofe that an Incorporating Union of the two countries had taken place, and that they were confolidated into one body. If in fuch cafe Romanists were to be admitted into Parliament, and to fill the great offices of the State, all the laws enacted in England against Popery, fince the accession of Queen Elizabeth, must be repealed. I will mention fome of these Acts particularly, that the People of England may clearly underfland the momentous and dangerous alterations which must be made in the British Constitution, which has been improving from the commencement of the reign of Elizabeth, till it has attained its prefent unrivalled excellence; under which the prefent generation and their anceftors for two centuries have lived; and which has defcended to the People of England during that period, in fucceffion from father to fon, as an inheritance; if what Lord Minto ftyles the prefent humiliating and degrading exclusion of the Catholic part of the Iri/h nation shall be done away, by the adoption of his fcheme of conferring equal privileges on them with their fellow-fubjects, in cafe of an Union.

The A& of the 1ft of Elizabeth, which enjoins the taking of the Oath of Supremacy by all public officers; the Bill of Rights, the 1ft of William and Mary, newmodelling the Oath of Supremacy, and extending the fphere of the operation of the A& of Elizabeth in refpect to that Oath, muft be repealed. The A& of the 30th of Charles the Second, chap. 2, and the 1ft of George the Firft, chap. 13, ena& that no Member fhall fit or vote in either Houfe of Parliament, till he hath, in the prefence of the Houfe, taken the Oaths of Allegiance, Supremacy, and Abjuration, and repeated and fubfcribed the Declaration, muft be repealed. The Teft

Teft Act, requiring all officers, civil and military, to take the above Oaths, repeat and fubfcribe the Declaration, and receive the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, according to the usage of the Church of England, must be repealed : the Corporation Act must share the fame fate. These two Acts, Blackftone ftates to be bulwarks of the Conflitution, and that they were enacted to fecure the effablifhed Church against perils from Non-conformists of all denominations, among which he particularly enumerates Papifts. (See Blackstone's Commentaries, vol. iv, page 57, octavo edition.) And he flates, that the Acts of Charles the Second and George the Firft, requiring: all Members of both Houses of Parliament to take the Oaths, and repeat and fubfcribe the Declaration, were enacted, to prevent crude innovations in Religion and Government. (See Blackstone's Commentaries, vol. i. page 158, octavo edition.) The articles and provisions of the Treaty of Union of England and Scotland muft be altered: that one, among others, which enjoins the taking of the Coronation Oath by His Majefty; and more particularly those parts of the Treaty ftyled by Blackstone fundamental and effential conditions of the Union. (See Blackstone's Commentaries, 1st vol. pages 92, 93, octavo edition.) I have quoted Blackftone on thefe points, to fhow the opinions of that able conflitutional lawyer on the parts of the English laws relating to Papifts; because in his remarks on the English Popery Code, he expresses his opinion, that feveral parts of it, which he enumerates, may be repealed without inconvenience; but the parts I have above mentioned, he thinks effentially neceffary to the prefervation of the Protestant Establishment in Church and State in England, and that they cannot be repealed

confiftently

confidently with the fafety of that establishment in England, or with the continuation of the Union of England and Scotland.

It may be here argued, that an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland may be effected, without all the aforefaid alterations in the laws of England; for flipulations may be inferted in the Treaty of an Union of the two nations, that Irish Romanists, elected in Ireland members of the imperial Parliament, shall fit and vote therein, without taking the Oaths, or fubfcribing the Declaration above-mentioned; and that they fhall be capable of filling public offices in Ireland on the fame terms. And it may be urged, in respect to offices, that fuch flipulations have taken place in the Act of Union of England and Scotland; for Scotchmen are by that A& capable of filling public offices in Scotland, without taking the Oaths prefcribed to be taken by public officers in England, and without receiving the Sacrament according to the usage of the Church of England, On the part of this argument which relates to public offices, I shall only observe, that public officers in Scotland, as I am informed, are obliged to take oaths and engagements full as hoftile to Popery, as those enjoined to be taken by public officers in England, which they were obliged to take in their own country before the Union : and that no Scotchman can enjoy any office in England without taking the Oaths, and receiving the Sacrament as prefcribed in England. But with respect to the capacity of fitting in Parliament, fuch stipulations can receive no fort of countenance from the conditions of the Union of England and Scotland; for the Scotch Members are not admitted to fit in the Parliament of Great Britain, τ

Britain, without taking the fame Oaths, and repeating and fubfcribing the fame Declaration, as the English Members are obliged to take, repeat, and fubfcribe. I will therefore proceed to examine the practicability and justice of the infertion of fuch stipulations in a treaty of Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland; and their efficacy in removing the present complaints of the Irish Romanist, in case they were inferted.

It is first to be observed, that by the established Constitution of Ireland, fince the latter end of the reign of Charles the Second, the Romanists are excluded from Parliament by the Oaths, which it is enacted all members shall take, previous to their admission to fit or vote in either House; they are excluded from the great offices of the State by the fame means : and that by Lord Minto's scheme, to raife them from their present degraded and humiliated condition (as he ftyles it), the prefent laws of Ireland enjoining the taking of these Oaths are to be repealed, preparative to an Union of the two countries; or by the treaty of Union itself to be ratified by the Parliaments of Great Britain and Ireland, He admits that fuch fcheme, whilft the Parliaments of the two countries remain feparate and diffinct, would be very dangerous to the Protestant Establishment in Church and State in Ireland, if carried into effect; because, as he reasons, the superior number of Romanists in Ireland, when put on a perfect equality with Protestants in respect to civil privileges, would enable them by degrees to engrofs the whole power of the State, and overturn the Protestant Establishment in Ireland. Now, fuppofing the two countries were confolidated into one body politic by an Union, and Romanifts put on a perfect equality of civil privileges with Protestants

in

in Ireland; they would, according to his Lordfhip's reafoning, in time return all the Irifh Members to the Houfe of Commons of the imperial Parliament. It is proposed that Ireland, on an Union, shall have one hundred Reprefentatives in the imperial Commons : if thefe fhould be all, or a great part of them, Romanists, it would not be very difficult for them, on many occasions, where a Minister might stand in need of their fervices, to obtain a domineering influence with respect to all Irish affairs: it appears at prefent, though they have little political power in Ireland, that they have procured an interest in the Britith Cabinet, very alarming to every attached friend to the Conftitution in Church and State in Ireland: this would be increased a thousand fold, if an Union took place on the terms fuggested by Lord Minto: in truth, the Proteftant Effablishment in Ireland could not furvive fuch an event for many feffions. And I beg of his Lordfhip, and other great British Statesmen, seriously to confider, whether their proposing fchemes of Union, subversive of the Protestant Establishment in Ireland, is a likely method of inducing Irish Protestants, in whole hands, for the prefent, almost the whole political power of the State is lodged, to confent to an Union : and whether the continued intrigues of every British Statesman, for some years past fent into Ireland, to act as the Minister, with the Irish Romanists, for the depression of the Protestant intereft in that kingdom, and the elevation of Popery on its ruins, are likely to incline Irifh Protestants to commit all their civil and religious rights, and those of their posterity, to the abfolute difpofal of perfons who fhow no difpofition to support and maintain them. Lord Minto admits, that Great Britain is bound to fupport the Irish Protestants by. by every tie of gratitude, for their unalterable attachment to the interests of the British Empire : they defire no support from Great Britain, as against Romanists, the common political enemy of British, as well as Irish Protestants: all Protestants, in the eyes of Irifh Romanists, are Englithmen, and vice ver/a : they have but one name for both: they are eternal and implacable enemies of Protestants, from the tenets of their religion : Irifh Protestants are able, without any support from Great Britain, to protect themfelves from all the force, from all the fury, from all the rancour of Irish Romanists: all they require from British Protestants is, that they will not join in a hollow league with the avowed enemies both of English and Irish Protestants, against Irish Protestants: they have not merited fuch treatment from British Protestants, they have ever remained faithful to the British Crown, they are now supporting it with their blood and treafure, fpilling and lavifhing one and the other in the prefent glorious conteil! Give them, then, illustrious British Statesmen, no cause to exclaim, in the language of the Roman poet,

Perierunt tempora longi servitii !

They are ready to coalefce with you, but not on terms which in the end will be found equally detrimental to you and them: they demand, nay entreat no more from you, as the condition of an Incorporating Union, than the prefervation of their prefent Conflictution in Church and State; and they deprecate only its fubverfion.

I fhall now make a few obfervations on the juffice, efficacy, and practicability of fuch a fcheme of Union when confidered in refpect to Great Britain. By this fcheme Irith Romanifts must be admitted into the imperial Par-

liament :

04

liament; and by his Lordship's reasoning, exclusive of Romish Irish Lords, a great proportion, and in time the whole number of Irish Commoners, to wit, one hundred, which are to be admitted into the imperial Commons, will be Romanifts; confequently the above-mentioned English Acts of Charles the Second and George the First puft be repealed in favour of Irish Romanists; in fact, they must be entirely repealed, because it would be highly abfurd to exclude English and Scotch Romanists, when Irish Romanists are admitted. When thus they have gained admission into the Houses of Lords and Commons in the imperial Parliament, and formed confequently no inconfiderable part of the supreme power of the State, in violation of every principle of the British Constitution fince the commencement of the reign of Elizabeth; the British Minister, to secure the support of so powerful a body in Parliament, must deliver into their hands the whole patronage of Ireland. The Protestant Establishment of that nation must be fubverted, and all public offices there must be filled with Romanists. If any stipulations shall be made in the treaty of Union, for the fecurity of the Protestant Establishment in Ireland; though fuch treaty be ratified by the Parliaments of both countries previous to the Union, and by the imperial Parliament after; yet fuch flipulations cannot fubfift for any length of time. No doubt can be entertained, that the imperial Parliament, being the fupreme authority of the Empire, will be competent to alter or abrogate the conditions and articles of the Union at its pleafure; and if it fhould alter or abrogate fuch articles as relate to the Protestant Eftablifhment in Ireland, at the express inftance and defire of the Representatives of Ireland in the imperial Parliament, affisted by the British Romish members, who could complain

complain on behalf of Ircland, of the infringement of the treaty of Union? or who could complain with effect? If the Reprefentatives of Scotland in the Parliament of Great Britain should demand an alteration of the articles and conditions of the Union, relating only to Scotland, and the Parliament of Great Britain should comply with fuch demand, would any complaint be made on behalf of Scotland of the infringement of the treaty of Union? or, if made, would it be followed by any material effect ? The maxim of Volenti non fit injuria would be the answer to fuch complaints. When Ireland was by fuch means converted into a Romish country, would its Representatives in the imperial Parliament, and the Romish British Members, be contented ? would their conftituents be contented ? Certainly not. Scotchmen are capable of filling the higheft employments in England, complying with the Teft Act; and the Prefbyterian doctrines oppose no infurmountable barriers against fuch compliance; but the Romifh do. Many natives of Scotland have rifen to the highest stations in England fince the Union : the prefent Lord Chancellor of England, Mr. Secretary Dundas, Admiral Duncan, Sir Ralph Abercrombie, Lord Minto himfelf, with multitudes of others, are prefent, the late Lord Mansfield and others, past examples, of the great elevation to which the fhining abilities of North-Britons have raifed them in England. In cafe of an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland, Irifh Protestants being capable of filling high offices in England, the abilities of fome of that description might promote them to elevated dignities there. But British and Irish Romanists would be excluded, by the English Test Act, from all public offices in England, and from being officers in any corporations

porations in England by the Corporation A&. How loud then would the exclamations of the Romith Members of both Houfes of the imperial Parliament be against the Teft and Corporation Acts ! They would reprefent, that though, by an Incorporating Union, Great Britain and Ireland were confolidated into one nation, yet they by thefe Acts were deprived of the benefits of the British Conftitution in four fifths of that confolidated nation by thefe Acts, and admitted only to a fhare with all their fellowfubjects in the public employments, emoluments, and political power of the remaining fifth; all their fubjects being alfo admissible to employments in that fifth. They would loudly complain, as they at prefent do, of this inequality of condition with their fellow-citizens, and infift that they were excluded from the rights of citizenship, If the Minister of the day stood in need of their affistance in Parliament, as he often would, he must listen to fuch complaints, and liften with favour ! If fimilar complaints of the Romish party are attended to at prefent, when they are destitute of political power, much more attention must be paid to them, when they shall form a confiderable part of the fupreme power of the State. At the Minifter's beck, how many Lord Mintos would be found ready to join in the cry of the Romish pack ! how loud would they bellow in the ears of the imperial Parliament the degraded and humiliated state of British and Irish Catholics ! It is not impoffible, that both the Teft and Corporation Acts might be thus cried down : and what Blackstone fo justly styles the bulwarks of the Constitution, defending the Established Church from all perils from Non-conformifts of all defcriptions, and the Conftitution from all innovations in Religion and Government, might be completely overthrown and deftroyed; and the deluge of Republicanifm and and Superflition, and their certain attendant, Infidelity, let in to overwhelm the British Empire.

But let us fuppofe, that the found good fenfe and spirit of the people of Great Britain should refist and defeat these attempts to repeal the Teft and Corporation A&s. The certain confequences of an Union with fuch flipulations in favour of Irish Romanists as I have stated, would be, that both English and Irish Romanists in the imperial Parliament would take every method to manifest their hostility to the Conflitution: they would be found the conflant supporters of every opposition to the measures of Government in Parliament: they would be the fleady allies of the whole Jacobin faction: English and Irish Romanifts would by themfelves form a powerful party in the imperial Legiflature; they would be the patrons and the partifans of every factious project, of every desperate difappointed leader in either Houfe of Parliament; the avowed supporters of every attempt to overturn and deftroy the Conftitution of their country. And I leave it to British Statesmen, and particularly to that renowned Minister, who has fo gloriously and fuccessfully stood forth the protector and fupporter of Chriftianity, of lawful government, of humanity and justice in Europe, to confider, whether fuch fchemes of aggrandizement of Romanists in the British Empire are practicable; and, if practicable, whether they are confiftent with the principles of found policy and juffice; and whether any political arrangements whatfoever, fhort of configning to them the whole power of the State, will content Romanists; and whether any favours conferred upon them will render them a whit better fubjects to a Protestant British Government, than they are at prefent : whether all

all fuch arguments as are advanced by Lord Minto, do not directly tend to retard, perhaps to defeat, the accomplithment of that great and highly beneficial measure, an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland, by alarming the Protestants of both countries with fears of the fubverfion of the prefent Conftitution in Church and State, as the unavoidable confequence of fuch a measure, on the terms and conditions propofed by his Lordship: whether any necessity ever existed for proposing such terms and conditions : whether they have not their origin in the deceptive, destructive, false, and erroneous doctrines respecting Ireland, of the late Mr. Edmund Burke and his difciples : whether it is either reafonable, just, or expedient, to confer fuch privileges on Irifh Romanifts, after fo many maffacres and rebellions, and particularly immediately after their recent massacre and rebellion. which has been very partially and inadequately punished ; and which merits vengeance, not honourable reward : and finally, whether fuch arguments as are advanced by Lord Minto, if perfifted in and further urged, may not be the fources of infinite calamity to both countries,

I will take my leave of Lord Minto and his Speech, by obferving, that his Lordfhip in fome parts, particularly in those relating to the animofities refulting from difference of Religion in Ireland, feems to defert his usual caution, and to speak in a strain of dictation to Irish Protestants not very conciliating; intimating an abject dependance of the Irish nation on Great Britain, pretty much in the style of a very arbitrary master to his slave; his Lordship's prudence will, I am sure, prevent such errors from creeping into any of his sure publications. He also distinguishes Irish Protestants and Romanists by the title of *different* different cafts; and flates, ' that every one knows the firm and immovable basis on which their mutual hatred ftands, the irreconcilable nature of its motives, its ⁶ bitter, malignant, and implacable character.' His Lordfhip ought to have been fomewhat better acquainted with the Irifh nation, before he ventured to give the aforefaid defcription of it. His information respecting Ireland feems to be very imperfect in other particulars, as well as in the foregoing. In the great Eaft Indian Peninfula, the natives, who are but flaves to the Moors, are divided into feveral claffes, by Europeans called cafts; they are all of the fame Religion, that of Brama; and these cafts never intermarry with each other, or intermix in any way, or even eat together. Their Religion teaches them to preferve themfelves perfectly feparate and diffinct the one cast from the other, and so they have continued for ages. No fuch feparation or diffinction ever took place in Ireland between Protestants and Romanists : they intermarry with each other, and live together, very frequently in amity and concord, as friends and neighbours. Romanifts frequently conform to the Protestant Religion, and there is fcarce a family of any note in Ireland which has not relatives of both Religions. Many families of the middle rank are much mixed. Protestant men have Romish wives, and Protestant women Romish husbands; and very often, in fuch cafes, the progeny, male and female, has been educated in different persuasions; the males following the Religion of the father, the females that of the mother. Romanists, when acting in a body as a political party, are very different in their conduct from that which they purfue in private life. The unalterable principles of benevolence which the Almighty has implanted in the breafts of mankind, as focial creatures,

tures, exerts its natural influence on Irifh Romaniffs. when difengaged from the leading principles of their fect confidered as a faction : it guides them to the exercife of the ufual offices of neighbours and friends, to those of a different religious persuasion. When they act in a body, and are actuated by the principles of their fect, fuch as they are taught in the Lateran Council, they have often acted with the greateft barbarity, and committed the most inhuman massacres on their Protestant neighbours, relations, and friends; tearing afunder then all the ties of blood, and violating all the duties of friendship; and fuch effects of their religious principles make them the more dangerous as a body, inafmuch as they extinguish all the focial feelings in the breafts of a clafs of men as amply endowed by Nature with the focial qualities and virtues as any other. The Religion of Protestants teaches them no fuch inhuman doctrines: they therefore, as a political body, entertain no fuch deteftable opinions. They are willing to treat, and have always treated, their Romish fellow-subjects, as a body, with every degree of indulgence and kindnefs, which is, or can be confiftent with their own fafety and protection ; and in private life they have always been ready to extend to, and receive from Romanists, every kind of focial, friendly, and neighbourly offices. His Lordfnip is fomewhat incorrect, if not offenfive, in applying the diffinction of different cafts to Irifh Protestants and Romanists; thereby evidently meaning to degrade both the one and the other, by levelling them with the enflaved, ignorant, pagan natives of Hindoftan. He is alfo grofsly miftaken in reprefenting the hatred which Irifh Romanifts, as a body and a faction, manifest against their Protestant countrymen, as mutual. Irith Protestants entertain no fuch

fuch hatred of their Romifh fellow-fubjects, nor did they ever difcover any fymptoms of fuch hatred. They have never adopted any deliberate meafure of hoftility againft their Romifh countrymen, which was not demanded by the imperious calls of felf-defence and protection; and his Lordfhip might have fpared fo unwarranted a reflection, as well as others of the fame kind, on Irifh Proteftants. They are indeed well convinced that Irifh Romanifts, when they act as a political body, do entertain a hatred of Irifh Proteftants, the motives of which are of an irreconcilable nature, and its character bitter, malignant, and implacable, becaufe they are taught by the principles of their Religion to entertain it; but the Proteftant Religion inculcates no fuch principles.

I have now fo fully exposed the milreprefentations of the Political State of Ireland in the Englith publications refpecting the relative numbers, wealth, and power, and the rights and claims, of Protestants and Romanist; the civil diffensions and Rebellions which have taken place in that nation fince the Reformation, and the causes of them; that it is not necessary to advert to other modern English publications on the fame fubject; becaufe the mifreprefentations contained in them are all of the fame nature with those I have already exposed. But there is one pamphlet lately published, entitled, ' Subfance of the Speech of the Right Honour. s able Lord Sheffield, Monday, April 22d, 1799, upon · the Subject of Union with Ireland;' one paragraph of which I shall here take notice of; it is to be found in page 43, and is as follows: 'The objection to Union on the part of the Protestants of Ireland is unaccountable. They can hardly be faid to conftitute a " nation : (208)

 nation; they are an English Colony governing upwards of three millions of Roman Catholics, or at least fix ' times their own number, in a country acquired and · maintained by English arms and treasure; which Co-· lony never could have fupported itfelf, and even the · last fummer would have been overwhelmed, unless ' protected by the fame means, by English power.' Every perfon who has read the preceding pages of this tract, will be convinced that every line of this paragraph contains a groß mifrepresentation, highly offenfive to every Protestant inhabitant of Ireland, and little calculated to promote an Union, the profeffed object of the Speech. This milreprefentation, I am convinced, is entirely and juftly attributable to Burkifm, and mifinformation conveyed to the noble Speaker by the followers of that fect; his well-known character fetting him high above all fuspicion of any other motive. I have already shown that Irith Protestants cannot be confidered as an English Colony, when opposed to Irith Romanists. The diffinction of Colonift and Native has been long fince worn out; and if the origin of the race of Irifh inhabitants is to be traced by their furnames, the inhabitants of native Irith extraction compose a very large proportion of Irifh Protestants, and those of native English extraction a still greater proportion of Irish Romanists. His Lord hip is egregioufly miftaken in his calculation of the relative numbers of Irifh Protestants and Romanists. (See Appendix, No. 1.) The Romish Convention calculated the relative numbers as three to one: his Lordfhip has doubled the proportion! Property is on the fide of the Irifh Protestants as thirty-nine to one; they have therefore, though the finaller number, a right to govern the greater, by the principles of the British Conflitution.

ftitution. Ireland is an acquifition to the British Crown, made by Henry the Second, not by the expenditure of blood and treasure, but by the confent of the natives. If the English Crown has been obliged to employ its arms and expend its treafure in the suppression of subfequent Rebellions, the loval inhabitants of Ireland have always affisted with their arms and treasure, and the English nation has been fully repaid by the retention of its fifter kingdom as part of the British Empire, without which it could fcarcely fubfift in an independent flate, at leaft in the prefent fituation of Europe. His Lordship is also under a great error, when he ftates that Ireland is a country maintained by British arms and treasure; and that Irish Protestants, whom he calls a Colony, could never fupport themfelves without British arms and treafure, and that they would have been overwhelmed in the fummer of 1798, had they not been protected by English power: for Ireland supports herfelf by her own arms and treafure, and the Irifh Protestants are well able to maintain themfelves against any efforts of Irish Romanists by their own arms and treasure; and demonftrated fuch their ability in the fuppreffion of the Romifiz Rebellion in the fummer of 1798, without any British affiftance. Lord Cornwallis came over to Ireland for the purpose of extending pardon to the Irish Rebels, already routed and difperfed, and for defending the country from a foreign invalion; and if the British nation fent affistance to part of the British Empire, invaded or threatened with an invation by the French, with whom the Empire is at war, was the part affifted under any greater obligation for that affiftance than the part which did not ftand in need of fuch affiftance? Is not Ireland engaged in the prefent war with France merely

merely becaufe fhe is a part of the British Empire? And is the not entitled to affiftance from the other parts of the Empire, when menaced with an invation by the common enemy? She is not equal by herfelf to combat with France, neither are the other parts of the Empire taken feparately: they must combat the common enemy with the common force of the whole. It gives me great pain to be obliged thus to animadvert on thefe unfounded affertions which his Lordship has been deceived into the rifking. How very ridiculous would the affertion be, that the Normans fettled in England are now French Colonifts! The man would be looked upon as infane, who fhould argue, that these Colonists, compared with the native Saxons and Britons, were only as one to fix, and that therefore this Colony fhould agree to an Incorporating Union with France. Yet fuch argument would be as good as his Lordship's ; for the Normans settled in England only one century earlier than the English fettled in Ireland; and the Normans may more justly be faid to have fettled in England by conquest, than the English in Ireland. William, previous to his fettlement in England, defeated the Saxon Monarch in a great and decifive battle. Henry the Second acquired Ireland without a blow. In truth, all fuch arguments are highly abfurd. Different nations inhabiting the fame territory for feven hundred years, as the Saxons and Normans; for fix hundred years, as the English and Irish; under the same Government and Laws, must be fo blended and mixed together, as to become one and the fame nation : otherwife the inhabitants of the different diffricts of the habitable world might be faid to be composed of different nations respectively, and the distinctions of different races subfift in the fame country for ever. I must here obferve,

ferve, that publications, purporting to be Speeches fpoken in the British Parliament, respecting Irish affairs, as well by the Members in Opposition as by fome of His Majefty's Minifters and their friends, have had very bad effects in Ireland, and have been among the most prominent caufes of the laft Rebellion ; holding out ftrong encouragements to Irish Romanists, and as it were ftimulating them to infurrection, and infpiring them with the most flattering hope of fuccefs. It is fincerely to be wilhed, that at least the friends of Government in England were more circumfpect and difcreet in their affertions and politions respecting the state of Ireland, and fomewhat better informed, before they venture to fend abroad to the public, crude and ill-digefted plans of projected innovations in that kingdom, and rafh and ill-founded opinions concerning the political influence and power of the different claffes of its inhabitants.

(211)

In the pamphlet entitled ' Arguments for and again/t an Union between Great Britain and Ireland confidered, written, as generally reported, by an English Gentleman in a confidential office under the Government in Ireland, the following points, among others, are flated as propofed to be eftablished by an Union: ' An Arrangement for the Roman Catholic Clergy, fo as to put an end, if ⁴ poffible, to religious jealoufies, and to enfure the at-' tachment of that order of men to the State .- An Ar-' rangement with respect to Tithes.' And in page 20 of that excellent pamphlet entitled ' The Speech of the Right · Honourable William Pitt in the British House of Com-" mons,' there is a paffage which has given no fmall caule of alarm to Irifh Protestants: the caules of complaint of Irifh Romanists are therein styled most goading

P 2

goading and oppreffive; and the passage proceeds,- with refpect to the grievances of which the lower orders of e the Catholics of Ireland complain, I do not at prefent · purpose entering into the detail of the means which " may be found to alleviate their distress, nor how far · it is poffible to give them relief on the fubject of Tithes, · or put it into their power to make a provision for the Clergy " of their own Church.' Connecting this paffage with the two points fuggested by the above-mentioned pamphlet, it would feem that the British Ministry had come to a refolution to give a national fupport to the Romifh Irifh Clergy, and that this fupport is to be extracted from that of the Parochial Protestant Clergy of Ireland, to wit, the Tithes, by affigning a portion of them to the Romish Clergy. I have already shown, that no part of the Tithes is really and bona fide paid out of the property of the Peafantry, but out of that of their Landlords; and that forty-nine parts out of fifty of the landed eftates belong to Protestants, and confequently that forty-nine parts out of fifty of the Tithes are really paid out of the property of Protestants. I have shown, that the Irish Protestant Clergy are not paid by the Romish Peafantry in general, more than one fifth part of the real value of the Tithes, though an abatement is made out of the rents of their farms nearly equal to the real value of them; fo that the Peafantry really gain, inflead of lofing, by the lands being fubject to Tithes. Is it therefore confiftent with justice, leaving civil policy out of the question, to compel Irish Protestant Landlords to support Romisla Priefts for instructing their Tenantry in principles hostile, not only to the State, but to their perfonal fafety individually? And is it reasonable that fuch support should be fubtracted from the fubfiltence of the Protestant Parochial

rochial Clergy, already fufficiently fcanty? It must be obferved here, that the Romish Parish Priests have in general a comfortable fubfiftence : they can afford to live as well as the great majority of the beneficed Protestant Clergy of Ireland. I have already flown the poor provision which is made for them; and the Curates of the eftablished Church are in a worse fituation, in point of fubfistence, than the great majority of Romith Priest; nor is there at prefent any great profpect of materially bettering their condition; the narrow circumftances and fcanty provision of the majority of the beneficed Clergy rendering any confiderable increase of the flipends of Curates in general impracticable. The Protestant Clergy are mostly married men, and have families to fupport: the Romish Priests are bound to celibacy. I have already fhown, that Romish Priests, from certain doctrines and ceremonies of their Religion, have ways and means of extracting money from those of their perfuasion, which the Protestant Clergy have not. The former, throughout Europe, are complete adepts in the fcience of religious alchemy. A Romish Priest can procure a subfistence from a congregation of Romanists, when a Protestant Clergyman, with a congregation of Protestants equally numerous and wealthy, if bereft of Tithes, would starve. It is further to be confidered, that the difaffection of the Romish Clergy, as well as Laity, arises from the principles of their Religion; and that it admits of no other cure than the change of these principles. Were the Romish Clergy in possession of the whole revenues of the Protestant Church Establishment in Ireland, it would not render them a whit lefs hoftile to the Proteftant Government. No argument for conferring on them a provision from the State can be deduced from the suppolition,

P3

position, that the attachment of that order of men would be thereby enfured to the State. Their hostility would in fuch cafe remain unchanged : their ability to exercife it more effectually would be increased. The Gentleman who has propofed this expedient, has, if I am rightly informed, documents in his possession fufficient to demonstrate that the Romish Priests throughout the kingdom were very active agents in the late Rebellion : many of them appeared openly in arms, and were generally the most blood-thirsty of the Infurgents. It is not a little furprifing to obferve, that a scheme for conferring public rewards and eftablishments on vanquished, yet irreclaimable Rebels, who fo recently inbrued their hands in the blood of their loyal fellow-fubjects, at the expense, and extracted from the pockets, of the furviving fufferers, should be proposed as one of the conditions of an Incorporating Union of Great Britain and Ireland! And it is to be hoped that fuch fcheme will be finally reprobated by the magnanimous British Minufter, and by every Protestant in Great Britain and Ireland; and that no new arrangements respecting Tithes will be introduced in Ireland, except fuch as fhall be adopted in England; and that the established Clergy of both kingdoms may be kept on the fame footing. That great Minister, who may with propriety be ftyled the Atlas of Europe, is fo fully engaged in bufinefs of the greatest moment to the Chriftian world, that he has not always fufficient leifure to examine minutely all the circumftances which must be confidered in the progrefs of a Treaty of Union. His great and comprehenfive mind entertains the general plan on an enlarged fcale of public utility; but he will not difdain to receive information from inferior understandings on points of moment, relating to the rights of confiderable

(215)

confiderable bodies of men, whofe agency materially contributes to the existence of fociety, and whose fecurity must be provided for in the treaty,-rights on which he may have yet cast but a transient glance, as a man furveying a region from a high mountain, views, with but little attention, inferior eminences.

I cannot help expreffing my regret, that the fpirit of Burkifm, which has notorioully pollefied fome men of great power in England, feems to have made its way to the British Minister, fo as to convey to him fome very falle information respecting Irish affairs. His styling the causes of complaint of Irish Romanists most goading and oppreffive, convinces me that fome impreffions have been made upon him, not at all favourable to the true interests, not only of Irish, but of English Protestants. I cannot comprehend what goading or oppreffive caufe of complaint Irish Romanists labour under. They are on an exact level with Irifh Proteflants in the equal enjoyment of all civil privileges, except the capacity of fitting in Parliament and filling a few public offices, in whole department is lodged the Supreme Executive Power of the State. And how are they incapacitated ? By their refufal to take the oaths and engagements for the fecurity of the State, taken by all His Majesty's subjects who fit in Parliament or fill fuch offices. This incapacity is the only caufe of complaint of Irifh Remanifts; and Englifh Romanists have the very fame cause of complaint. Is this a caufe of complaint most goading and oppressive? Are the provisions of the English A& of the first of Elizabeth, of the Bill of Rights, the 1st of William and Mary, of the Teft and Corporation Acts, of the 30th of Charles the Second, of the 1st of George the Firft,

P 4

First, of the A& ratifying the Articles of Union of England and Scotland, of all the fimilar A&s in Ireland, all already mentioned, goading and oppreffive? I am convinced Mr. Pitt never intended to throw fuch a reflection, fuch a cenfure, on these A&s, allowed by all our ablest writers, and by all who are not Romanists or Jacobins, to be the bulwarks of the Constitution. I confess myself utterly unable to guess the meaning of the passage I have quoted, and would acknowledge great obligations to any perfon who would be kind enough to explain it to me,

I think this no improper place to give a flort defcription, for the information of English Protestants, of the magnificent feminary for the exclusive education of Romish Priests, lately founded and established in Ireland by the fole influence of the English Secretary to the Lord Lieutenant, always the Irish Prime Minister.

In the feffion of the Irifh Parliament of the year 1795, a Bill was introduced by the Secretary into the Houfe of Commons, entitled, 'An Act for the better Educa-' tion of Perfons profeffing the Popifh or Roman Ca-' tholic Religion,' This Act empowered certain truftees, therein named, to receive donations for eftablifhing and endowing an academy, for the education of perfons profeffing the Roman Catholic Religion, and to acquire lands exempt from forfeiture by mortmain, not exceeding the yearly value of one thoufand pounds : and a claufe was introduced at the end of it, for giving thefe truftees the fum of eight thoufand pounds, out of the public money, as an aid to the undertaking. This Bill paffed through the Houfe, and was enacted into a law,

law, without opposition, and with little notice or difcuffion. The Secretary reprefented, that it was more the interest of the State to permit Romanists to found a feminary for the education of their youth at home, than, by refufing fuch permission, to incline them to fend them abroad to foreign Romish feminaries; and that it was becoming the liberality of the nation to give them fome aid towards the inftitution. Thefe reprefentations were plaufible, and no Member of either House thought it a matter of sufficient importance to warrant an opposition, supposing the Romanists were to complete the business at their own cofts; and that Parliament would hear no more of it. Mr. Huffey, already mentioned, a Romish Priest educated in Spain, and imported into Ireland during the fhort Lieutenancy of Earl Fitzwilliam, was, by the influence of the Secretary, appointed Prefident of the Seminary: this man has been fince appointed Bishop of Waterford, as he afferts, by the Pope, and has made himfelf very remarkable in Ireland, by the publication of a most inflammatory feditious pamphlet, under the title of a Paftoral Letter. The projectors of this scheme of a Romifh Seminary, however, were determined not to let the Irifh Parliament off on fuch cheap terms: the English Secretary, though a very honourable worthy man, feemed in every particular to be a ftaunch Burkift, or at leaft to be under the dominion of that fect of politicians; and, being the oftenfible founder of the Seminary, he refolved it should not be left for its support to the cafual benevolence of Irifh Romanifts, for whole ule it was founded; he procured the payment to the truftees of large fums of money out of the public purfe, amounting in the whole to near forty thousand pounds. In

In every fublequent feffion, a regular charge of eight thousand pounds was made to Parliament for its annual fupport. The magnitude of this fum, for fuch a purpofe. ftartled fome of the Members of both Houfes, and regular accounts and items of the effablishment and expenditure were called for: and it appeared in the feffion of 1798, that not one penny had ever been received by the truftées, from any Romanist as a donation : that the establishment was for the exclusive education of two hundred students in Divinity only, as a fource to furnish a perpetual supply of two thousand Romish Priests to the Kingdom : that each of these students was to be entertained in the Seminary for four years, and then priefted, and fent abroad as an officiating Clergyman: that the annual fum of eight thousand pounds was required for the maintenance of them and their teachers; that is, thefe two hundred fludents were to be educated at an annual expense of forty pounds per head to the nation : that none of the Romish laity were to be admitted as ftudents into this Seminary; which is neither more nor lefs than a most magnificent Romish Monastery, erected, and to be fupported, by the Irish Protestant Parliament, within eleven miles of the city of Dublin, for the *[apient* purpole of training a perpetual body of two thousand missionaries to be dispersed through the nation, to propagate fystematic doctrinal difaffection to the effablished Government in Church and State. Many Members of both Houfes expressed their diflike of this inflitution; they did not fail to flate to the Minifter, that Parliament had been led into an acquiescence with the meafure in its infancy, by great cunning and addrefs; that the Eill, under the fhade of which this noxious and unconflitutional weed had fprung up, did not

not warrant fo dangerous an innovation; that it only empowered Irith Romanists to found a Seminary at their own expense, for the education of their youth in general; yet this was a Seminary founded, and to be fupported, at the expense of the nation, for the exclufive education of Romish Priests: that it was evident the Romish Laity did not defire nor want fuch a Seminary, for they never had fubfcribed a shilling to its fupport; and the University of Dublin had been opened to them for the education of their youth, by the Bill of 1793, in their favour. The English Secretary argued for the expediency of the inflitution, principally, almost entirely, on the neceffity, as he alledged, of a fupply of Romiß Priefts, for the nation : he stated, that the Seminaries for the education of the Romish Priests in France and Flanders, had been deftroyed by the Jacobins; that Romish Priests required an education different from that of their Laity; and that therefore this Seminary was properly appropriated to the exclusive education of Romish Priests. Many Members of the House could not comprehend the force of this argument : they could not understand how the destruction of Seminaries for the education of Romith Priefts in Flanders or France. made it imperative on the State to provide for the education of a fet of men, in principles of Religion, not only different from that of the State, but hoftile to it; and for the purpole of diffuling it. They conceived the de-Aruction of fuch Seminaries to be advantageous to the State; the establishment of fimilar ones in their own country, for the fame purpofe, highly pernicious. They could not comprehend the public utility of educating Romilh youth, deftined for the Ministry, in different schools from the lay youth of that perfusion : they knew it to be

be an old policy in the Court of Rome, to feparate the Clergy from the Laity, in all concerns relating to private life, as much as poffible; that it might attach the Clergy in all countries to its own particular intereft : but they could not comprehend the necessity of their fupporting fuch a political fystem. The Minister was very hard pushed in the year 1798, in carrying the grant of eight thousand pounds to his Monastery through the Houfe; many of his most attached friends deferted, and left the Houfe during the debate; he was obliged to fend out his emiffaries to rally his fcattered troops ; and he carried it at last by a reluctant majority in a very thin House, few more than forty Members being prefent. In the last fession of the Irish Parliament, the new Minister introduced a Bill in the Houfe of Commons, for a grant of a fomething lefs fum than eight thousand pounds to this Monastery; it passed the House, and went up to the Lords, where it was thrown out. It appeared on this occasion, that fixty-nine students only, instead of two hundred, were maintained in the house, notwithftanding the charge for the fupport of the full number was but little diminished. It was currently reported, and very generally believed, that about thirty-fix Romifh fludents from this Monastery, had, on the breaking out of the Rebellion, joined the Infurgents, and fought at Kilcock and other places against the King's troops. Certain it is, that fixteen or feventeen have been expelled from it on account of the Rebellion; but the Governors waited with becoming prudence, till the Rebellion was suppressed, before they executed this act of wholefome feverity. Some of thefe rebellious fludents had been flain in action, and others had fled to efcape punishment. Previous to the breaking out of the Re-

bellion.

bellion, a visitation had been held in Trinity College, Dublin, and feventeen students, all Romanists, except three or four reputed Protestants, to the best of my recollection, had been expelled by the Vilitors; it being proved, that they had been feduced into that abominable traitorous affociation, called the Society of United Irifhmen: one of the reputed Protestants was a brother of Mr. Emmet, a Member of the Irish Directory, now imprifoned at Fort George in Scotland. From what appeared before the Visitors, it was pretty evident, that Romanifts had reforted to that College, particularly the fons of a leading Romish democrat, heretofore a very active Member of the Romifh Convention in Dublin, for the fole purpole of diffuling the poilon, and propagating the doctrines, of the United Irifhmen through the College; and that the contagion was flopped in time, by the fpirit and exertions of the Protestant students, through whofe intervention the Vifitors were called on for the exertion of their authority, to purge the College of fuch peftilent corruptions. When the new Minister found his Bill, for the grant of fo large a fum of money to the Romifh Seminary, was rejected by the House of Lords, he attempted to introduce another Bill for the fame purpofe, into the Houfe of Commons; but this was rejected, conformably to the established usage of the Houfe, which forbids the introduction of a new Bill, for the fame purpofe with a rejected one, in the fame fession. The new Minister, on the introduction of his fecond Bill, thought fit to advert to the visitation which had been held eight months before in the College of Dublin; and extolling, very jufly, the conduct of one of the Vifitors (without condefcending to take the finalleft notice of the conduct of the other, who had in every particula_r

2

cular concurred with his colleague), he took occasion to acquaint the Houfe, that the College of Dublin had been infected with the poifon of treafon; without any reafon, visible to the generality of the Members, for the introduction of the vifitation or the infection of the College of Dublin into his fpeech; with any part of which they had no apparent connexion : he alfo pathetically lamented the mifcarriage of his Bill in the Houfe of Lords; and particularly stated, that Government would not let fo useful an institution, as that of the Romish Monastery, fall to decay, for want of sufficient support. I perfectly underftood the Irifh Minister's reason for introducing into his fpeech the vifitation of the College of Dublin, and its infection : he feared the objections which might be made to the Romifh Monastery, on the fcore of treafon, and determined to obviate them, by flating that the Protestant College of Dublin had also been infected. But he omitted to flate, that almost all the fludents expelled from the College of Dublin were Romanifts, or had been fhortly before fo; and that the infection had been introduced by them : that the College of Dublin had near feven hundred students, feventeen only of whom were expelled, and that they were dragged to the vifitatorial tribunal by the Protestant students : that the great mass of the students of Trinity College, Dublin, were eminently loyal: and that the Romith Monaftery, whole students were feeluded from the world, and therefore lefs liable to external infection, had but fixty-nine fludents, feventeen of whom were expelled for actual Rebellion, exclusive of those who were killed in action, or fled from the gallows. I never could difcover the utility of the inftitution, as flated by the Minister; nor do I fufficiently understand, how Government can apply

apply any part of the public treafure to its fupport, not only without the concurrence of Parliament, but contrary to its decision, by the rejection of the Bill for that purpofe: I thought the Treasury was better guarded, than to admit of fuch an arbitrary disposition of any part of its contents. The annual income for the support of the University of Dublin does not amount to eight thousand pounds. A large part of this arises from private donations, the remainder from grants of the Crown of its own estates, and no part of it from Parliamentary grants of fums to be raifed by taxes affeffed on the fubject. The monstrous provision required for the education and fubfistence of two hundred Romish students, all paupers, will be more clearly understood, when I compare it with that which is provided for poor fcholars in Trinity College, Dublin, most of whom are deftined to the Protestant Ministry in Ireland. There are thirty fizers in the College of Dublin; thefe poor gentlemen have no provision whatfoever from the College, fave their dinners, of the broken meat from the table of the fellows : they are obliged to provide their own chambers : there are feventy-two fcholars of the house, thirty of whom enjoy what are called Natives' Places; the Natives have each a falary of twenty pounds per annum, and their dinners: the remaining forty-two fcholars have four pounds per annum, and their dinners: they are both obliged to provide their own chambers. A fcholarship is not attainable till the student is of two or three years flanding, a Native's place not until he is of four : and both expire when the fludent attains the flanding of a Mafter of Arts. The Romish poor scholars in the Monastery are magnificently lodged gratis, and maintained at a great expense in a moft

3

most plentiful manner: there is a provision for two hundred. How different are the establishments for the education of the Protestant and Romish Clergy in Ireland! And how infinitely superior is that of the latter class! This is one, and a very glaring effect of the spirit of Burkism, diffused among our rulers both in Great Britain and Ireland. There is no such magnificent foundation, in any College of the two famous Universities of Oxford and Cambridge, for the education and subsistence of poor, or any students, as is made in this Romish Monastery, for the education and substiftence of these embryos of Romish Priests, destined for the propagation of doctrinal principles through the realm, subversive of the Constitution in Church and State !

I am now come to the laft obfervation I shall make on the melancholy effects of the fpirit of Burkism in the British Councils: I should indeed have been willing to omit it, from my respect to the great Personage, whofe conduct I must necessarily advert to; but the transaction I am about to flate, has become fo public, and is of itself of so extraordinary a nature, so demonstrative of the deceptions practifed in England by Mr. Burke's difciples, and the mifinformation refpecting the state of Ireland, which they find means to convey to great Ministers of State, and obtain credit for; that it cannot be omitted in a Tract, written with a view of opening the eyes of the British nation, and exposing to it the dangerous arts of the professors of Burkism, and the deplorable, effects they are capable of producing. The

The county of Wexford, in which the late Rebellion burft out, fuddenly and unexpectedly, with aftonifhing rapidity and fury, lies on the fea-coaft oppofite to Pembrokeshire in South Wales; it is almost entirely comprised in the diocese of Ferns. The Rebels in two days made themfelves mafters of almost the whole county, and particularly of the inland parts. I have already flated part of the barbarous maffacres they committed on the Protestant inhabitants of that county in cold blood; and particularly their flaughter of all the Protestant Clergy who fell into their hands. At the first explosion, a few Protestant Clergymen, and other Proteftant inhabitants, living near the fea-coaft, finding their retreat into the country cut off, and hearing of the indiferiminate murder of all Protestants by the Rebels, hopelefs of mercy, fled to the coaft, threw themfelves into the first boats they met with, almost all finall yawls and skiffs, undecked, and furnished only with oars, and committed themfelves to the waves of a very boifterous fea, to escape from their merciless purfuers. The Almighty God preferved the lives of thefe perfecuted wanderers; they croffed the Channel fafely, and landed in Pembrokelhire, with no other fustenance than what was contained in their pockets; and no clothes, but what they wore on their perfons; and made their way to the town of Haverford Weft, destitute of all means of sublistence. Mr. John Colclough of Tintern Abbey, on the fea-coast of the county of Wexford, the fecond fon of a respectable family in the county, and nephew to Mr. Cornelius Grogan, who acted in the capacity of Commiffary General to the Rebel army, having a ftout veffel of his own, put to fea on the breaking out of the Rebellion, and landed in Pembrokethire,

thire, together with one Thomas M'Cord or M'Leard. a clerk whom he employed in a flour-mill, and they both repaired to the town of Haverford West. It appears by the Report of the Secret Committee of the Irith Houfe of Commons, that Mr. Colclough and his clerk were suspected to have been very active in promoting the Rebellion. (See Appendix to that Report. No. 35.) However, whether the fulpicion was just or not, neither the one nor the other chose to join the Infurgents; for they quitted the country on the commencement of the Rebellion. Mr. Colclough was at the head of his family intereft (his eldeft brother being abfent on the Continent), which was very confiderable, and gave him a great influence among the lower orders in the county, particularly the Romifh peafantry; and he might have been of great fervice in curbing the Rebellion, had he remained in the country, and been willing to exert himfelf in that refpect : and he could have remained in the country with greater fafety than other gentlemen, as well on account of his family influence, as that of his uncle, a Rebel General, and a man of great property. The Magistracy and inhabitants of Haverford West received the unfortunate fugitives with great charity and hofpitality: they made fubscriptions for their relief and support until they could procure supplies for their subsistence from Ireland. Meff. Jordan and Bowen, Magistrates of Haverford Weft, wrote an account of the arrival of these fufferers in their town, to his Grace the Duke of Portland, His Majesty's Secretary of State for the Home Department, and probably folicited relief for them : to this application of these Magistrates, his Grace was pleafed to return the following anfwer: which I here infert.

infert, as it was published in the Dublin Journal, and feveral other Newspapers. It bears date the 22d of June 1798:

GENTLEMEN,

· I have received your letter on the fubject of the late in-" flux of perfons in your county from Ireland, and am ex-⁶ tremely forry to observe that there are fo many young · Clergymen and able-bodied men among them. The conduct * of fuch perfons in remaining out of Ireland at a moment · like the prefent, is very much to be cenfured; and I de-⁶ fire that you will use your best endeavours to impress them with a due fenfe of the dangerous tendency of fuch an example, and of the difhonourable and difgraceful im-⁶ putations to which it obvioufly expofes themfelves : and at the fame time that you will make known to the · Clergy, that their names will certainly be reported to their " respective diocesans. With respect to Mr. Colclough, and Mr. M'Cord, I defire that they may have full li-• berty, either to go to Ireland, or to flay in the country; and that all perfons for whom they will answer, as well as all the infirm men, women, and children, may be ad-* mitted to the fame indulgence.

· I am, Gentlemen,

· Your most obedient humble fervant,

Whitehall, June 22d, 1798. PORTLAND.

" To Meffrs. Jordan and Bowen, at Haverford Weft."

The county and the town of Wexford were refcued from the Rebels, about the time of the date of the Duke's letter. They were chafed from them with confiderable flaughter ;

flaughter : the Protestant Gentlemen of the county were fummoned to a general meeting in the town of Wexford on the 7th of July 1798, by General Lake. A copy of the Duke's letter was laid before them; they were all flruck with amazement : and they determined unanimoufly to fend a letter to the Duke on the fubject, of which the following is a copy : it was figned by the High Sheriff of the county :

" The Committee of Gentlemen of the county of " Wexford, appointed by General Lake, having read a · copy of a letter from his Grace the Duke of Portland to Meffrs. Bowen and Jordan, Magistrates in the town · of Haverford Weft, South Wales, dated 22d June, ult. and which appears to have been in answer to a letter · received by his Grace from those Gentlemen, cannot avoid teftifying their hearty forrow at the cenfure thrown " upon the Clergy of their diocefe in faid letter, and their · indignation at the großs mifrepresentation which must ⁴ have occasioned it. They are unanimous in a high * opinion of the loyalty, patriotifm, and proper conduct s of the Clergy, and ftrongly feel the neceffity of their flight and abfence during the continuance of the Rebel-" lion which fo unhappily raged in this county ; as, had " they not effected their efcape, they have every reason to " conclude that they would have fhared a fimilar fate with · those unhappy few of that body, who early fell into the ⁶ hands of the Infurgents, and were afterwards maffacred · in cold blood.

They lament, that men of fuch unblemisched character and conduct, should, from the secret representations of persons no way qualified, be proferibed that
protection

⁶ protection and afylum fo liberally beftowed on the per⁶ fons of Mr. John Colclough and Thomas M⁴Cord, men
⁶ who were, and might have remained in perfect fecurity
⁶ in His Majefty's fort at Duncannon, and whofe cha⁶ racters are by no means free from imputation in this
⁶ country, and on whom they are forry to find fuch favour
⁶ lavifhed by the Englifh Cabinet, as they are certain no
⁶ favourable account of their conduct could be made to
⁶ Government fave by themfelves.

· EDWARD PERCIVALL,

· Sheriff, and Chairman of the Committee.

· Wexford, July 7th, 1798.

" To his Grace the Duke of Portland, Whitehall."

To this letter, though written in the names of a great number of the most respectable Gentlemen in the county, and figned by the High Sheriff, his Grace, as I am informed, never condescended to return any answer.

The following paragraph was inferted in the Waterford Newspaper of July the 10th, 1798 :

Yefterday Mr. John Colclough of Tintern Caftle,
county of Wexford, was brought here from Milford, in
cuftody of two King's meffengers; he was efforted by
a party of the Union cavalry to Thomas Town on his
way to Dublin. Mr. M'Cord, who was implicated in
the charge for which the former was apprehended, had
made off, but it is faid that there was no probability of
his avoiding the vigilance of his purfuers. Thefe are
the two Gentlemen who were fpoken fo favourably of, in a
Q.3

e letter from the Duke of Portland to Meffrs. Jordan and

6 Bowen at Haverford West.

· CLERICUS WEXFORDIENSIS.'

His Grace the Duke of Portland is the chief of the family of Bentinck in England, which is originally Dutch; his Grace's anceftor was the great favourite of King William the Third, our glorious deliverer from Popery, Slavery, and arbitrary Power: he accompanied that great Prince to England, and received from him most bountiful rewards for his fervices, and most lavish marks of his favour. His Grace owes all his honours, all his great effates, to the Revolution of 1688; he enjoys, and holds them, if I may fo fay, by a Protestant tenure. His Grace is univerfally efteemed a Nobleman of great benevolence, and amply endowed with the focial virtues. At the time he wrote this letter to the Magistrates of Haverford West, he was, as he now is, His Majefty's principal Secretary of State for the Home Department; and confequently ought to have been well informed on points relating to the internal state, as well of Ireland, as of Great Britain. To what caufe then can the writing of fuch a letter by his Grace, fo unmeritedly reflecting on the conduct of Protestant Clergymen, be attributed ? Protestant Clergymen in the most diftreffed fituation that men could be reduced to ! obliged to beg from ftrangers a morfel of bread, and a fpot to lay their wearied limbs in ! a letter proferibing all relief to Protestant Clergymen, obliged to fly, naked and destitute, from their homes, in open boats acrofs the fea, and to brave all the perils of the deep, to escape from the ruthlefs daggers of infuriate Romifh affaffins; that relief which was fo liberally and charitably afforded to French Romifb Priefts in fimilar circumstances ! a letter enjoining their Protestant

Protestant fellow-fubjects to fpurn Protestant Clergyment flying from massacre, and imploring protection, with contempt and reprobation from their doors! The caufe is to be found in the indefatigable exertions of the difciples of the late Mr. Edmund Burke, and of himfelf whilft living, in infufing the poifon of his Romifh principles into the minds of his Grace, and of other great men in England : mifreprefenting, traducing, and vilifying with unwearied and unceasing application, the whole Protestant system in Ireland. How powerful must the influence of Burkism be, when it could load the mild and benevolent disposition of his Grace with fo uncharitable a bias! I have been for fifteen years last past Vicar General of the diocefe of Ferns; I have therefore an opportunity of being well acquainted with the Clergy of it ; and I never yet knew a fet of men more orthodox, more pious, more charitable, more effeemed by their Protestant parifhioners, and, as was generally fuppofed, by their Romifh parishioners too, till the late Rebellion fet fire to the mine of their irreclaimable hostility to what they are taught to repute Herefy. The Wexford Clergy were almost all refident in their respective parishes, and were regular in the discharge of their duty. But my testimony of their irreproachable and meritorious conduct is of very little confequence, when weighed with that of the great body of the Protestant Gentlemen of the county, their parifhioners, and their attached friends, from a due fenfe and experience of their merits. His Grace's unwarrantable reflections on the conduct of the poor, diffreffed, despoiled, and exiled Protestant Clergy of Wexford in his letter, are not more wonderful, than his favourable recommendation of Meffrs. Colclough and McCord to the Magistrates of Haverford West. Surely if the conduct of the

Q.4

the Clergy, in flying from their country in a feafon of Rebellion, was reprehensible; that of these two perfonages, particularly of the first, in abandoning their country at fo critical a period, deferved infinitely more the cenfure of his Grace. They were both young men able to fight in defence of their lives and properties; and Mr. Colclough could have been of great fervice in extinguishing the flames of Rebellion in a very confiderable part of the county of Wexford, had he been inclined to exert himfelf for that purpofe; and they could both have remained at home with much lefs hazard to their perfons or properties, than the Protestant Clergy. His Grace's favour to these two men must have arisen from the same fource with his reprobation of the conduct of the Wexford Clergy. And I do prefume most humbly to fuggest to his Grace, the justice and propriety of reviewing his own conduct on this occasion ; and examining into the fources of that mifinformation, which led his Grace to adopt a proceeding fo opposite to the general operations of his Grace's humanity, fo very diftreffing to the innocent and oppreffed objects of his Grace's cenfure, and fo grating to the feelings of every loyal Protestant subject of the Britifh Empire.

I will conclude with expressing a wish, that the influence of *Burkism* may receive an effectual and timely check, as well in Great Britain as in Ireland: and that all difgraceful and pitiful intrigues with an Irish Romish faction, in itself utterly inefficient, either for the promotion or obstruction of an Incorporating Union of the two nations, and highly offensive to the loyal Protessiant subjects of His Majesty in Ireland, may be speedily and for ever abandoned : and that the patronage by British Statessian Statesmen of fuch mischievous, desperate projects as Lord Minto's, which, if at all practicable, would be fubverfive of the Conftitution in Church and State, in both countries, may not be made a powerful objection to that most effective of all measures, which can be ever proposed for the aggrandizement of the British Empire, and the mutual benefit of both countries-an INCORPORATING UNION.

PATRICK DUIGENAN.

Dublin, September 20th, 1799.

P. S. My original defign was, to prefent to the British Ministry, and to the English nation in general, a fair and just picture of the Prefent Political State of Ireland; which feems to have, for fome time past, been exposed to their view in very falfe and deceptive colours. I conceived that fuch a performance might be of use in the arrangement of measures, which must precede and introduce an Incorporating Union of the two nations. I am a man attached to no party, unlefs my fleady adherence to the principles of the Constitution of the British Empire in Church and State be confidered as attachment to a party. I am neither placed nor penfioned, but I am a loyal Protestant subject of His Majesty. I have explicitly expreffed my opinion refpecting an Incorporating Union, though I have offered no arguments on the question, as it has been already fully canvaffed in many able publications both in England and Ireland; amongft which, I particularly recommend to the perufal of fuch as are defirous of good information on'the fubject, two pamphlets : the one containing

4

taining 'The Speech of William Smith, Efq. on the Debate of the Question of Union in the Irifb House of Commons,' particularly that part of it which relates to the Competency of Parliament: the other, the fame Gentleman's 'Review' of the pamphlet containing 'The Speech of the Right Ho-' nourable the Speaker of the Irish House of Commons:' infinitely the most formidable adversary of an Union, and one of the ablest men in Ireland. These two pamphlets I look upon as capital performances. In the latter, the author, who is a young man, has combated with great vigour the arguments of the well-informed veteran politician.

APPENDIX.

APPENDIX.

No. 1.

Calculation of the Number of Inhabitants in Ireland.

SIR WILLIAM PETTY furveyed the whole kingdom of Ireland with amazing accuracy (as may be feen by his Map preferved in the Surveyor-general's office), fhortly before the Reftoration in 1660, by order of the then Irifh Government. In his ' Political Anatomy' he flates the whole population of Ireland in 1672, fixteen years antecedent to the commencement of the Revolution war, to amount to one million one hundred thoufand.

Dean Swift, in his fecond Drapier's Letter, published in 1724, states, that the inhabitants of Ireland, by the largest computation, then amounted to one million and a half only.

In 1732, an enumeration of the inhabitants of Ireland was made by order of Government, and they were found to be under two millions.

Mr. Bushe, a Member of the Irish House of Commons, has given an account of the number of houses in Ireland, calculated calculated from the hearth-money books in 1791; with other documents for enumerating the inhabitants of Ireland.

Mr. Chalmers, from the documents furnished by Mr. Bushe, calculates the number of inhabitants of Ireland in 1791 to amount to four millions two hundred thousand; and from thence deduces, that they have been nearly quadrupled in the course of one hundred years fince the Revolution. (See ' Chalmers's Estimate,' page 222, 223.)

With this last calculation I cannot agree, for the following reafons:

Ift. Mr. Chalmers, who has taken great pains to afcertain the population of England and Wales, computes that population at the time of the Revolution to have amounted to nearly feven millions (Effimate, page 58); and the population in the year 1794 to amount to nearly eight millions and a half (Effimate, page 220): and confequently, that England and Wales have increased in population only one million and a half in one hundred years. In his quotation from Mr. Wallace it is laid down, that the distressed circumstances of mankind difabling them to provide for a family, check very greatly the increase of population (Effimate, page 221): and he flates, that when England was a country of shepherds and warriors, she was inconfiderable in numbers; that when manufacturers found their way into the country, when husbandmen gradually acquired greater skill, and when the spirit of commerce at length actuated all, people, as it were, grew out of the earth, amidst convulsions, famine, and warfare ; that England can fcarcely be regarded as a manufacturing and

and commercial country at the Revolution, when contrafted with her present prosperity in manufacture and trade. (Effimate, page 212, 213.) Ireland, during the whole period from the Revolution to the prefent day, when manufactures and commerce are little more than in their dawn, that dawn itfelf only commencing in the year 1782, when the fhackles on her commerce were taken off, may be faid to have been a country of shepherds and warriors. The whole kingdom, part of the province of Ulster excepted, in which the linen manufacture has for fome years flourished (and which also has been doubled in the last ten years), being almost destitute of agriculture and manufactures, and inhabited chiefly by herdfinen; a peafantry, as poor and miferable as any in Europe, for want of employment, and always furnishing the armies of foreign Potentates, as well as those of Great Britain, with multitudes of foldiers, and all foreign nations, particularly America, with crowds of emigrants : England, at the fame time, conftantly increasing in manufactures and commerce, and her people fo fully employed, that the nation has frequently complained of want of hands to execute the various works in which they were engaged. From all which, I think it not only improbable, but impoffible, that Ireland can have quadrupled her population fince the Revolution, and that England and Wales fhould not, in the fame fpace of time, have increafed their population even by a fourth part.

2dly. I think the calculation made by Mr. Chalmers, from the documents afforded by Mr. Bufhe, of the numbers in each houfe, is too great; in particular, the calculation of fix perfons to a houfe of one hearth: not more than four, if fo many, fhould be allowed. The inhabitants inhabitants of fuch houfes cannot be fuppofed to maintain fervants; they confift of a man, his wif, and children, when fully inhabited; often of widows and children. The Irifh inhabitants of fuch houfes fend off their children as fervants or labourers the moment they are able to gain any kind of fubfiltence, their extreme poverty difabling them to maintain a family.

3dly. I am inclined to think that there is fome error in the calculation of the number of houfes in the nation, and that they do not amount to fo many as Mr. Bushe has computed them to be.

4thly, and laftly. The superficial contents of the island of Great Britain are to those of Ireland in the proportion of three and a half to one. I conjecture, from the inspection of the maps, that the superficial contents of Scotland, with its islands, are pretty much the fame with those of Ireland, perhaps they a little exceed them : England and Wales must be therefore more than twice as large as Ireland. I appeal to every intelligent man who has travelled over the two nations, whether the local population of England and Wales be not vaftly greater than that of Ireland. If England and Wales have mountains and waftes, Ireland has her mountains, waftes, bogs, lakes, and moraffes, of much greater extent, comparatively speaking, than England and Wales; and in the inhabited parts of the two nations the populousness of England and Wales exceeds that of Ireland in a very great ratio. I fancy Mr. Chalmers, whole relearches, accuracy, and judgment, do him infinite honour, never travelled through Ireland, particularly through the provinces of Connaught, Munster, and Leinster; if he had, he never

never could have been induced to believe that Ireland contained upwards of four millions of inhabitants, and England and Wales only double that number. One thing I will venture to affert, either that the calculation of the number of inhabitants of England and Wales falls vaftly thort of the real number, or that the number of the inhabitants of Ireland is grofsly exaggerated : and that if Ireland contains upwards of four millions of inhabitants, England and Wales contain at least twelve millions. I have a much better opinion of the accuracy of Mr. Chalmers's calculations, and the authenticity of his documents, than of those of Mr. Bushe; and am myself decidedly of opinion, that the number of the inhabitants of Ireland has been exaggerated; and particularly, becaufe I have known it to be a cuftom in Ireland, for gentlemen, who have affumed the title of Patriots, conftantly to exaggerate the strength and importance of Ireland, with a view of bearding Great Britain; and they have, in the fashion of Falstaff, increased their men in buckram by upwards of one million, in my own recollection. A fort of inferior Member of this class of politicians, and an United Irifhman, lately published a pamphlet in Dublin, relating to the health of the Citizens, and means of preferving it. in which he afferts, transiently as it were, that the inhabitants of Dublin amount to four hundred thoufand, though I never before heard them computed at a greater number than one hundred and fifty thousand, and they are certainly under two hundred thousand. I am myself of opinion that the whole inhabitants of Ireland do not amount to more than three millions, if to fo many.

Relative

3

(240)

Relative Numbers of Protestants and Romanists in Ireland.

Sir William Petty, in his ' Political Anatomy,' ftates the proportion of Protestants and Romanists in Ireland to be as three to eight, in the year 1672, fixteen years before the Revolution, fo that at that time the Irifh Protestants amounted to more than one third of the inhabitants of the country. In the year 1732, an accurate enumeration was made by order of Government of all the families in Ireland, diftinguishing the Protestant families from the Romish in each Province, and a calculation was made from thence of the numbers of the Protestant and Romish inhabitants of Ireland: it was printed in Dublin in the year 1736, and reprinted in 1788. It appears from that calculation, that in the year 1732 the number of Protestant inhabitants was to that of the Romifh in the proportion of one to two and a half. Dr. Burke, Romish titular Bishop of Offory, wrote a book in Latin, which he entitled, 'Hibernia Dominicana;' it was printed at Bruffels in the year 1762; and he particularly flates, that an actual enumeration was made of the numbers of Protestants and Romanists in Ireland in the year 1731, and that there were found to be then in the kingdom feven hundred thousand four hundred and fifty-three Protestants: and one million three hundred and nine thousand feven hundred and fixty-eight Romanists: fo that the proportion of Romanists and Protestants was not in the year 1731 quite two to one, according to Dr. Burke. It is very likely that this Romish Bishop had very accurate information of the relative numbers, perhaps better than that which could be deduced from the documents flated in the pamphlet containing the calculation made in 1732. Dr. Burke alfo states, that the proportion had much increased

on

on the Protestant fide, between the year 1731 and the year 1762, when he wrote, by the operation of the Popery Code, and the Protestant Charter-schools, and makes grievous complaints of the increase of Heretics, as he styles Protestants. The Popery Code continued in full vigour till the year 1776, and its operation, together with the Charter-schools, must have increased the proportion on the Protestant fide. There is a modern document which must have great weight in this question, taken from the numbers of beggars admitted into the House of Industry in Dublin, for a course of fix years, beginning with the year 1784, and ending with the year 1789; and their respective religious persuasions.

This Houfe of Industry is a general receptacle for all beggars which flock into Dublin from all parts of Ireland, for there are no poor rates in Ireland. Divine fervice is performed feparately in this receptacle for perfons of the two perfuasions, and chaplains are retained for each by the Corporation.

NT..... Laura - T... "AA. J

		11000	rs aammin	ea.	
		1	Protestants.		Romanifts.
In	1784	-	696	-	1870
In	1785		768	-	2435
In	1786	-	1794		2912
In	1787		1493	-	334I
In	1788		854		3643
In	1789	(income)	798		3615
	Sum total		6403		17,816
			-		(annual second second)

The Romish beggars admitted during a course of fix years, did not exceed the Protestant beggars in the proportion of three to one. The House of Industry is filled with with the lowest class; and as the property in the hands of Irish Protestants is to that in the hands of Irish Romanifts as thirty-nine to one, the proportion of beggars on the fide of Irish Romanists to those on the Protestant fide, must vastly exceed the proportion of Romanists and Protestants in the nation at large. Besides, although wandering beggars flock to Dublin from all parts of the kingdom, the fewest certainly come from the northern counties, which abound most with Protestants; for having a flourishing manufacture, these counties fend forth fewest beggars. From all these documents it may be fairly concluded, that Irish Romanists exceed Irish Protestants in number throughout the whole kingdom in no greater proportion than that of two to one, perhaps in a much lefs.

The Romifh Convention in Dublin, in the year 1792, firft broached the politions, that Irifh Romanifts exceeded Irifh Proteftants in the proportion of three to one, and that the grofs number of the inhabitants amounted to four millions; confequently, that the Romanifts amounted to three millions. They never produced any document on which they pretended to found a calculation; however, they then admitted that Irifh Proteflants amounted to one million. It is remarkable that this Convention never ferupled to advance and publifh any falfehood whatfoever, which they thought might be of advantage to their caufe; of which their petition to His Majefty is a very prominent inflance.

Comparative Property of Irifb Protestants and Romanifts.

The first reasonable ground of calculation of the comparative property of Irish Protestants and Romanists, 5 must

must be taken from the quantity of landed estates in the hands of the members of each perfuation respectively; and this is the fureft ground of calculation in a country like Ireland, which is only just beginning to be a commercial country, and has as yet made no great progrefs. To make a true estimate in this respect, we must go back to the time of James the First. In his reign, fix whole counties, out of nine contained in the province of Ulster, were forfeited to the Crown for treason: the King granted a great part of these counties to English and Scotch Protestants. These grants made a great addition to the landed effates at that time in the hands of Protestants; and the Grantees being infinitely more industrious than the former possessions, such of the ancient inhabitants as retained their effates by the favour of the Crown, in thefe fix counties, and the fame clafs throughout the whole province of Ulfter, being a barbarous race, unufed to industry, and addicted to luxury and gluttony, particularly to an immoderate thirft for ftrong liquors, wasted their properties, contracted large debts, and fold great portions of their estates to their industrious and frugal Protestant neighbours in that province, before the great Irish Rebellion in 1641. Their poverty, the confequence of their own idleness and diffipation, and their envy of the prosperity of their Protestant neighbours, the fruit of their frugality and industry, are affigned as fome of the caufes of that horrid Rebellion and Maffacre. The whole mass of Irish Romanists throughout the nation engaged in this Rebellion of 1641; and when it was at length fuppreffed, almost the whole of the landed estates in the hands of Irish Romanists, at the time of its commencement, were forfeited to the Crown, and parcelled out among Protestants. These forfeitures, with the

1.

the landed effates in the hands of Protestants at the commencement of the Rebellion, amounted to five fixths of the whole landed property of the nation. The Irifh Romanists in a mass again rebelled in the year 1699. After a war which lasted three years with uncommon fury, they were fubdued; but obtained conditions, by which they were at liberty to remain in Ireland, and retain their estates, on the terms of their fubmission to the new Government under King William and Queen Mary, and taking the Oath of Allegiance; or of tranfporting themfelves to France, and relinquishing their eftates as forfeitures. Almost the whole body of Romanifts then entitled to effates in Ireland, chofe rather to go to France and abandon their estates, than submit to the Government and take the Oath of Allegiance. They entertained ftrong hopes of a new revolution in their favour, in which they were difappointed. The eftates thus abandoned to forfeiture were granted by the Crown to Protestants. By the feveral means before mentioned, almost the whole landed property in Ireland became vested in Protestants. That part of the Popery Code which prohibited Irish Romanists to acquire landed property was enacted in the fecond year of Queen Anne (1703), shortly after the Revolution. It was afterwards strengthened by the eighth of Anne (1709), and was not materially relaxed, fo as to allow them to purchase estates in fee, till the year 1782. In all the intermediate space, being eighty years, Romanists had the liberty of alienating the fmall pittance of landed property which remained in their hands after the Revolution, which they did not fail to make a liberal use of; but no liberty of acquisition: and during the eighteen years last past, they have had neither property, time, nor opportunity

to

to purchafe or acquire any confiderable portion of landed eflates, fo as materially or fenfibly to diminish the mass of landed property in the hands of Irish Protestants at large. And from all the causes before mentioned it is certain, that the allowance of one fiftieth part of the landed property of the nation to the share of Irish Romanists, at the prefent day, is rather too great.

The fmall proportion of perfonal property in the hands of Irish Romanists, when compared with that in the possession of Irish Protestants, may be estimated from the following facts: About fixteen years ago, when a national Bank was established in Ireland, the capital propoled was fix hundred thouland pounds. The Irish Romanifts subscribed as much of this capital as they could raife, with a hope of engroffing to themfelves the whole direction of the Bank, and thereby gaining a powerful influence to their party; yet the whole body was not then able to fubfcribe a larger part of this capital than fixty thousand pounds, one tenth of it! One argument strongly relied upon for the propriety of repealing that part of the Popery Code which forbad the acquifition of landed eftates by Romanists, and that which had most weight with many Members of Parliament in that transaction, was, that if Romanists were allowed to buy estates, the rates of purchase of lands would be doubled, from the vast number of Romish buyers (represented as poffeffed of great perfonal property), which would then flock into the land market. They have had liberty to purchase estates these eighteen years past. When they obtained that liberty, fee-fimple eftates in Ireland, though leafed to tenants for long terms of years, and confequently not likely to increase in value, were fold at the

rate

rate of from twenty-three to twenty-five years purchafe: at prefent they do not bring a greater price than eighteen years purchafe; and they have been gradually finking in value from the time the Romanists obtained the permifsion to purchafe; a demonstration, that the number of purchafers in the land market did not much increase by that permission, and that the perfonal riches of Irish Romanists were imaginary. Since the free trade was granted to Ireland in the year 1782, fome Irish Romanists have acquired considerable fortunes in trade; but feveral Irish Protestants have also acquired large fortunes in the fame time, by the fame means; fo that it is pretty clear, that the perfonal estates of Irish Romanists, in the mass, do not amount to more than the twentieth part of the perfonal property of the nation, if to fo much.

It is proper here to infert an account of a very extraordinary and artful attempt at procuring a fraudulent and garbled enumeration of the numbers of Protestants and Romanists in Ireland, and giving it a credit and authenticity; which was made in the year 1795, and which ought to put Irish Protestants on their guard, and render them more vigilant in defeating such attempts for the future.

In the year 1786, a fociety was formed in Ireland, and incorporated by patent, ftyled the Royal Irifh Academy. A great number of the first characters in the kingdom in rank and property are members of this Academy; Lords, Privy Counfellors, Bishops, and distinguissed Commoners. It is of the fame nature with the Royal Society in England. It has been instituted for the advancement of the studies of Science, Polite Literature, and

and Antiquities. As focieties formed on the Continent, nominally for fimilar purpofes, were perverted into engines for the fubverfion of the respective Governments under which they were established, one of which was the Society of Economists in France; and as the justly admired, celebrated, and learned Author of the ' Purfuits · of Literature' has hinted, that attempts have been made to diffort fome of the publications of the Royal Society in London to political and factious purpofes, by fome bufy Romanists, under the veil of gratifying public curiofity by refearches into antiquities; fo fome of the most factious of the Romanists of Ireland, and desperate Jacobins their allies, foon directed their attention to this Society, and endeavoured, with too much fuccefs, to get themfelves admitted members of it; and I have read with amazement the names of Dr. M'Nevin, and others of rather worse and more dangerous characters, in the lift of the members of this Society. It is an obfervation of Dean Swift, that men of ability often, from a liftlefs inactivity, refign their pretentions to vigilant dunces, who are fure never to be out of the way. A fimilar obfervation is applicable to men of great rank and character in focieties fuch as I am adverting to. From bufinefs, from inattention, and other caufes, they are frequently found almost to abandon the meetings of these focieties, and refign the management of them to vigilant 'facobins, who are fure never to abfent themselves; giving them thus an opportunity of perverting the professed purposes of fuch focieties to their own factious plans and defigns, and stamping their frauds with the credit of great names. Thus it has happened in this Society. Dr. Prieftley, as well as I recollect, coined the word Statistical. He was defirous of fubverting the Conflitution in Church and State, and

R4

with

with that view endeavoured to encourage all Diffenters to enter into a general confederacy for the purpofe, and proceeded in the execution of that fcheme, by felecting fuch parts of England as abounded most with them, and numbering the inhabitants, with the different modes of their religious worfhip, to fhow that the number of inhabitants of the established Religion was not equal to that of Diffenters of all denominations. For this purpose he put forward what he called Statifical Inquiries in particular places, fuch as Birmingham, &c.; and to give an importance to his feditious operations, and to difguife them as the mere fpeculative purfuits of a philofopher, he coined the quaint term Statifical; a Statifical Inquiry, in the vulgar tongue, fignifying nothing more than an Inquiry into the State. This mode of inquiry has been taken up fince in England by a refpectable Baronet, I believe for laudable purposes. The Romanists and Jacobins in the Royal Irifh Academy procured a Committee of Statiftical Inquiry to be appointed by the Society (the Members probably of their own nomination), for the enumeration of the inhabitants of Ireland, diftinguifhing the religious perfuasion of each perfon. A Form was printed by this Committee, in columns, directing the mode in which the returns of the number, religion, and occupations of the inhabitants of each parifh, barony, county, and diocefe in the kingdom, was to be made; and by the printed Form it appears, that the whole was to have been executed by the Romifh Parish Priests throughout the kingdom. When the Romish Prieft of each parish had fabricated his statistical account of the numbers, religion, and occupation of the inhabitants of his parish, he was to show it (if he thought fit) to the Protestant or Diffenting Minister of the T

the parish, and request his fignature. This Committee well knew, that not one Protestant Minister in one hundred would fign such an account, made up by the Romish Priest. The printed Form also contained a Resolution of this Committee, to apply to the Romist titular Bistatistical the requesting their assistance in making this statistical inquiry, and the Copy of a Letter to be written by them respectively to each of their subordinate Priests. The Committee managed the whole business in the Society.

This was a fcheme equally fraudulent and dangerous, calculated by the original projector or projectors for the mere purpose of sapping the Protestant interest in Ireland. The Committee for Statisfical Inquiries passed over the Protestant Ministers, and felected the Romish Priefts throughout the kingdom, to make this inquiry, well knowing that they would make returns in the fame spirit with Huffey, titular Bishop of Waterford, who stated, in a feditious pamphlet published by him, that Irifh Romanists exceeded Irifh Protestants in the kingdom at large, in the proportion of ten to one; and in that of one hundred to one in the diocefe of Waterford : A most impudent falsehood! The Protestants, as appears by the printed Form, were to be fubdivided by the Romish Priests into different sects, such as Protestants. Presbyterians, Quakers, Methodists, &c. fo as to make the numbers of each fect, when compared with the Romanists, appear in a diminished ratio; and to withdraw the attention of those, into whose hands the publication of the refult of the statistical inquiry should come, from the fum total of the Protestants, and to fix it on the aggregate exaggerated number of Romanists; though, as Romanists confider all who are not of their communion

to

to be Heretics, and are equal enemies to them all, in comparing the relative numbers of Irith Protestants and Romanists, all perfons who are not Romanists, are justiv to be accounted on the Protestant fide; it being equally the interest of them all to oppose the perfecuting spirit of Popery, the common enemy. The time of inftituting this inquiry is worthy of remark. The Refolution of the Committee to employ the Romish Priests in the bufinefs, in the printed Form in my poffeffion, bears date June 20th, 1795, the very time when the Romanifts throughout the kingdom were bufily employed in preparing for a general Infurrection, and, as they Rvled it, organizing their army; and the refult of fuch an inquiry made by the Romish Priests throughout the nation, but published under the fanction and authority of fo refpectable a body as the Royal Irifh Academy, as a mere philosophical transaction, detached from all fufpicion of party intrigue, the projectors knew would give mighty encouragement to the Infurrection, by the falfe and inflated account of the numbers and strength of Irish Romanists, when compared with the dwarfish reprefentation of their opponents, which they knew would be contained in it. This would add to the confidence of the Rebels, and depress the spirits of the loyal inhabitants. The projectors alfo knew, that fuch a fraudulent inquiry would have a strong effect in Great Britain in their favour, in the event either of the fuccefs or fuppreffion of the Rebellion.

It is not generally known how far this inquiry has been proceeded on ; but this is certain, that, antecedent to the Rebellion, feveral perfons who joined in it, and fome of whom were flain in the progrefs of it, others hanged hanged on its fuppreffion, and fome who have been acquitted on trials for treafon by the provisions of the Amnefty Bill, were very active in making the inquiry, and proceeded on it under the form prefcribed by the Committee for Statistical Inquiry. Perhaps the respectable Members of the Society have been awakened from their flumbers by the dangerous and fraudulent tendency of the measure, and have defeated the project.

No. 2.

Extract from the Irish Act of the 33d of His present Majesty (1793), entitled, ' An Act for the Relief of His ' Majesty's Popish or Roman Catholic Subjects of Ire-' land.'

SECT. IX.

PROVIDED always, and be it enacted, That nothing herein contained shall extend, or be construed to extend, to enable any perfon to fit or vote in either House of Parliament, or to hold, exercise, or enjoy the office of Lord Lieutenant, Lord Deputy, or other chief Governor or Governors of this kingdom; Lord High Chancellor, or Keeper, or Commissioner of the Great Seal of this Kingdom; Lord High Treasurer, Chancellor of the Exchequer, Chief Justice of the Court of King's Bench or Common Pleas, Lord Chief Baron of the Court of Exchequer, Justice of the Court of King's Bench or Common Pleas, or Baron of the Court of Exchequer, Judge of the High Court of Admiralty, Master or Keeper of the Rolls, Secretary of State, Keeper of

of the Privy Seal, Vice-treafurer, Teller or Cashier of the Exchequer, or Auditor General, Lieutenant or Governor, or Cuftos Rotulorum of Counties, Secretary to the Lord Lieutenant, Lord Deputy, or other chief Governor or Governors of this Kingdom, Member of His Majesty's most honourable Privy Council, Prime Serjeant, Attorney General, Solicitor General, Second and Third Serjeants at Law, or King's Counfel, Masters in Chancery, Provoft or Feliow of the College of the Holy and Undivided Trinity of Queen Elizabeth near Dublin, Postmaster-general, Master and Lieutenant-general of His Majesty's Ordnance, Commander in Chief of His Majefty's Forces, Generals on the Staff, and Sheriffs and Sub-fheriffs of any County in this Kingdom, or any office contrary to the rules, orders, and directions made and eftablished by the Lord Lieutenant and Council, in pursuance of the Act passed in the 17th and 18th years of the reign of King Charles the Second, entitled, An AET for explaining fome Doubts arifing upon an AET, entitled, " An Act for the better Execution of His Majefty's gracious, · Declaration for the Settlement of his Kingdom of Ireland, e and Satisfaction of the feveral Interests of Adventurers, Soldiers, and other his Subjects there;' and for making fome Alterations of, and Additions unto, the faid AEt, for the more speedy and effectual Settlement of this Kingdom; unless he thall have taken, made, and fubscribed the oaths and declarations, and performed the feveral requifites, which by any law heretofore made, and now of force, are required, to enable any perfon to fit or vote, or to hold, exercife, and enjoy the faid offices respectively.

No.

(253)

No. 3.

Extract from Blackstone's Commentaries, 4th vol. page 57, Octavo Edition.

THE better to fecure the established Church against perils from Non-conformifts of all denominations, Infidels, Turks, Jews, Heretics, Papifts, and Sectaries, there are two bulwarks erected, called the Corporation and Teft Acts. By the former of which no person can be legally elected to any office relating to the government of any city or corporation, unlefs, within a twelvemonth before, he has received the facrament of the Lord's Supper according to the rites of the Church of England; and he is enjoined to take the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, at the fame time that he takes the Oath of Office; or in default of either of these requisites, his election shall be void. The other, called the Test Act, directs all Officers, civil and military, to take the Oaths, and make the Declaration against Transubstantiation, in the Court of King's Bench or Chancery, the next term, or at the next quarter-feffions, or within fix months after their admission; and also within the same time to receive the facrament of the Lord's Supper, according to the usage of the Church of England, in some public church, immediately after divine fervice and fermon, and to deliver into Court a certificate thereof, figned by the Minister and Churchwardens; and also to prove the fame by two credible witnesses, upon forfeiture of 500l. and difability to hold the office.

P.S. Tell Act paffed in the 13th of Charles II. c. 1. Corporation Act in the 25th of Charles II. c. 2.

THE END.

PUBLICATIONS

PRINTED FOR

J. WRIGHT, PICCADILLY.

1. A NANSWER to the ADDRESS of the Right Hon. HENRY GRATTAN, Ex-Reprefentative of the City of Dublin in Parliament, to his Fellow-citizens of Dublin. By Patrick Duigenan, L. L. D. Fourth Edition, with Additions. 4s. 6d.

2. SPEECH of the Right Hon. JOHN, Lord Baron FITZGIBBON (now Earl of CLARE), Lord High Chancellor of Ireland, delivered in the Houfe of Peers of Ireland, on the fecond Reading of the Bill for the Relief of the Roman Catholics, March 13, 1793: with an accurate Report of the SPEECH of the Right Hon. JOHN FOSTER, Speaker of the Houfe of Commons, on the fame Subject. 15. 6d.

3. SPEECH of the Right Hon. JOHN, Earl of CLARE, Lord High Chancellor of Ireland, in the Houfe of Lords of Ireland, Monday, February 19, 1798, on the Motion of the Earl of Moira for adopting conciliatory Measures, &c. &c. With an Appendix, containing Original Papers referred to in the Course of the Speech. Third Edition. 15. 6d.

4. SPEECH of WILLIAM SMITH, Efq. on the Subject of a LEGISLATIVE UNION between Great Britain and Ireland, delivered in the Irilh Houfe of Commons, on Thursday, January 24, 1799. A new Edition. 25.

5. SPEECH of the Right Hon. WILLIAM PITT, in the Houfe of Commons, Thursday, January 31, 1799, on offering to the House the Resolutions which he proposed as the Basis of an Union between Great Britain and Ireland. Eighth Edition. 15. 6d.

6. SPEECH of the Right Hon. HENRY DUNDAS, in the Houfe of Commons, Thurfday, February 7, 1799, on the Subject the Legiflative Union with Ireland. Third Edition. 15.

7. SPEECH of the Right Hon. HENRY ADDING-TON, Speaker of the Houfe of Commons, on the 12th February 1799, in the Committee of the whole Houfe, to whom His Majefty's most gracious Message of the 22d 22d January, relative to an Union between Great Britain and Ireland, was referred. Third Edition. 15.

8. SPEECH of LORD AUCKLAND, in the Houfe of Peers, April 11, 1799, on the propoled Addrefs to His Majefiy, refpecting the Refolutions adopted by the two Houfes of Parliament, as the Bafis of an Union between Great Britain and Ireland. A new Edition. 15.

9. SPEECH of the Right Honourable SYLVESTER DOUGLAS, in the Houfe of Commons, Tuefday, April 23, 1799, on feconding the Motion of the Right Hon. the Chancellor of the Exchequer, for the Houfe to agree with the Lords in an Addrefs to His Majefty, relative to an Union with Ireland. 35. 6d.

10. REPORT from the COMMITTEE of SECRECY of the Houfe of Commons in Ireland, on the Irifh Rebellion; as reported by the Right Hon. Lord Cafflereagh, August 21, 1798. 4s.

11. REPOR'T from the COMMITTEE of SECRECY of the Houfe of Lords in Ireland, on the Irith Rebellion; as reported by the Right Hon. John Earl of Clare, Lord High Chancellor. 1s. 6d.

12. REPORT of the COMMITTEE of SECRECY of the Houfe of Commons of Great Britain, on the Treafonable Correspondence. Ordered to be printed, March 15, 1799. 25.

13. RÉPORT of the whole Proceedings on the TRIALS of Henry and John Sheares, Efqs. John M'Cann, Gent. W. M. Byrne, Efq. and Oliver Bond, Merchant, for High Treafon. Tried by Special Jury, July 12, 1798. 35. 6d.

14. REVIEW of the SPEECH of the Right Hon. JOHN FOSTER, Speaker of the Houfe of Commons of Ireland; in a Letter addreffed to him by William Smith, Efq. M. P. A new Edition. 25.

15. ARGUMENTS for and against an UNION between Great Britain and Ireland, confidered. Fourth Edition. 15. 6d.

16. NECESSITY of an INCORPORATE UNION between Great Britain and Ireland proved, from the Situation of both Kingdoms. With a Sketch of the Principles upon which it ought to be formed. 15. 6d.

17. COMPETENCY of the PARLIAMENTS of GREAT

Books printed for J. WRIGHT, Piccadilly.

GREAT BRITAIN and IRELAND to Incorporate their Legislatures. With fome Remarks upon the Debate in the Irish House of Commons upon the Addrefs. By the Author of the Necessity of an Incorporate Union. 15.

18. CONSIDERATIONS on the COMPETENCY of the PARLIAMENT of IRELAND to accede to an Union with Great Britain. By the Right Hon. Charles Vifcount Falkland. 6d.

19. LETTERS on the Subject of UNION, in which Mr. Jebb's Reply is confidered; and the Competence of Parliament to bind Ireland to an Union is afferted. By William Smith, Efg. M. P. 25.

20. A Concife ACCOUNT of the Material EVENTS and ATROCITIES which occurred in the late Rebellion, with the Caufes which produced them. By Sir Richard Mufgrave. 25.

21. REASON for adopting an UNION between Ireland and Great Britain. By William Johnfon, Efq. Fifth Edition. 15. 6d.

22. ORANGE ; a Political Rhapfody. In three Cantos. With Notes. Ninth Edition. 2s. 6d.

23. The ANTI-JACOBIN, or WEEKLY EXA-MINER. A new Edition, revifed, corrected, and uniformly printed, in two large Volumes. 18s. in boards.

24. POETRY of the ANTI-JACOBIN, in a Pocket Volume, elegantly printed by Bulmer. 55.

25. Brief EXAMINATION into the INCREASE of the Revenue, Commerce, and Manufactures of Great Britain from 1792 to 1799. By George Rofe, Efq. The fixth Edition. With an Introduction, containing a Statement of the Revenue to the 10th of October 1799. 25.

26. COPIES of ORIGINAL LETTERS from the Army of General BUONAPARTE in Egypt. Intercepted by the Fleet under Admiral Lord Nelfon. With an English Translation. In two Parts. 4s. 6d. each Part.

Printed by S. COSNELL, Little Queen Street, Holborn.



